

Reston Community Center 2007 Citizen Survey



REPORT OF RESULTS

Prepared by:

Robin A. Bebel
Assistant Director

Thomas M. Guterbock, Ph.D.
Director

Prepared for:

THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS RESTON COMMUNITY CENTER RESTON, VIRGINIA May 2008



Center for Survey Research • University of Virginia
A UNIT OF THE WELDON COOPER CENTER FOR PUBLIC SERVICE

Table of Contents

List of Figures	iii
List of Appendices	iii
Acknowledgments	iv
Executive Summary	1
I Introduction	2
<i>Summary of Method</i>	2
<i>Subgroup Comparisons</i>	3
<i>Demographic Profile</i>	4
II Recognition and Familiarity	6
<i>Reston Community Center Staff</i>	8
<i>Mission Statement</i>	9
III Current Use	11
<i>Frequency of Use</i>	11
<i>Specific Program Use</i>	12
<i>Non-Users</i>	12
IV Future Use	13
<i>Theater and Concert Events</i>	14
<i>Health and Fitness</i>	14
<i>Educational programs</i>	14
<i>Youth Programs</i>	15
<i>Enrichment Programs</i>	15
V Attendance at Future Events	16
VI Future Direction	17
<i>Expansion</i>	17
<i>Facility Enhancements</i>	18
VII Governance	19
<i>Participation in Governance</i>	19
<i>Methods of Participation</i>	20
<i>Changes in Voting Methods</i>	21
VIII Concluding Summary	22

List of Figures

Table II-1: Recognition of RCC compared to other venues	6
Figure II-1: Familiarity with Reston Community Center	7
Figure II-2: RCC Staff Ratings.....	8
Figure II-3: Sources of Information on RCC Offerings	8
Figure II-4: Agreement with the Elements of the Mission Statement	9
Figure II-5 : Does the RCC Make Reston More Attractive?	10
Figure III-1: Frequency of Use.....	11
Figure III-2 Use of Specific Programs in the last 12 months	12
Table IV-1: Ranked List of Projected Participation in Programs in the Future	13
Figure V-1 Future Event Attendance	16
Figure VI-1 Support for Expanded Programs.....	17
Figure VI-2 Support for Facility Enhancements	18
Figure VII-1: Importance of Participation.....	19
Figure VII-2: Methods of Citizen Participation	20
Figure VII-3: Proposed Voting Methods.....	21
Figure VII-4: Support for Change in Voting Method.....	21

List of Appendices

Appendix A: *Reston Community Center 2007 Citizen Questionnaire*

Appendix B: *Advance Letter*

Appendix C: *Weighted Frequencies for all Demographic Variables*

Appendix D: *Weighted Frequencies for all Substantive Variables*

Appendix E: *Summary of Significant Demographic Differences*

Appendix F: *Projected Future Use by Age*

Appendix G: *Survey and Sampling Methodology*

Appendix H: *Open-ended Responses (Bound Separately)*

Acknowledgments

This report presents the findings of the Reston Community Center Citizen Survey, conducted by the Center for Survey Research (CSR) at the University of Virginia from November through December 2007. The sponsors of the survey, the Board of Governors of the Reston Community Center (RCC) in Reston, Virginia, and we at the Center for Survey Research are grateful to the many citizens of Reston who took the time to talk with our interviewers in order to make their voices heard as the RCC plans for its future.

For the Reston Community Center, Mr. Thomas Ward, Acting Executive Director, shepherded the survey through the consultation, instrument development, field, and reporting phases. CSR is appreciative of his time and commitment to completing this survey. The Board of Governors Community Relations Committee, which is chaired by Ms. Cathy Vivona, was both instrumental in organizing the survey from the outset and in providing guidance and helpful critique at each step of the process. Throughout the process, the Board emphasized the need for objectivity and accuracy in carrying out this study. Lastly, Ms. Leila Gordon, Executive Director, provided final suggestions and crucial revisions to the report.

At CSR, Ms. Robin Bebel, Assistant Director, served as project coordinator through all phases of this project. She took principal responsibility for

drafting the questionnaire, interviewer training, data collection and logistical planning. She was primary liaison to the Reston Community Center and principal author of this report.

Dr. Guterbock was the principal investigator for this project. He oversaw all aspects of the project, including budgeting, questionnaire drafting, data analysis, editing this report and the presentation of results.

John Lee Holmes, Production Manager, oversaw the operation of the CATI laboratory during the interviewing phase of this study. Mr. Holmes, along with Kien Le, Research Analyst, was responsible for integrating changes into the programmed instrument and making suggestions from the pretest to improve the clarity and flow of the interview. Mr. Le was primarily responsible for developing the analysis plan and conducting the data analyses. Ilknur Akyildiz, Project Assistant, prepared many of the tables and appendices for this report.

The Center for Survey Research is responsible for any errors or omissions in this report. Questions may be directed to the Center for Survey Research, P.O. Box 400767, Charlottesville, Virginia 22904-4767. CSR may also be reached by telephone at 434-243-5222; by electronic mail at surveys@virginia.edu, or via the World Wide Web at: <http://www.virginia.edu/surveys>.

Executive Summary

In November through December 2007, the Center for Survey Research (CSR) at the University of Virginia completed a survey of the citizens of Reston, VA on behalf of the Reston Community Center (RCC). The goals of the survey were to:

- *Gain insight into how RCC stands out among other organizations in the area.*
- *Replicate work done in 1999, 2001 and 2004 to assess resident satisfaction with the services available at RCC.*
- *Assess current and projected future use of programs and facilities.*
- *Introduce new questions on evolving issues or to gain new insight into established ones.*
- *Evaluate awareness about how the Center is governed and the role citizens may play in decision-making.*

Important additions were introduced this year in an attempt to get better information on residents' recognition of the Community Center and the programs and facilities available there. Feelings about RCC's mission, how the Center is governed and the role citizens may play in decision-making were probed. Important findings from the 2007 survey are summarized below:

- 79.1% considered themselves to be somewhat or very familiar with the RCC.¹
- Considering aquatics, community events, leisure activities, enrichment programs and rental space, respondents cited RCC significantly more often than other organizations in all categories.
- Staff at the RCC scored over 95% in all measures of satisfaction, including responsiveness to requests for information, accuracy, usefulness of information provided and courtesy.

¹ In previous years, further questions had been asked only of respondents who had heard of RCC. Since that question was expanded, this question on familiarity was used to determine eligibility for probes specific to RCC programs.

- New questions probing the RCC mission statement had very positive responses, with at least 94% of the general population agreeing that RCC is fulfilling the tenets of its' mission.
- 96.4% said that the RCC is somewhat or very important to the quality of life in Reston.
- 93.4% said that the RCC makes Reston a more attractive place to live, noticeably better than the 88.6% in 2004.
- 81.8% said that the RCC makes Reston a more attractive place for businesses, significantly better than in previous years when just over 70% had the same attitude.
- Of those asked, 63.9% had used or have a member of their household who had used the RCC during the past 12 months. This number is up from the 2004 survey when 55% had used the RCC during the past 12 months.
- Real demographic differences exist to describe patrons of RCC's services. For instance, those living in Reston longer were more likely to have attended the theater or a concert.
- When asked if the RCC should expand or reduce it's programming, 32.4% said expand, with another 65.0% who said keep it the same.
- When considering facility enhancements, additional art studios had the highest positive response at 66%.
- Over 77% of residents felt it is somewhat or very important to participate in RCC governance. Over 65% would somewhat or strongly support a change in the current Preference Poll voting procedure.

Overall, the people of Reston are very familiar with the RCC and believe that it is an important part of the community. Residents are very pleased with RCC staff. In addition, they make good use of many of the programs found at the RCC and are very satisfied with the programs and facilities. There is notable interest in participating in RCC governance. Reston residents are engaged in their Community Center and anticipate active involvement with it into the future.

I Introduction

The Center for Survey Research (CSR) at the University of Virginia conducted a survey of the citizens of Reston, Virginia, on behalf of the Reston Community Center (RCC) from November 8 through December 20, 2007. The purpose of the survey was to assess citizen opinion on issues of interest to the Board of Governors of the RCC as they make plans for the future.

Reston is a planned community that encompasses 11.5 square miles in the northern Virginia area. Founded in 1961, Reston presently has more than 58,000 residents. Its “downtown,” the Reston Town Center, is a lively place including shops, restaurants and office complexes.

Each year the Reston Community Center offers more than 1,800 programs, trips, events, and services to the residents of Reston. Its mission is:

To create positive leisure experiences which enhance the quality of life for all people living and working in Greater Reston by:

Providing a broad range of programs in arts, aquatics, enrichment and life-long learning;

Creating and sustaining community traditions through special events, outreach activities, and facility rentals.

Facilities of the RCC include two locations: Hunters Woods and Lake Anne. The Hunters Woods location is a 50,000 square foot facility including a swimming pool, a 290-seat theater, a photo lab, a computer lab, a woodshop, and meeting rooms. The Lake Anne location is a smaller facility of 8,500 square feet and includes an art gallery, a ceramics lab, and general meeting rooms.

Several issues regarding the RCC were of special importance in this survey: awareness and support of the RCC, resident perception of how well the mission is fulfilled, satisfaction with the RCC’s programs and facilities, current use and projected future use of specific programs offered by the RCC, and citizen input into how the RCC is governed. Efforts were also made to identify residents who met predetermined selection criteria who would be interested in participating in follow up research.

Surveys with similar purposes were conducted by the Center for Survey Research in 2001 and in 2004. Whereas the surveys were not designed to be completely comparable with succeeding versions, it is possible to measure change over time on some specific questions. When directly comparable, change over time will be reported. The survey has evolved to remain a timely assessment of current issues, facilities use, service quality and future improvements in all facets of the RCC.

Summary of Method

The questionnaire for this survey was developed by CSR in consultation with the Reston Community Center’s Board of Governors, which approved the final version.

A pretest of the questionnaire was conducted on November 2-6, 2007, including a total of 43 telephone interviews with Reston residents. The questionnaire was significantly longer than the contracted fifteen minute average interview.

The increased length was primarily due to the new questions. It was decided to introduce question rationing rather than to cut out questions. This meant that only a subset of respondents was asked an affected question. Correctly done, this method of pruning time from the interview allows for more questions to be asked while it reduces the burden of time asked of the respondent. Care was taken to ensure that there would be sufficient responses to each question to allow for valid analysis.

Adjustments were also made to the wording of the questionnaire before “production” interviewing began on November 8, 2007. Even with these adjustments, at slightly more than 19 minutes, it was significantly longer than the 11 minutes of 2004. The full text is included in this report as Appendix A.

This survey was conducted by telephone in order to ensure the broadest possible representation for results. Genesys Sampling Systems provided a sample of listed telephone numbers in the three zip codes identified by RCC as being nearly contiguous with RCC’s borders. The zip codes used were 20190, 20191 and 20194. CSR staff then used a file from RCC to manually delete from the list addresses that lay outside the district boundaries. This provided the most cost effective method of randomly selecting households that were known to be predominately in the community of Reston.

Since telephone exchanges cover a much larger area than that covered by the target area of Small District #5, the usual method of calling randomly generated numbers from exchanges that are valid in Reston would have been prohibitively expensive.

In order to assure the highest possible response rate, a letter was mailed several days in advance of the initial telephone calling to those households that were part of the sample. The letter explained the purpose of the study and asked for the cooperation of residents. See Appendix B for the Advance Letter.

All interviews in this study were conducted from CSR's Computer-Aided Telephone Interviewing (CATI) laboratory in Charlottesville, Virginia. Interviews were conducted from November 8 – December 20, 2007, on Sunday through Thursday evenings and on Sunday afternoons. The interviewing staff was composed of carefully trained personnel, most of whom had prior experience as CSR interviewers.

CSR completed a total of 1003 interviews, for a final response rate estimated at 37 percent of the number of qualified households in our original sample. Based on a sample of 1003 respondents, the survey has a sampling error of plus or minus 3 percent. This means that in 95 out of 100 samples of this size drawn from Small District #5, the percentage results obtained for each question in each sample would fall in a range of ± 3 percentage points of what would have been obtained if every household in the area with a working telephone had been interviewed. Larger sampling errors are present when analyzing subgroups of the sample or questions that were not asked of all respondents. For a complete narrative of the survey methodology, see Appendix F.

Subgroup Comparisons

Data analysis for this survey included breaking down the responses for all opinion items by demographic subgroups in order to determine whether there were statistically significant differences between them. Statistically significant differences are those that probably did not result merely from sampling variability, but instead reflect real differences within the population. The demographic variables listed below were those used in our subgroup analysis:

Age. Five categories were used to compare age groups: 18 to 25, 26 to 37, 38 to 49, 50 to 64, and over 65.

Education level. Persons with less than high school, high school, some college, college degrees, some graduate work, and advanced graduate or Ph.D. degrees were compared.

Employment Status. Six categories were used to compare employment status: those working full-time, those working part-time, those looking for work, homemakers, retired persons, and students.

Gender. Women were compared with men.

Homeownership. Homeowners were compared with renters. This is a particularly salient issue because the funding of the RCC is derived from the real estate property tax. Whereas all residents and businesses in Reston are affected by this tax, homeowners are likely to be more aware of the tax than are renters, who pay their landlord's tax expenses indirectly as an unspecified portion of their rent.

Household Income. Five categories of self-reported annual household incomes were compared: less than \$35,000, \$35,000 to \$50,000, \$50,000 to \$75,000, \$75,000 to \$100,000, \$100,000 to \$150,000 and greater than \$150,000.

Job Location. Those who work outside of Reston were compared with those who work within Reston.

Language. Those who primarily spoke a language other than English at home were compared to primarily English-speakers.

Length of residence in Reston. Five categories were used to compare length of residence: less than one year, one to five years, six to ten years, 11 to 25 years, and more than 25 years (including their entire life).²

Marital status. Those who are married were compared to those who are separated, divorced, widowed, or never married.

² On the survey, there were six residence categories, including one indicating that the respondent had lived in Reston his or her entire life. Only nine respondents, however, indicated that they had lived in Reston their entire life. This category was therefore collapsed with the "more than 25 years" category for purposes of statistical analyses.

Presence of children in the household. We compared the responses of households with children living in them with those that do not have children.

Race/Ethnicity. Self-identified racial and ethnic groups were compared. Specifically, we compared Caucasians, African Americans, Asians, and “other races” combined.

Demographic Profile

An early task in the analysis of any survey data is comparing the demographics of the sample with the demographics of the population being studied. When there are discrepancies, it is wise to “post-weight” the data in order to compensate for the differences. In post-weighting, greater statistical weight is given to respondents who are in categories under-represented in the original survey results, whereas those in over-represented groups are given lesser weight. The weighting procedure results in very small differences in the results, but increases their accuracy by making them more reflective of the actual study population with respect to the variables used in weighting. The current data were weighted based on homeownership and race. See Appendix F for a complete description of the weighting procedures.

The respondents to the Reston Community Center Citizen Survey were much more likely to be female than male. Approximately 60 percent (60.4%) were female, compared to about 40 percent (39.6%) male. Slightly more than five percent (5.5%) were between the ages of 18 and 25, about a quarter were 26 to 37, with another quarter from 38 to 49, thirty percent were 50 to 64, and 15 percent were 65 or older. The mean age of our respondents was 47.8 years old.

Fewer than 55 percent (54.4%) of respondents were married, with 31.3 percent of respondents reporting that they had children under 18 years of age living in the household. Of those, only 39.2 percent had children under 5 years of age, compared to the 52.5 percent of 2004. Just over 47 percent had children between the ages of 5 and 11, and 50.5 percent had children between the ages of 12 and 18.

Sixty-five percent of our respondents were working full-time, an additional 8.5 percent were working part-time, and 1.3 percent were looking for work. About six percent (6.2%) were homemakers, 17.3 percent were retired, and less than one percent were students. Of those who were employed,

almost 70 percent (68.6%) worked somewhere other than Reston. In our sample, 3.4 percent reported that they, or someone else in their household, own commercial or rental property in Reston and 8.3 percent own or operate a business located in Reston.

Of those reporting their annual household income, 10.5 percent of our respondents had annual household incomes less than \$35,000, seven percent earned \$35,000 to \$50,000, 17 percent earned \$50,000 to \$75,000, just under 20 percent earned \$75,000 to \$100,000, twenty-two percent earned greater than \$100,000 and over 23 percent reported an income over \$150,000. However, just over a fifth (20.9%) of our respondents refused to answer this question.

In terms of education, less than 11 percent of residents we spoke with had terminated formal education with a high school degree or less, about 11 percent had attended some college, and 34 percent had college degrees. Approximately 36 percent (36.4%) had completed some graduate work and 7.7 percent had an advanced graduate degree, such as a PhD.

After weighting to account for race, 79.3 percent of our sample was Caucasian, whereas 8.0 percent were African American, and 8.2 percent were Asian. Less than 5 percent (4.2%) said they were American Indian, Pacific Islanders, or something else. About four percent of our respondents either refused to answer the question about racial identity or else did not know how to define their race. Over three percent (3.3%) said that they thought of themselves as Hispanic. Over 90 percent (90.4%) reported English to be the primary language spoken in their household, whereas 9.6 percent reported some language other than English. Of those reporting languages other than English as their primary household language, the vast majority reported that Spanish was the primary language in their household. Other common responses were Chinese and Indian subcontinent languages.

About four percent of our respondents (4.1%) were new to Reston, having lived there for less than a year, 22.9 percent had lived in Reston one to five years, 21.8 percent had lived there 6 to 10 years, 32.3 percent had lived there 11 to 25 years, and 19 percent had lived there more than 25 years or their entire life. After weighting to account for homeownership, approximately two-thirds (67.1%) of respondents owned their own home,

whereas the remaining third (32.9%) were renting. be found in Appendix C.
Full frequencies for all demographic variables can

II Recognition and Familiarity

In an effort to better gauge resident recognition of the programs and facilities available at the Reston Community Center, new questions were added at the beginning of the questionnaire. They were presented with this lead-in.

There are many organizations in the Reston area that may provide recreational activities. We're interested in which organizations come to mind when people think about different leisure time opportunities

The respondent was then prompted with specific resources. Table II-1 shows the responses given for each resource. Respondents were allowed to name more than one place, but were not prompted with facility names. When respondents thought about leisure-time enjoyment, RCC was named over 63% of the time. When thinking about aquatics, RCC was cited by almost 60% of those responding

“Other” responses were common and are listed in the Open Ended Comments, bound separately from this report

Table II-1: Recognition of RCC compared to other venues


When you think about local opportunities to... which organizations come to mind?	participate in aquatic programs such as swim classes, water aerobics, open lap swim ...	attend community-wide festivals and events ...	attend classes, workshops, or other programs designed to promote leisure-time enjoyment	attend theatre performances and/or outdoor concerts ...	rent a room for a private meeting, party, or other function
Reston Community Center	59.8	43.4	63.4	45.0	41.2
Reston Town Center	-	29.9	-	14.2	-
Reston YMCA	34.5	1.4	5.5	0.1	0.8
Reston Assoc.	27.6	22.7	14.8	7.3	11.8
Local Colleges	-	-	24.2	-	-
Wolf Trap	-	-	-	41.8	-
Hotel/Rest	-	-	-	-	28.0
Other/None/DK	33.6	34.6	26.3	42.2	42.4

One interesting finding was that of all the people asked these questions, and that would be all respondents, even those who later told us they had no familiarity with the Reston Community Center, fewer than 16 percent (15.9%) of them did not mention RCC at any time. The lowest group, at 13.6 percent, mentioned RCC only once, whereas almost 20 percent of respondents could come up with the name three times. Almost 16 percent (15.6%) said RCC all 5 times.

After giving respondents the opportunity to think about some of these possibilities, they were asked how familiar they are with the facilities and programs of the Reston Community Center. Nearly 80% of respondents (79.1 %) said that they were very or somewhat familiar with RCC offerings. Another 20.2% said that they were not at all familiar with RCC facilities and programs, but only 0.7% said they had never heard of it. Results from 2007 are presented in Figure II-1.

Although the context of the question was slightly different, the percentage of Reston residents reporting familiarity with facilities and programs remains high. The total of those reporting some familiarity is somewhat less than what was reported in 2004 (81.9%), but those who say they are very familiar has improved to 25 percent from 23.9 percent. This remains consistent with reports from 2001 and in 1999 when Kohlman Atlee conducted a needs assessment survey.³

Figure II-1: Familiarity with Reston Community Center



One advantage of this modified approach was the ability to get some information even from those residents with minimal exposure to RCC. As in other years, we asked some basic demographic questions in order to determine if there was a particular group or groups of people in Reston who were being “missed” by the Center’s advertising efforts.

As might be expected, there were a number of significant differences in how familiar people are with the RCC based on different demographic variables. Not surprisingly, those who had lived in Reston for long periods of time reported significantly more often that they were familiar with the RCC than those who had lived in Reston for


shorter periods of time. Similarly, those who own their own homes were more familiar than those who rent. Respondents with children reported more familiarity with the RCC than those without children. Older respondents were more likely to say that they were familiar with the RCC than younger respondents. Business owners were more familiar with the RCC than non-business owners. And those who reported English to be the primary language spoken in their household were also significantly more familiar with the RCC than were those who reported other languages. Finally, women reported significantly more often that they were more familiar with the RCC than were men. All frequencies for demographic and substantive variables are shown in appendices C and D. A summary of significant demographic differences is in appendix E.

³ “Reston Community Center Needs Assessment Survey Topline Report,” prepared by Kohlman Atlee, April 2, 1999.

Reston Community Center Staff

Community Center staff had excellent ratings from those who reported some familiarity with the RCC. The statement that the staff is responsive to requests for information got the strongest agreement. Overall agreement that information provided is accurate had a slight edge over usefulness of the information and courteous service, but it was not significant as seen in Figure II-2.

Figure II-2: RCC Staff Ratings



There were not many significant demographic differences in the ratings. Those who own their own homes were somewhat more likely to agree that staff supplied useful information and courteous service than those who rent. And business owners were less likely to agree that staff is responsive to requests for information or that information is accurate.

Communication and Outreach

When asked how satisfied residents are in general with the job the RCC is doing in keeping them informed about programs and services, 95 percent indicated that they were somewhat or very satisfied. Well over half (56%) of those with some familiarity with RCC reported that they were very satisfied.


RCC uses a variety of methods to reach out to its constituency and keep them aware of the availability of the Community Center offerings. A list of

ways that RCC provides information to the public was presented to the respondent, who was then asked how important it was as a source of information about the Center and its programs.

Those with some familiarity with RCC overwhelmingly indicated that the Seasonal Program Guide was a very important (61.6%) source of information to them. The Guide was most often mentioned by respondents when adding those who said somewhat important as well (89.7%). This is a fairly visible communication, arriving in the household three times yearly. As it turned out, the winter '08 edition arrived as the survey was being conducted and so it was perhaps fresh in respondents' minds.

Local newspapers, such as the Reston Times, Observer and the Connection, were also noteworthy at 71 percent. Over 80 percent of respondents turn to family and friends as a source of information, but fewer cite it as a *very* important source for them. Figure II-3 below shows the different methods of communication and how important each mode is to respondent.

Figure II-3: Sources of Information on RCC Offerings



Email was least often mentioned as an important source of information on RCC. As residents become increasingly interested in electronic modes of communication, this may be an area where RCC wants to expand their efforts.

Mission Statement

The RCC has attempted to summarize its goals and responsibilities in a public mission statement. A new section of the survey this year probes each element of the statement, asking residents if they strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree that RCC is fulfilling the elements of its mission. Each element of the mission statement was probed with a separate question.


To create positive leisure experiences which enhance the quality of life for all people living and working in Greater Reston by:

Providing a broad range of programs in arts, aquatics, enrichment and life-long learning;

Creating and sustaining community traditions through special events, outreach activities, and facility rentals.

Figure II-4 illustrates that the level of agreement that RCC is fulfilling its mission is very high.

Figure II-4: Agreement with the Elements of the Mission Statement



The statement that RCC provides a broad range of programs in aquatics earned the highest percentage of residents who say they would strongly agree (64.8%) while the provision of a broad range of programs in enrichment activities such as bridge, Mah Jongg, social dances, yoga, trips etc, had the highest score when both strongly agree and agree are combined. The lowest percentage agreement was with the phrase “RCC provides a broad range of programs in life-long learning” (94.3%).

Residents who also report that their job is located in Reston tend to rate RCC lower in creating a positive leisure experience (94.1%), a broad range of programs in life long learning (91.4%) and in enhancing the quality of life for people living and working in Reston (92.5%).

The ratings given by those who own or operate a business in Reston, while still quite good, were lower than the overall scores. The statement that RCC provides a broad range of programs in aquatics earned 89.5 percent agreement from them compared to the overall score of 96 percent. Enrichment activities had 91.8 percent agreement, life-long learning had 86.8 percent and community traditions earned 89.4 percent agreement from Reston business owners or operators.

Community Appeal

Questions asking if the RCC makes Reston a more attractive place to live and a more attractive place for business have been asked since 2001. These questions allowed only a yes or no answer. This year showed a significant increase in those agreeing that the Community Center made Reston more attractive in both areas. This is illustrated in Figure II-5.


Over 93 percent of our respondents reported that the RCC makes Reston a more attractive place to live, and nearly 82 percent felt the same way in relation to business. This is a significant increase over the 89 percent and 71 percent of 2004 and similar ratings in 2001.

Those who own or operate a business in Reston were significantly different in this area also. They agreed with the statement that the Community Center made Reston more attractive for business only 66.5 percent of the time, compared to 83.7 percent for those who did not have a Reston business.

Those who rent gave higher ratings in both areas than those who own their homes. Women were

more likely to see Reston as attractive to business but respondents who are married were less likely to agree that it is attractive to business.

Figure II-5 : Does the RCC Make Reston More Attractive?



III Current Use


Frequency of Use

Reston residents are not only familiar with the RCC and largely believe that it enhances the quality of life in Reston, they also make good use of the Center. Almost 64 percent (63.9%) of respondents had personally used, or had a household member that had used, the RCC in the past 12 months. This is a significant increase over the 55.2% who reported that they or a family member had attended a program or activity, community-wide event, or private rental sponsored by or held at RCC in 2004.

Those people who reported using the RCC during the past 12 months were further asked how often they used it. Seventeen percent visit the RCC weekly, and 22.3 percent attend at least once a month. About 50 percent of respondents use the facilities a few times a year and finally, 10.7 percent use the facilities about once a year or less. These percentages do not differ significantly from those found on the earlier surveys.⁴

Figure III-1 illustrates the usage of the RCC in 2007 and in the previous years. The option Several times a week was not used in 2007.

Figure III-1: Frequency of Use



A follow-up question asking if they had used the facilities during the past five years was asked of those respondents who said that they had not used the RCC during the past 12 months. Of those asked almost 40 percent (37.9%) told us that they had, another significant increase over last time the survey was done. Thus, the vast majority (76.1%) of our respondents had used the RCC either during the past 12 months or during the past five years.⁵

⁴ In the 2001 survey, all participants were asked how often they used the RCC. In 2004, only those who reported that they had used the RCC during the past 12 months were specifically asked how often they use it. In order to make a direct comparison, the 2001 percentages reported in Figure 4 are based on only those who reported using the RCC during the past 12 months. These percentages therefore differ from those that appeared in the 2001 report, which were based on responses of all respondents.


⁵ Subsequent questions on usage were only asked of those respondents who reported that they or someone from their household had used RCC within the last five years, or 76.1% of the total survey respondents.

Specific Program Use

Questions were asked about some more specific programs and how they were used in the last twelve months. Respondents were asked if they or a family member had participated in an aquatic program at Hunters Woods. They were also asked if they had attended a class, workshop, or other program promoting leisure-time activities and if any family member had attended a professional theater performance or concert.

Figure III-2 shows that residents reported that they attended theater performances or concerts the most often. The first three questions also asked if respondents were satisfied with the value received in relationship to the fee paid. Of those who used the Hunters Woods pool, nearly 95 percent were somewhat or very satisfied. Ninety-five percent (95.5%) of those who said they used leisure-time activities were also satisfied with the fee paid. Those who had attended a professional theater performance or outdoor concert rated the value very highly, at 98 percent. Satisfaction with fees was not asked of those saying yes to the last two options, as there are no fees for attending those events.

Figure III-2 Use of Specific Programs in the last 12 months



Non-Users

Another new feature of this year’s survey was an attempt to probe into why people did not use RCC or if they had used it, why they no longer did. This was asked as an open-ended response question, where respondents are not constrained by the options available, but may offer whatever comes to mind. There was a list of possibilities available to the interviewer allowing for on-the-spot coding. When asked why they did not use the facilities at RCC, the option most often coded was “just moved to Reston” at 12.4 percent. For those who had used RCC, but had not used it in the past year, “kids grew up or left” was said 12.7 percent of the time. Both questions, though, had significant “other” responses (40 and 55 percent respectively). The frequent mention of time constraints may offer some insight into the barriers to community center use faced by residents. Careful scrutiny of the open-ended responses will afford the reader a more complete picture of the responses given. The complete text of all open-ended responses is available in Appendix H (bound separately).

IV Future Use

It is also important for the Reston Community Center Board of Governors to know which programs Reston residents believe they and their families will use in the future. The survey asked both respondents who reported current participation in each program and those who had not how likely it is that they, or a family member, would participate in such a program if offered in the future. Respondents could select that it was very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely.

Table IV-1 provides a rank-ordered list of likely future participation based on those reporting that it was very or somewhat likely that they or a family member would participate in the specific program in the future. Likelihood ratings tend to be higher than those who have actually participated in the program during the past 12 months. For example, 64.1 percent of respondents said that they or someone in their family are very or somewhat likely to attend a touring artist theater event in the future, whereas only 42.6 percent have actually attended a performance during the past 12 months.

Table IV-1: Ranked List of Projected Participation in Programs in the Future

Rank	Program	Percent Participating
1	Professional touring artists theatre event	64
2	Performing Arts program	62
3	Fitness & Exercise Programs	57
4	Trips & Tours	51
5	Enrichment program	50
6	Open or Lap Swim	48
7	Health & Wellness program	47
8(tie)	Cooking program	44
8 (tie)	Take-a-Break Concert	44
10	Craft	41
11	Music	40
12	Photography	39
13	Swim Instruction	33
14	Language Arts	31
15(tie)	Social Dances	30
15(tie)	Water Aerobics	30
17	Computer	20
18	Youth Summer Camp	26
19	Youth After-School Programs	24
20	Adapted Aquatics for individuals with disabilities	10

Attending theater performances, fitness and exercise programs, trips and tours and enrichment received the highest rankings out of the 20 programs on the list for future participation. Programs targeted at a particular audience, youth programs and the disabled, were the lowest on the ranked list at 18th, 19th, and 20th.

Those who said that it was either very or somewhat likely that they would use the programs in the future were then asked to report the age group of the likely participant. This served in many cases to substantiate assumptions. For instance, the highest projected use by youth came in programs targeted to their use, like summer camp (54%), after school programs (46%) and swim instruction (32.5%). Teens also had their highest projection in summer camp (30%). Adults made up the highest projected use for most categories, with overwhelming percentages in Take-a-Break concerts (74%) and touring artist theater events (73%). And although it ranked low overall, seniors thought they would participate in aquatics for individuals with disabilities (41%).

Activities have been divided into the following categories to highlight how different groups are likely to choose offerings that appeal to them: theater and concerts, health & fitness, educational programs, youth programs, and enrichment programs. This report describes statistical differences, that is, differences that probably did not result merely from sampling variability, but instead from real differences within the population.

Separate tables for each age group were prepared to show how programs would rank based on how they were rated by respondents. The responses somewhat likely and very likely for either the respondent or a family member to use the program were combined for this purpose. These tables are available in Appendix F: *Projected Future Use by Age*.

Theater and Concert Events

Professional touring artists' theater events and performing arts events were the biggest draws for the future. Adults ranked them number two and three at 74 percent and 72 percent. Seniors, however, drop them to seven and eight. Women were sig-

nificantly more likely to say they would attend a performing arts event than men.

Health and Fitness

Health and fitness programs rank high for adults, and show up in the mid range for seniors. Teens and Youth, perhaps as expected, place them near the bottom of the rankings.

Renters are more likely than homeowners to be interested in health and wellness programs. These programs also attract those of the lower income group, under \$35,000.

The never married are more likely to say they would participate in fitness and exercise programs, as are women, those with children at home and those who are younger.

The highest-ranking aquatics program was open or lap swim, favored by those living in Reston for 6-10 years. This group also said it was likely they would participate in swim instruction. Those of lower income (under \$35,000) were more likely to participate in swim instruction and water aerobics.

Adapted aquatics for those with disabilities, chosen significantly more often by seniors (41%), was also chosen by renters and those who are no longer married. Households with a teenager ranked this at number four, with 22 percent saying they would likely participate in the future. Those earning less than \$50,000 also cited it significantly more often. Although adapted aquatics did not have a very high percentage of residents overall saying they would be interested in the future, certain groups were very interested.

Educational programs

This category covers all the programs that offer some aspect of learning a new skill. These programs do differ significantly in appeal to different groups. Cooking programs managed to capture the number one spot for adults, with over 76%, but for teens, it was the last choice.

Trips and tours appeal to over 1/3 of seniors responding.

Photography appeals to men and business owners whereas women tend toward music. Those earning

less than \$35,000 are more likely to select a computer program than a cooking program. Those of Hispanic origin also choose computer classes but they are more likely to choose cooking.

Those who say English is not the language spoken at home are more likely to say that they would be interested in a language arts program in the future, perhaps because language arts includes English as a Second Language classes.

Youth Programs

Youth summer camps and after-school programs are popular with those earning \$26,000-\$37,000 as well as those who have lived in Reston from 6 to 10 years and renters. Those whose job is in Reston are significantly more likely to be interested in participating in youth summer camps in the future.

Perhaps it is obvious that respondents with children reported interest in these programs. Where young people between 6 and 17 were in the household, these programs were numbers one and two. They were three and four for preschoolers.

Enrichment Programs

Trips and tours, a very popular choice at number four, appeals to renters and those with a job outside Reston. The youngest (18-25) and the oldest (over 64) age groups are significantly more likely to say they would participate.

Those of lower income (under \$35,000) and Hispanics report an interest in social dances. Social dances are numbers ten and sixteen for adults and seniors, but move to number four for teens.

Music programs rank well for seniors and for children under the age of 13 and women are interested in enrichment programs in general.


V Attendance at Future Events

The Board of Governors felt it was also important to have information about intended attendance at events held at Community Center facilities or sponsored by the RCC.

As might be expected, those who were asked indicated that it was quite likely (64%) that they would attend events featuring touring artists visiting the theater. The focus on community awareness is evident in the events residents say they are most likely to attend such as the Multicultural Festival (69.4%) and the Thanksgiving Food Drive (75.6%).

The Reston Masters or RSTA swim practice did not have many who indicated it was likely that they would attend but those programs might appeal to a more targeted audience. The Reston Chorale or the Reston Community Players could anticipate a good turnout with 62.6 percent projecting future attendance. Martin Luther King Day celebrations and the annual Folkswalk had similar totals at 38.8 and 37.4% respectively; though MLK Day celebrations had the edge with 14.5 percent saying it was very likely they or a family member would attend. Further details are described in Figure V-1.

Figure V-1 Future Event Attendance



The longer a family has lived in Reston, the more likely it is that they will attend a professional touring artist theater event, Reston Chorale or Community Players performance. Those most likely to go to a Multicultural Festival have lived in Reston 6-10 years and are renters. Women report more interest in the Thanksgiving Food Drive and in the performance events.

Those who report a job in Reston are more likely to want to participate in the Multicultural Festival

in the future, but less likely to go to a Reston Chorale or Community Players performance. The oldest (over 64) and youngest (18-24) age groups are least likely to report interest in the Folkswalk in the future.


Residents who do not speak English at home are significantly more likely to participate in the Martin Luther King Day celebration and the Multicultural Festival, but less likely to attend the performance events, including the Take-a-Break concerts.

VI Future Direction

Expansion

All respondents were then asked whether they think the RCC should expand its programs, reduce its programs, or keep them at the level they are at now. The majority (65%) felt that the RCC should keep the programs at the level that they are at now. Slightly more than 32 percent (32.4%) thought that the programs should be expanded, whereas only 2.6 percent thought that they should be reduced. Figure VI-1 illustrates these percentages. Comparing the two years does show the change in attitude toward expansion. The number of people who say that programs should remain as they are has significantly increased.

Figure VI-1 Support for Expanded Programs




Facility Enhancements

In addition to questions about expanded programs in general, the Board of Governors was interested in resident’s input as they consider investment in the expansion of more specific areas. Five areas were targeted, including rental space including a large community room, more classroom and meeting rooms, additional art studios, dedicated fitness rooms and a larger theater.

Figure VI-2 shows the results of these questions. A noteworthy finding was the significant support for additional art studios. With 30 percent considering this as a very important improvement, it is the option with the most support. Overall, combining very and somewhat important responses, this option has 66.2 percent support. Least overall support went to dedicated fitness rooms with 43.5 percent saying it was at least somewhat important.

Figure VI-2 Support for Facility Enhancements



Dedicated fitness rooms had the most support from those who had lived in Reston the shortest time (less than 10 years) and the least from those who had never married. If children were in the household, support was strong for fitness rooms, art studios and additional rental space. These same items found support from those in the younger age groups. Women also gave significant support to

additional art studios. Residents who do not report English as the primary language spoken at home find a larger theater, dedicated fitness rooms and additional art studios to be important.

VII Governance

Questions designed to set a baseline for levels of participation in RCC government and to assess the perceived effectiveness of different modes of communication available to residents were new this year. Time constraints made it necessary to split this section, asking the first part to 50% of respondents. Additional questions, specifically targeted at voting, were asked to 70% of respondents. The questions were introduced with the following statement:


The Reston Community Center is governed by a Board of Governors made up of Reston residents who have been appointed by the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors. The RCC Board holds monthly board and committee meetings that are open to the public. Members of the public are encouraged to attend these meetings and to participate in them.

Participation in Governance

Residents were then asked how important it is to participate in the governance of the Reston Community Center. With 77 percent of residents feeling it is at least somewhat important, participation

is a widely respected value. The breakdown of responses is shown in Figure VII-1. Note that the option of saying “don’t care” was available to the interviewers to code if it was offered as an answer, but it was not read to respondents. It was felt that not caring was different than not important and since it was not known how often it might occur, this attempt was made to capture that possibility separately.

Figure VII-1: Importance of Participation



Methods of Participation

Those who indicated that participation is at least somewhat important were then asked about various ways residents could participate in the governance of the RCC. They were asked to say that it was very important, somewhat important or not important as a way of influencing the policies of the Community Center. Details of the breakdown of responses are shown in Figure VII-2.


There is notable difference in the perceived importance of these methods of making your opinion heard in your local government. Getting appointed to the Board of Governors was perceived to be the least important (42%), while almost the same percentage of respondents rated providing suggestions to staff (41%) to be very important. The weight of voting shows up here, giving this option the high-

est rating, with 50 percent saying it is very important and 86 percent when very and somewhat important are combined.

Several options hover around 30 percent as a very important way for citizens to participate in the governance of the RCC, namely participating in a Citizen’s Advisory Committee, writing letters to the Hunters Mill County Supervisor, participating in Board or County meetings and getting appointed to the Board of Governors. Only 54 percent said that an appointment to the Board of Governors was very or somewhat important.

Recent residents are more likely to place importance on voting in the Preference Poll, participating in a Citizen Advisory Committee or getting appointed to the Board.

Figure VII-2: Methods of Citizen Participation



Renters find that taking part in committee or Board meetings or in hearings on the RCC budget are important. Income plays an interesting role in influencing the importance residents place on voting in the annual Preference Poll. Those with the highest income (95%) and those with the lowest

income (94%) give it the highest rating, while those of median income (77%) place significantly less importance on it.


Changes in Voting Methods

Residents were then asked if they were aware that RCC conducts a Preference Poll each October that allows Reston residents to vote their preference for the Board of Governors candidates. More than ½ (52.2%) of those asked were aware of the poll. Of that number, 42.8 percent said they had actually voted in the most recent Poll. Indications from RCC staff are that far fewer residents actually voted in the most recent preference poll than answers to this question would indicate, but this level of positive response does support the idea that government participation in Reston is still a valued ideal.

The idea of changing the method of voting in Reston is a crucial decision for the Board in the future.

Every respondent was reminded that currently every resident of Reston who is at least 18 years of age is eligible to vote, and then different methods were presented. Respondents were asked which method of voting they would most prefer. Voting at a village center on Polling Day and voting for two weeks prior to Polling Day are already available options although that was not noted when the options were presented to respondents. Figure VII-3 shows the significant support (59%) for electronic voting.


Figure VII-3: Proposed Voting Methods



Those of higher income (over \$75,000), were significantly more likely to choose this method of voting. Support for electronic voting is high for

both owners and renters, men and women, whether their job is in Reston or not. Mail-in voting received some significant support from residents of lower incomes (under \$15,000 and \$35-50,000), residents of Reston for 25 or more years and women. Support for walk-in voting for two weeks prior to Polling Day did not receive strong support from any group. Hispanics and those of the lowest income category (under \$35,000) gave it the highest ratings at just over 29 percent and 33 percent.

Figure VII-4: Support for Change in Voting Method



The following question describes the proposed options more specifically.

One proposal to increase the participation in the Preference Poll is to set up electronic voting or via a paper ballot mailed individually to each household. However, it would require a change in voting rules to allow one vote per household instead of one vote per person.

Would you support a change in the voting procedure to one vote per household to permit voting either electronically or via a paper ballot mailed individually to each household?

As seen in Figure VII-4: with 65 percent showing some support, the results show the Board will find backing for this innovation from many groups.

Support for change was strong among the same groups that were likely to support electronic voting. Support was noteworthy whether there were children in the household or not, although if children were there, it was stronger.

VIII Concluding Summary

Overall, the people of Reston are very familiar with the RCC and believe that it is an important part of the community, contributing greatly to the attractiveness of Reston. Furthermore, people are very happy with the staff and the way the RCC makes information available to its constituency.

The inclusion this year of questions on the RCC mission statement clearly shows that most residents agree that RCC is fulfilling its mission.

This year, questions on current use of programs and facilities were used to ensure that subsequent questions were appropriate for the respondent. Since information on usage is available through RCC's own records, significant probing in this area was not done to make room for new questions on emerging issues.

Respondents were asked about the areas they thought they would use in the future. Theater and concert events were cited the most often. This section does most clearly show the significant differences in RCC's constituencies. The preferences and needs of certain groups determine the appeal of different programs and facilities, and it is evident even for programs that show less universal attraction that they have significant appeal to distinct groups.

RCC's Board has considered capital improvements in five distinct areas. Since these areas require significant outlay of resources, they were presented to residents for reaction. As with other program areas, items attracted different user groups. Additional art studios were by far the most often cited, with 66 percent of those who were asked respond-

ing that they found them at least somewhat important.

Finally, RCC governance and voting were probed. The reported interest in this area was high, showing at least that it is a valued ideal. Residents found it either somewhat or very important that they participate in the specific ways they could influence RCC government. There is also significant interest in changing the way Preference Poll voting is handled in Reston.

RCC provided for further research by asking respondents who met predetermined criteria if it would be acceptable to contact them again in the future. Respondents who had used the Center within the last five years, but had not used it in the last year as well as those who reported that their job was in Reston were included. Two-thirds (67.3%) of residents who no longer used the RCC were willing to be contacted about planning for future services of the Center. Three-quarters (75.6%) of Reston residents who also worked there agreed to being contacted about how the Center might better serve local businesses and employees. These groups may serve as the foundation for group sessions focused on specific issues in the future.

This report is offered to the Reston Community Center Board of Governors in the hope that substantive information on the preferences and priorities of their constituencies will help them to continue to make the Community Center relevant and appealing to Reston residents. CSR has been privileged to be a part of this effort.

Appendix A

***Reston Community Center 2007 Citizen Survey
Questionnaire***

INTRODUCTION

{INTRO}

Q1. Hello, I'm calling on behalf of the Reston Community Center from the Center for Survey Research at the University of Virginia. The RCC asked us to conduct a survey of area residents to find out how they feel about their Community Center. We're not asking for donations. Your answers will help RCC improve the services they provide. My name is _____, and it'll take just a minute to have the computer select someone from your household to participate.

[IV info: It doesn't matter whether anyone in your household is currently using the facilities.]

[IF CALL-BACK] Hello, I'm calling on behalf of the Reston Community Center from the Center for Survey Research at the University of Virginia. The RCC has asked us to conduct a survey of area residents to find out how they feel about their Community Center. [We had started a survey with someone in your home but were unable to complete it.] OR [Previous we had selected {talked to} _____ about the survey and I'd like to go ahead with it now.

{LASTBDAY}

Q2. In order to make sure participants are picked randomly, I need to speak with the person in this household who is over 18 and has had the most recent birthday. [IF NECESSARY] I don't mean the youngest person in your house; I mean the last one to have had a birthday according to the calendar. Is that you?

{CONFIRM}

Q3. I first need to confirm that you live in the area covered by the Reston Community Center. What is your zipcode?

- 1 20190
- 2 20191
- 3 20194
- 4 OTHER (Specify)
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{RIGO}

Q4. O.K. Let's move on to the rest of the survey, which should take about 15 minutes. I want to remind you that all of your answers are confidential, and you can decline to answer any question at any time. If you have any questions as we go along, please feel free to ask.

- 1 R1 READY, PROCEED
- 2 R1 CALLBACK [GET NAME OF R1 FOR CALLBACK MESSAGE LINE
- 3 R1 REFUSES

Section I-How does RCC stand out among the other organizations in the area

There are many organizations in the Reston area that may provide recreational activities. We're interested in which organizations come to mind when people think about different leisure time opportunities.

{RCC1a}

Q5. When you think about local opportunities to participate in aquatic programs such as swim classes, water aerobics, and/or open lap swim, which organizations come to mind? [CHOOSE ALL THAT APPLY]

- 1 RESTON ASSOCIATION (RA)
- 2 RESTON CITIZEN'S ASSOCIATION (RCA)
- 3 RESTON COMMUNITY CENTER (RCC)
- 4 RESTON YMCA
- 5 FAIRFAX COUNTY PARK AUTHORITY
- 6 WORLDGATE SPORTS & HEALTH
- 7 HERNDON COMMUNITY CENTER
- 8 OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 9 NONE/ DON'T KNOW

{RCC1b}

Q6. When you think about local opportunities to attend community-wide festivals and events which organization comes to mind? [IF NECESSARY SAY: we mean events such as the Reston Festival, Multicultural Festival, Martin Luther King Day Celebration] [CHOOSE ALL THAT APPLY]

- 1 RESTON ASSOCIATION (RA)
- 2 RESTON CITIZEN'S ASSOCIATION (RCA)
- 3 RESTON COMMUNITY CENTER (RCC)
- 4 RESTON YMCA
- 5 FAIRFAX COUNTY PARK AUTHORITY
- 6 RESTON TOWN CENTER
- 7 OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8 NONE/ DON'T KNOW

{RCC1c}

Q7. When you think about local opportunities to attend classes, workshops, or other programs designed to promote leisure-time enjoyment, which organizations come to mind? [CHOOSE ALL THAT APPLY]

- 1 RESTON ASSOCIATION (RA)
- 2 RESTON CITIZEN'S ASSOCIATION (RCA)
- 3 RESTON COMMUNITY CENTER (RCC) (INCLUDING LAKE ANNE)
- 4 RESTON YMCA
- 5 FAIRFAX COUNTY PARK AUTHORITY
- 6 GEORGE MASON
- 7 HERNDON COMMUNITY

- 8 NORTHERN VA COMMUNITY COLLEGE
- 9 OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 10 NONE/ DON'T KNOW

{RCC1d}

Q8. When you think about local opportunities to attend theatre performances and/or outdoor concerts, which organization comes to mind? [CHOOSE ALL THAT APPLY]

- 1 RESTON ASSOCIATION (RA)
- 2 RESTON CITIZEN'S ASSOCIATION (RCA)
- 3 RESTON COMMUNITY CENTER (RCC)
- 4 RESTON YMCA
- 5 FAIRFAX COUNTY PARK AUTHORITY
- 6 WOLF TRAP
- 7 OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8 NONE/ DON'T KNOW

{RCC1e}

Q9. When you think about local opportunities to rent a room for a private meeting, party, or other function, which organizations come to mind? [CHOOSE ALL THAT APPLY]

- 1 RESTON ASSOCIATION (RA)
- 2 RESTON CITIZEN'S ASSOCIATION (RCA)
- 3 RESTON COMMUNITY CENTER (RCC)
- 4 RESTON YMCA
- 5 FAIRFAX COUNTY PARK AUTHORITY
- 6 LOCAL HOTEL
- 7 LOCAL RESTAURANT
- 8 OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 9 NONE/ DON'T KNOW

Section II - Sources of Information of RCC

{RCC2}

Q10. How familiar are you with the facilities and programs of the Reston Community Center?
Would you say you are:

- 1 Very familiar
- 2 Somewhat familiar
- 3 Not at all familiar
- 4 Never heard of RCC
- 5 DON'T KNOW
- 6 REFUSED

Read if RCC2=4 before Skip to Reside

The RCC website has an on-line course catalogue and a 5-minute video of RCC and its many programs. It is located at www.restoncommunitycenter.gov

{RCC3}

Ask 15% of respondents if RCC2=1 or 2

When you think of the Reston Community Center, what comes to mind?

{RCC4}

If RCC2=1 or 2 Ask 50% of total possible respondents

Q11. I'm going to read a list of ways that the RCC provides information to the public. For each one, please tell me how important it is to you as a source of information about the Community Center and its programs. Would you say that it is very important, somewhat important, or not important?

- 1 Local newspapers (Reston Times, Observer, Connection - WEEKLY
- 2 Seasonal Program Guide -3 PER YEAR, WINTER SCHEDULE WILL ARRIVE NEXT WEEK
- 3 CenterStage Touring Artist Series Mailer -1 PER YEAR
- 4 Program Flyers -ALL THE TIME
- 5 RCC Website
- 6 Email
- 7 Friends and family
- 8 RCC staff and Instructors

{RCC5}

Ask 50% of total possible respondents

Q12. Of those items we just mentioned, how would you prefer to receive information about RCC programs?[CHOOSE UP TO 3] [PROMPT, IF NECESSARY: Is there anything else?]

- 1 Local newspapers (Reston Times, Observer, Connection)
- 2 Seasonal Program Guide
- 3 CenterStage Touring Artist Series Mailer
- 4 Program Flyers
- 5 RCC Website
- 6 Email
- 7 Friends and family
- 8 RCC Staff and Instructors
- 9 Other [SPECIFY]
- 10 DON'T WANT TO RECEIVE/ DON'T OFFER
- 98 DON'T KNOW
- 99 REFUSED [VOLUNTEERED]

{RCC6a_1}

Skip to RCC9 if RCC2=3

Q13. Now I am going to read you some statements about RCC's staff and the information that it provides to the public. Based on your experiences, please tell me if you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements:

The RCC Staff is responsive to requests for information.

- 1 Strongly Agree
- 2 Agree
- 3 Disagree
- 4 Strongly Disagree
- 8 NO OPINION/DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{RCC6a_2}

Q14. The information provided by RCC staff is accurate.

- 1 Strongly Agree
- 2 Agree
- 3 Disagree
- 4 Strongly Disagree
- 8 NO OPINION/DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{RCC6a_3}

Q15. The RCC staff provides useful information to its patrons.

- 1 Strongly Agree
- 2 Agree
- 3 Disagree
- 4 Strongly Disagree

- 8 NO OPINION/DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{RCC6a_4}

Q16. The RCC staff provides courteous service.

- 1 Strongly Agree
- 2 Agree
- 3 Disagree
- 4 Strongly Disagree
- 8 NO OPINION/DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{RCC6}

Q17. Overall, how satisfied are you with the job the RCC is doing in keeping you informed about its programs and services?

- 1 Very Satisfied
- 2 Somewhat Satisfied
- 3 Somewhat Dissatisfied
- 4 Very Dissatisfied
- 8 UNABLE TO RATE/DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

Section III - Familiarity with RCC Mission & Importance to Community

{RCC7_1}

Q18. I am going to read a series of statements about the Reston Community Center. For each one, tell me if you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree

The RCC creates positive leisure experiences.

- 1 Strongly Agree
- 2 Somewhat Agree
- 3 Somewhat Disagree
- 4 Strongly Disagree
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{RCC7_2}

Q19. The RCC enhances the quality of life for people living and working in greater Reston.

- 1 Strongly Agree
- 2 Somewhat Agree
- 3 Somewhat Disagree
- 4 Strongly Disagree
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{RCC7_3}

Q20. The RCC provides a broad range of programs in arts.

- 1 Strongly Agree
- 2 Somewhat Agree
- 3 Somewhat Disagree
- 4 Strongly Disagree
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{RCC7_4}

Q21. The RCC provides a broad range of programs in aquatics.

- 1 Strongly Agree
- 2 Somewhat Agree
- 3 Somewhat Disagree
- 4 Strongly Disagree
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{RCC7_5}

Q22. The RCC provides a broad range of programs in enrichment activities such as Bridge, Mah Jongg, Social Dances, Yoga, various trips, etc.

- 1 Strongly Agree
- 2 Somewhat Agree
- 3 Somewhat Disagree
- 4 Strongly Disagree
- 5 DON'T KNOW
- 6 REFUSED

{RCC7_6}

Q23. The RCC provides a broad range of programs in life-long learning.

- 1 Strongly Agree
- 2 Somewhat Agree
- 3 Somewhat Disagree
- 4 Strongly Disagree
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{RCC7_7}

Q24. The RCC creates and sustains community traditions through special events, outreach activities, and facility rentals.

- 1 Strongly Agree
- 2 Somewhat Agree
- 3 Somewhat Disagree
- 4 Strongly Disagree
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{RCC9}

Q25. In your opinion, does the RCC make Reston a more attractive place to live?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{RCC10}

Q26. In your opinion, does the RCC make Reston a more attractive place for business?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

Section V - Current and Future Participation

{RCC14}

Q27. We would like to ask you about how often you or the members of your family take advantage of the RCC. In the past twelve months, have you or a family member ever attended a program or activity, community-wide event, or private rental sponsored by or held at the Reston Community Center?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

If RCC14>1, Go To RCC16

{RCC15}

Q28. How often would you say that you or a member of your family use the facilities at RCC or participate in its programs?

- 1 Weekly
- 2 At least once a month
- 3 A few times a year
- 4 About once a year
- 5 Never
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

Go To RCC17a

{RCC16}

Q29. Has any member of your family participated in any of the RCC programs within the past five years?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

If RCC16>1 Go To RCC25

{RCC17a}

Q30. Has any member of your family used the RCC pool at Hunters Woods to participate in a swimming or other Aquatic program within the past 12 months?

AQUATICS INCLUDE USING THE POOL FOR SWIMMING OR OTHER ACTIVITIES

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{RCC17b}

IF RCC17a=1 (yes):

Q31. Were you satisfied with the value you received in relationship to the fee that you paid?

- 1 Very satisfied
- 2 Somewhat satisfied
- 3 Somewhat dissatisfied
- 4 Very dissatisfied
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{RCC17c}

Q32. Would you say that members of your family are very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely to participate in [READ FROM LIST] if it is offered in the future?"

LIST: Open or Lap Swim; Swim Instruction; Water Aerobics; Adapted Aquatics for individuals with disabilities

- 1 Very likely
- 2 Somewhat likely
- 3 Somewhat Unlikely
- 4 Very Unlikely
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{RCC17d}

Q33. In which age groups are the members of your family that would participate in _____ if it were offered in the future--are they preschool, youth, teen, adult or senior age group?

- 1 Preschool: 0 - 5
- 2 Youth: 6 - 12
- 3 Teen: 13 - 17
- 4 Adult: 18 - 54
- 5 Senior: 55+
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{RCC19a}

Q34. Has any member of your family attended a class, workshop, or other program sponsored by RCC and designed to promote leisure-time enjoyment within the past 12 months?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{RCC19b}

IF RCC19a=1 (yes):

Q35. Were you satisfied with the value you received in relationship to the fee that you paid?

- 1 Very likely
- 2 Somewhat likely
- 3 Somewhat Unlikely
- 4 Very Unlikely
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

Q36. Select After-school programs, Fitness & Exercise programs; & Youth Summer Camp programs each time, then 6 of remaining 12 randomly

{RCC19c}

Would you say that members of your family are very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely to participate in [READ FROM LIST] if they're offered in the future?

List: After school programs; Computer programs; Cooking programs; Craft programs; Enrichment programs; Fitness & Exercise programs; Health & Wellness programs; Language Arts programs; Music programs; Performing Arts programs; Photography programs; Social Dances or Similar Programs; Trips & Tours programs; Visual Arts programs; Youth Summer Camp programs.

- 1 Very likely
- 2 Somewhat likely
- 3 Somewhat Unlikely
- 4 Very Unlikely
- 8 Don't Know
- 9 Refused

{RCC19d}

Q37. In which age groups are the members of your family that would participate in _____ if it were offered in the future--are they preschool, youth, teen, adult or senior age group?

- 1 Preschool
- 2 Youth
- 3 Teen
- 4 Adult
- 5 Senior
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{RCC20}

Q38. Has any member of your family attended a professional theatre performance or outdoor concert sponsored by RCC within the past 12 months?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{RCC20b}

IF RCC20=1 (yes):

Q39. Were you satisfied with the value you received in relationship to the fee that you paid?

- 1 Very satisfied
- 2 Somewhat satisfied
- 3 Somewhat dissatisfied
- 4 Very dissatisfied
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{RCC20c}

Q40. Would you say that members of your family are very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely to participate in [READ FROM LIST] if it is offered in the future?

List: A professional touring artists theatre event; a Take-a-Break Concert

- 1 Very likely
- 2 Somewhat likely
- 3 Somewhat Unlikely
- 4 Very Unlikely
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{RCC20d}

Q41. In which age groups are members of your family that would participate in _____ if it were offered in the future--are they preschool, youth, teen, adult or senior age group? [SELECT ALL THAT APPLY]

- 1 Preschool
- 2 Youth
- 3 Teen
- 4 Adult
- 5 Senior
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{RCC21a}

Q42. Has any member of your family attended an event at RCC within the past 12 months that was sponsored by an outside group that had rented the Community Center's facilities? [This would include a Reston Community Players or Reston Chorale performance, a private meeting or party held at RCC facilities, or a Reston Masters or Reston Swim Team Association (RSTA) swim practice]

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{RCC21b}

Q43. Would you say that members of your family are very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely to rent a room or attend [READ FROM LIST] if it is offered in the future?"

List: A Private Meeting or Party; Reston Community Players or Reston Chorale performance; Reston Masters or RSTA Swim Practice

- 1 Very likely
- 2 Somewhat likely
- 3 Somewhat Unlikely
- 4 Very Unlikely
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{RCC18a}

Q44. Has any member of your family participated in a Community-wide event sponsored by RCC within the past 12 months?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

INTERVIEWER: Community-wide events include The MLK Day Celebration, The Multicultural Festival, The Thanksgiving Food Drive, The Folkswalk

{RCC18c}

Q45. Would you say that members of your family are very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely to participate in the [READ FROM LIST] if it is offered in the future?

List: The MLK Day Celebration; The Multicultural Festival; The Thanksgiving Food Drive; The Folkswalk

- 1 Very likely
- 2 Somewhat likely
- 3 Somewhat Unlikely
- 4 Very Unlikely
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

Section VII - Reasons for not Using RCC

{RCC25}

If RCC16>1

Q46. Why haven't you used RCC in the past?

[Interviewer: DON'T READ CHOICES, CHOOSE ALL THAT APPLY]

- 1 JUST MOVED TO RESTON
- 2 NOT FAMILIAR WITH THE ORGANIZATION
- 3 HAVE NOT RECEIVED INFORMATION ABOUT CURRENT PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES
- 4 PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES AREN'T OFFERED AT A CONVENIENT TIME
- 5 PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES AREN'T INTERESTING
- 6 TOO EXPENSIVE
- 7 LOCATIONS ARE TOO FAR AWAY OR NOT CONVENIENT
- 8 LACK OF TRANSPORTATION
- 9 OTHER [SPECIFY]
- 98 DON'T KNOW
- 99 REFUSED

{RCC26}

If RCC14>1 and RCC16=1

Q47. Why did you stop using the RCC?

[Interviewer: DON'T READ CHOICES, CHOOSE ALL THAT APPLY]

- 1 KIDS GREW UP/LEFT
- 2 HAVE NOT BEEN RECEIVING INFORMATION ABOUT CURRENT PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES
- 3 PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES AREN'T OFFERED AT A CONVENIENT TIME
- 4 PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES ARE NOT INTERESTING
- 5 TOO EXPENSIVE
- 6 LOCATIONS ARE TOO FAR AWAY OR NOT CONVENIENT
- 7 LACK OF TRANSPORTATION
- 8 OTHER
- 98 DON'T KNOW
- 99 REFUSED

Section VI - Expansion of Facilities and Programs

{RCC22}

Q48. Here are some questions about RCC's future. Do you think that RCC should increase its programs, reduce its programs, or keep them at the level they are at now?

- 1 Increase
- 2 Reduce
- 3 Keep them as they are
- 4 NOT SURE
- 9 REFUSED

[TAKE 60% OF TOTAL POSSIBLE RESPONDENTS]

{RCC23}

Q49. I'm going to read a list of possible enhancements to RCC's facilities. How important would each of these be for you and your family? You can say it would be very important, somewhat important, or not important.

LIST: Larger pool, Dedicated fitness rooms, Additional art studios, More classroom and meeting rooms, Additional rental space including a large community room, Other (please describe)

- 1 VERY IMPORTANT
- 2 SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
- 3 NOT IMPORTANT
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

[TAKE 15% OF TOTAL POSSIBLE RESPONDENTS]

{RCC24}

Q50. Are there any other enhancements to the facilities or any additional programs that you or members of your household would like RCC to offer?

Section IV – Governance

[TAKE 50% OF TOTAL POSSIBLE RESPONDENTS]

The Reston Community Center is governed by a Board of Governors made up of Reston residents who have been appointed by the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors. The RCC Board holds monthly board and committee meetings that are open to the public. Members of the public are encouraged to attend these meetings and to participate in them.

{parti}

Q51. How important would you say it is for residents such as yourself to participate in the governance of the Reston Community Center?

- 1 Very Important
- 2 Somewhat Important
- 3 Not important
- 4 DON'T CARE
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

If parti= 3 or 4, Go To Poll

{partiL}

Q52. I'm going to read a list of ways in which Reston residents such as you can participate in the governance of the RCC. For each one, tell me how important it is to you as a way of influencing the policies of the Community Center. Would you say that it is very important, somewhat important, or not important.

- 1 Getting appointed to the Board of Governors
- 2 Voting in the Annual Preference Poll [Preference poll each October that allows Reston residents to vote their preference for the Board of Governors candidates]
- 3 Participating in Board or Committee meetings
- 4 Participating in the Annual Public Hearing on the RCC Budget
- 5 Providing program suggestion to the staff
- 6 Writing letters to the county
- 7 Communicating Directly with Board of Governors Members
- 8 Writing letters to the Hunter Mill District County Supervisor
- 9 Participating in a Citizen Advisory Committee

[TAKE 70% OF PREVIOUS {USE 50% NOT ASKED PARTI + 20% MORE}]

{Poll}

Q53. Are you aware that RCC conducts a Preference Poll each October that allows Reston residents to vote their preference for the Board of Governors candidates?

- 1 Yes

- 2 No
- 9 Refused

{voted}

Q54. Did you vote in the most recent Preference Poll?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 9 Refused

If voted=2 and Poll=1

{whynot}

Q55. Why not?

[TAKE 70% OF POSSIBLE {USE 50% NOT ASKED PARTI + 20% MORE}]

{method}

Q56. Currently every resident of Small District 5 (Reston) 18 years of age and older is eligible to vote in the annual Preference Poll. What method of voting would you most prefer?

- 1 Walk-in voting at RCC during the two weeks prior to the Community Polling Day
- 2 Voting at one of Reston's village centers on Community Polling Day
- 3 Mail-in voting
- 4 Electronic voting via the internet
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

[TAKE 70% OF POSSIBLE {USE 50% NOT ASKED PARTI + 20% MORE}]

{Vote1}

Q57. One proposal to increase the participation in the Preference Poll is to set up electronic voting or via a paper ballot mailed individually to each household. However, it would require a change in voting rules to allow one vote per household instead of one vote per person. Would you support a change to the voting procedure to one vote per household to permit voting either electronically via the internet or via a paper ballot mailed individually to each household?

- 1 Strongly support
- 2 Somewhat support
- 3 Neutral
- 4 Somewhat oppose
- 5 Strongly oppose
- 8 DON'T KNOW/REFUSED

Section VIIIa - Demographics

{RESIDE}

Q58. Now we'd like to ask just a few questions about you. Again, all your answers are confidential and if there are any you don't wish to answer, just say so. We collect this information only for statistical purposes. How long have you lived in Reston?

- 1 LESS THAN ONE YEAR
- 2 1 TO less than 5 YEARS
- 3 5 TO less than 10 YEARS
- 4 10 TO less than 25 YEARS
- 5 25 YEARS or more
- 6 WHOLE LIFE
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{HOME}

Q59. Do you own your home or rent?

- 1 OWN (or buying)
- 2 RENT
- 3 OTHER [SPECIFY]
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

{MARITAL}

Q60. What is your marital status?

- 1 MARRIED
- 2 SEPARATED
- 3 DIVORCED
- 4 WIDOWED
- 5 NEVER MARRIED
- 9 REFUSED

{CHILD}

Q61. Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home?

- 1 YES
- 2 NO
- 9 REFUSED

{CHILD2}

If 2 on CHILD, SKIP TO EDUCATION

Q62. How many of those children are less than 5 years of age?

{CHILD3}

Q63. How many of those children are between 5 and 11 years of age?

{CHILD4}

Q64. How many of those children are between 12 and 18 years of age? [INTERVIEWER ENTER NUMBER]

{EDUC}

Q65. What is the highest level of education you completed?

- 1 LESS THAN 9TH GRADE
- 2 9TH-12TH, BUT DID NOT FINISH HIGH SCHOOL
- 3 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE
- 4 SOME COLLEGE, BUT NO DEGREE
- 5 2-YEAR COLLEGE DEGREE
- 6 4-YEAR COLLEGE DEGREE
- 7 SOME GRADUATE WORK
- 8 COMPLETED MASTERS OR PROFESSIONAL DEGREE
- 9 ADVANCED GRADUATE WORK OR PH.D
- 98 DON'T KNOW
- 99 REFUSED

{GENDER}

Q66. INTERVIEWER TO CONFIRM RESPONDENT'S GENDER, IF ABLE TO DETERMINE

- 1 MALE
- 2 FEMALE
- 3 NOT SURE

}

{LANGUAGE}

Q67. Is English the language primarily spoken in your household?

- 1 YES
- 2 NO
- 3 NOT SURE
- 9 REFUSED/NO ANSWER

{LANG2}

ASK IF 2 OR 3 ON LANGUAGE

Q68. What language is primarily spoken in your household?

{YEARBORN}

Q69. In what year were you born?

{RCC49}

Q70. Would you like someone from the Reston Community Center to contact you?

- 1 YES
- 2 NO

Section VIIIb: Business Related Demographic Questions

{WORK}

Q71. Which of the following best describes you? Are you working full-time, working part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student?

- 1 WORKING FULL-TIME
- 2 WORKING PART-TIME
- 3 LOOKING FOR WORK
- 4 HOMEMAKER
- 5 RETIRED
- 6 STUDENT
- 7 OTHER [VOLUNTEERED]
- 8 REFUSED

{JOBLOC}

If 1 or 2 on WORK

Q72. Is your job located in Reston or elsewhere?

- 1 RESTON
- 2 ELSEWHERE
- 8 NOT SURE
- 9 REFUSED

{SIZEBUS}

If 1 on JOBLOC

Q73. How many people are employed at the place where you work?

- 1 1-4
- 2 5-9
- 3 10-19
- 4 20-49
- 5 50-99
- 6 100-249
- 7 250-499
- 8 500-999
- 9 1000+
- 10 DK/REF

{OWNPROP}

Q74. Do you or anyone in your household own commercial or rental property in Reston?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 8 Don't know
- 9 Refused

{OWNBUS}

Q75. Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 8 Don't know
- 9 Refused

{useeven}

Skip if ownbus > 1

Q76. Are you aware that your employees may use RCC facilities at resident rates even though they are not a Reston resident?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 8 Don't know
- 9 Refused

{HISPANIC}

Q77. Do you consider yourself to be of Hispanic origin?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 8 Don't know
- 9 Refused

{ETHNICITY}

Q78. I am going to read a list of racial categories. Would you tell me what category best describes you?

- 1 white,
- 2 [READ ONE] African American or black
- 3 Asian [INCLUDING SOUTH ASIAN]
- 4 American Indian
[NATIVE AMERICAN; INCLUDES ESKIMO, ALEUT]
- 5 Pacific Islander
- 6 OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 7 REFUSED/ NO ANSWER

{INCOME}

Q79. I'm going to read a list of income ranges. Would you please stop me when I read the range that best describes your annual household income from all sources? That would be before taxes and other deductions.

- 1 Less than 15 thousand
- 2 Fifteen to 35 thousand
- 3 Thirty-five to 50 thousand
- 4 Fifty to 75 thousand
- 5 Seventy-five to 100 thousand

- 6 One hundred to 150 thousand
- 7 over 150 thousand
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 REFUSED

Go To Thanks If Not Selected For Recruit1 or Recruit2

{recruit1}

Ask all who receive RCC26 (stopped using RCC)

Q80. You've been very generous with your time. Before I say goodbye, let me mention that Reston Community Center may want to contact you in the near future about planning for future services of the Center. Would it be OK for them to contact you again?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No (Go to Thanks)

Go To phone1

{recruit2}

Ask all who said YES to JOBLOC (work in Reston)

Q81. You've been very generous with your time. Before I say goodbye, let me mention that Reston Community Center may want to contact you in the near future about how the Center can better serve local businesses and their employees. Would it be OK for them to contact you again?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No (Go to Thanks)

{Phone1}

Q82. The number dialed was: _____. What is the best number to reach you at?

[IV: IF ANOTHER PHONE NUMBER PREFERRED, TYPE IN ALL NUMBERS WITHOUT DASHES – INCLUDE AREACODE]

Let me assure you that all this data is held confidential by researchers on the study. No names are used, no names are sold.

{Phone2}

Q83. Is there another phone number where we might reach you?

[IV: TYPE ALL NUMBERS WITHOUT DASHES - INCLUDE AREACODE]

{addressZ}

Q84. May I CONFIRM/ASK FOR your address?

[Programmer: show the address]

{emailZ}

Q85. If you have email, we can put that too?

[IV: PLEASE READ BACK]

{NAMEZ}

Q86. And finally, may I have your name, please?

[IV: PLEASE READ BACK SPELLING]

{THANKS}

Q87. Thank you very much for participating in this survey. We appreciate the time you have taken to complete the questionnaire. The survey results will be reported to the Board of Governors of the Reston Community Center at a future meeting.

[READ IF NECESSARY] If you have any questions about the purpose of this study, you can call Tom Ward, Acting Executive Director of the Reston Community Center at (703) 476-4500 or you can call my supervisor here at the Center for Survey Research. We're at (434) 243-5222. Just mention the Reston Community Center survey. Again, thank you and good-bye.

Appendix B

Advance Letter



A Unit of the Weldon Cooper Center for Public Service

2400 Old Ivy Road
P.O. Box 400767
Charlottesville, VA 22904-4767
Tel: (434) 243-5222
Fax: (434) 243-5233
www.virginia.edu/surveys
surveys@virginia.edu

December 2007

Dear Reston Resident:

As part of its strategic planning effort, the Reston Community Center Board of Governors undertakes a community-wide survey every two to three years. These surveys provide valuable input into the Board's decision-making process. This is your chance to share your opinions about how residents perceive the impact the Center has on the Reston community. The Board is also interested in knowing which services family members are most interested in now and in the future.

The Board of Governors has contracted with the Center for Survey Research at the University of Virginia to conduct a telephone survey of Reston residents during the month of November. You may have seen or heard about the survey in the local media.

Your household has been randomly selected to be part of the telephone survey. We are writing to ask for your cooperation when we call. It will only take a minute to randomly select an adult resident of your household to participate in the survey. In the next few weeks, a trained interviewer from the Center for Survey Research will call your household. Your participation in the survey, which will take about 15 minutes, is voluntary. Your responses will be kept confidential. No individual will be identified in our report of the results.

Your participation in this survey will help the RCC continue to provide programming that is valuable to you. This is not a fund-raising campaign, simply a survey of citizen opinion. The results will be reported to the RCC Board of Governors in January 2008 and will be used to help the Board formulate plans for the next several years. We need your help!

On behalf of the Board of Governors of the Reston Community Center and the staff of the Center for Survey Research, we thank you in advance for taking the time to be part of the survey. If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to contact Thomas Ward, Acting Executive Director of the Reston Community Center, at (703) 476-4500, or email at thomas.ward@fairfaxcounty.gov or contact Robin Bebel, at the Center for Survey Research, at 1(800) CSR-POLL, or by email at RBebel@virginia.edu.

Cordially,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Thomas M. Guterbock".

Thomas M. Guterbock, Ph.D.
Director
Center for Survey Research

Appendix C
***Weighted Frequencies for all Demographic
Variables***

Year of survey

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2001	1067	34.7	34.7	34.7
	2004	1005	32.7	32.7	67.4
	2007	1001	32.6	32.6	100.0
	Total	3072	100.0	100.0	

reside: How long have you lived in Reston

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 LESS THAN ONE YEAR	41	4.1	4.1	4.1
	2 TO 5 YEARS	228	22.7	22.9	27.0
	3 TO 10 YEARS	217	21.6	21.8	48.7
	4 TO 25 YEARS	321	32.1	32.3	81.0
	5 MORE THAN 25 YEARS	180	17.9	18.1	99.1
	6 WHOLE LIFE	9	.9	.9	100.0
	Total	995	99.4	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	1	.1		
	9 REFUSED	5	.5		
	System	1	.1		
	Total	6	.6		
Total		1001	100.0		

home Do you own your home or rent

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 OWN	661	66.0	67.1	67.1
	2 RENT	324	32.4	32.9	100.0
	Total	985	98.4	100.0	
Missing	3 OTHER	3	.3		
	9 REFUSED	11	1.1		
	System	2	.2		
	Total	16	1.6		
Total		1001	100.0		

marital Marital status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 MARRIED	527	52.7	54.4	54.4
	2 SEPARATED	23	2.3	2.4	56.8
	3 DIVORCED	175	17.5	18.0	74.8
	4 WIDOWED	57	5.7	5.9	80.7
	5 NEVER MARRIED	187	18.7	19.3	100.0
	Total	970	96.9	100.0	
Missing	9 REFUSED	29	2.9		
	System	2	.2		
	Total	31	3.1		
Total		1001	100.0		

child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 YES	309	30.9	31.3	31.3
	2 NO	677	67.6	68.7	100.0
	Total	986	98.5	100.0	
Missing	9 REFUSED	13	1.3		
	System	2	.2		
	Total	15	1.5		
Total		1001	100.0		

child2 How many of those children are less than 5 years of age

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	188	18.8	60.8	60.8
	1	81	8.1	26.3	87.1
	2	31	3.1	10.1	97.2
	3	9	.9	2.8	100.0
	Total	309	30.9	100.0	
Missing	System	692	69.1		
Total		1001	100.0		

child3 How many of those children are between 5 and 11 years of age

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	163	16.2	52.6	52.6
	1	104	10.4	33.7	86.3
	2	41	4.1	13.2	99.5
	3	2	.2	.5	100.0
	Total	309	30.9	100.0	
Missing	System	692	69.1		
Total		1001	100.0		

child4 How many of those children are between 12 and 18 years of age

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	151	15.1	49.0	49.0
	1	110	11.0	35.7	84.7
	2	42	4.2	13.6	98.3
	3	4	.4	1.2	99.5
	183	2	.2	.5	100.0
	Total	309	30.9	100.0	
Missing	System	692	69.1		
Total		1001	100.0		

educ6 Education - 6 categories

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1.00 Less than HS	10	1.0	1.1	1.1
	2.00 High school grad	95	9.5	9.6	10.7
	3.00 Some college	106	10.5	10.7	21.4
	4.00 4 year degree	339	33.9	34.5	55.9
	5.00 Grad work	357	35.7	36.4	92.3
	6.00 Adv Grad/PhD	76	7.6	7.7	100.0
	Total	983	98.2	100.0	
Missing	System	18	1.8		
Total		1001	100.0		

gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	3 MALE	395	39.4	39.6	39.6
	4 FEMALE	602	60.2	60.4	100.0
	Total	997	99.6	100.0	
Missing	9 NOT SURE	1	.1		
	System	3	.3		
	Total	4	.4		
Total		1001	100.0		

income The range describes annual income from all sources

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Less than 15 thousand	25	2.5	3.3	3.3
	2 Fifteen to 35 thousand	55	5.5	7.2	10.5
	3 Thirty-five to 50 thousand	54	5.4	7.1	17.6
	4 Fifty to 75 thousand	132	13.2	17.3	34.9
	5 Seventy-five to 100 thousand	150	15.0	19.8	54.7
	6 One hundred to 150 thousand	167	16.7	22.0	76.7
	7 Over 150 thousand	178	17.7	23.3	100.0
	Total	761	76.0	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	26	2.6		
	9 REFUSED	209	20.9		
	System	5	.5		
Total		240	24.0		
Total		1001	100.0		

language English the primary language

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 YES	897	89.6	90.4	90.4
	2 NO	96	9.6	9.6	100.0
	Total	993	99.2	100.0	
Missing	3 NOT SURE	1	.1		
	9 REFUSED NO ANSWER	4	.4		
	System	3	.3		
Total		8	.8		
Total		1001	100.0		

agecat5 Age (5 categories)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1.00 18-25	50	5.0	5.5	5.5
	2.00 26-37	216	21.5	24.0	29.5
	3.00 38-49	229	22.9	25.5	55.0
	4.00 50-64	269	26.9	30.0	85.0
	5.00 Over 64	135	13.5	15.0	100.0
	Total	898	89.7	100.0	
Missing	System	103	10.3		
Total		1001	100.0		

jobloc Is your job located in Reston or elsewhere

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 RESTON	222	22.2	31.4	31.4
	2 ELSEWHERE	484	48.4	68.6	100.0
	Total	706	70.6	100.0	
Missing	8 NOT SURE	12	1.2		
	9 REFUSED	5	.5		
	System	278	27.7		
	Total	295	29.4		
Total		1001	100.0		

ownprop Own commercial or rental property in Reston

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	33	3.3	3.4	3.4
	2 No	950	94.9	96.6	100.0
	Total	984	98.3	100.0	
Missing	9 REFUSED	13	1.3		
	System	4	.4		
	Total	17	1.7		
Total		1001	100.0		

ownbus Own or operate a business located in Reston

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	82	8.2	8.3	8.3
	2 No	902	90.1	91.7	100.0
	Total	983	98.2	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	1	.1		
	9 REFUSED	12	1.2		
	System	4	.4		
	Total	18	1.8		
Total		1001	100.0		

hispanic Hispanic origin

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	32	3.2	3.3	3.3
	2 No	947	94.6	96.7	100.0
	Total	978	97.7	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't know	5	.5		
	9 Refused	13	1.3		
	System	5	.5		
	Total	23	2.3		
Total		1001	100.0		

ethnicity Race

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 WHITE,	759	75.8	79.3	79.3
	2 AFRICAN AMERICAN OR BLACK	77	7.7	8.0	87.3
	3 ASIAN	78	7.8	8.2	95.5
	4 AMERICAN INDIAN [ALSO CALLED NATIVE AMERICAN OR NATIVE ALASKAN]	3	.3	.3	95.8
	5 NATIVE HAWAIIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER	3	.3	.3	96.1
	6 OTHER	34	3.4	3.6	99.7
	7 TWO OR MORE RACES	3	.3	.3	100.0
	Total	957	95.6	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	2	.2		
	9 REFUSED	37	3.7		
	System	5	.5		
	Total	44	4.4		
Total	1001	100.0			

Appendix D:
Weighted Frequencies for all Substantive Variables

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc1a ^a	1000	99.9%	1	.1%	1001	100.0%

- a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.
- b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc1a Frequencies

	Responses	Percent of Cases		
		N	Percent	
\$rcc1a In aquatics, which comes to mind	rcc1a_1 Reston Association (RA)	276	16.8%	27.6%
	rcc1a_2 Reston Citizen's Association (RCA)	26	1.6%	2.6%
	rcc1a_3 Reston Community Center (RCC)	598	36.3%	59.8%
	rcc1a_4 Reston YMCA	345	21.0%	34.5%
	rcc1a_5 Fairfax County Park Authority	67	4.0%	6.7%
	rcc1a_6 Worldgate Sports & Health	31	1.9%	3.1%
	rcc1a_7 Herndon Community Center	80	4.8%	8.0%
	rcc1a_8 Other	89	5.4%	8.9%
	rcc1a_9 NONE/DON'T KNOW	136	8.3%	13.6%
Total		1647	100.0%	164.6%

- a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc1b ^a	1001	100.0%	0	.0%	1001	100.0%

- a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.
- b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc1b Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc1b Community-wide festivals and events, which comes to mind ^a	rcc1b_1 Reston Association (RA)	227	16.7%	22.7%
	rcc1b_2 Reston Citizen's Association (RCA)	19	1.4%	1.9%
	rcc1b_3 Reston Community Center (RCC)	434	31.9%	43.4%
	rcc1b_4 Reston YMCA	14	1.0%	1.4%
	rcc1b_5 Fairfax County Park Authority	23	1.7%	2.3%
	rcc1b_6 Reston Town Center	300	22.0%	29.9%
	rcc1b_7 Other	113	8.3%	11.3%
	rcc1b_8 NONE/DON'T KNOW	234	17.1%	23.3%
Total		1363	100.0%	136.2%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc1c ^a	1001	100.0%	0	.0%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc1c Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc1c To promote leisure-time enjoyment, which comes to mind ^a	rcc1c_1 Reston Association (RA)	148	10.2%	14.8%
	rcc1c_2 Reston Citizen's Association (RCA)	4	.3%	.4%
	rcc1c_3 Reston Community Center (RCC)	634	43.8%	63.4%
	rcc1c_4 Reston YMCA	55	3.8%	5.5%
	rcc1c_5 Fairfax County Park Authority	101	7.0%	10.1%
	rcc1c_6 George Mason	39	2.7%	3.9%
	rcc1c_7 Herndon Community	40	2.8%	4.0%
	rcc1c_8 Northern Virginia Community College	163	11.3%	16.3%
	rcc1c_9 Other	105	7.2%	10.5%
	rcc1c_10 NONE/DON'T KNOW	158	10.9%	15.8%
Total		1447	100.0%	144.6%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc1d ^a	1001	100.0%	0	.0%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc1d Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc1d To attend theatre and/or outdoor concerts, which comes to mind	rcc1d_1 Reston Association (RA)	73	5.2%	7.3%
	rcc1d_2 Reston Citizen's Association (RCA)	15	1.1%	1.5%
	rcc1d_3 Reston Community Center (RCC)	483	33.9%	48.2%
	rcc1d_4 Reston YMCA	1	.1%	.1%
	rcc1d_5 Fairfax County Park Authority	22	1.5%	2.2%
	rcc1d_6 Wolf Trap	390	27.4%	39.0%
	rcc1d_7 Other	292	20.5%	29.1%
	rcc1d_8 NONE/DON'T KNOW	148	10.4%	14.8%
Total		1423	100.0%	142.2%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc1e ^a	1001	100.0%	0	.0%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc1e Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc1e To rent a room, which comes to mind ^a	rcc1e_1 Reston Association (RA)	118	9.3%	11.8%
	rcc1e_2 Reston Citizen's Association (RCA)	6	.5%	.6%
	rcc1e_3 Reston Community Center (RCC)	413	32.5%	41.2%
	rcc1e_4 Reston YMCA	8	.6%	.8%
	rcc1e_5 Fairfax County Park Authority	19	1.5%	1.9%
	rcc1e_6 Local hotel	233	18.4%	23.3%
	rcc1e_7 Local Restaurant	47	3.7%	4.7%
	rcc1e_8 Other	128	10.1%	12.8%
	rcc1e_9 NONE/DON'T KNOW	297	23.4%	29.6%
Total		1269	100.0%	126.8%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

rcc2 How familiar are you with the facilities and programs of the Reston Community Center

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very familiar	250	25.0	25.0	25.0
	2 Somewhat familiar	541	54.0	54.1	79.2
	3 Not at all familiar	201	20.1	20.2	99.3
	4 Never heard of RCC	7	.7	.7	100.0
	Total	999	99.8	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	2	.2		
Total		1001	100.0		

rcc6a_1 The RCC Staff is responsive to requests for information

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Strongly Agree	337	33.6	53.7	53.7
	2 Agree	262	26.1	41.7	95.4
	3 Disagree	20	2.0	3.2	98.6
	4 Strongly Disagree	9	.9	1.4	100.0
	Total	627	62.7	100.0	
Missing	8 NO OPINION or DON'T KNOW	164	16.4		
	9 REFUSED	2	.2		
	System	208	20.8		
Total		374	37.3		
Total		1001	100.0		

rcc6a_2 The information provided by RCC staff is accurate

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Strongly Agree	367	36.7	58.8	58.8
	2 Agree	241	24.1	38.6	97.4
	3 Disagree	13	1.3	2.1	99.5
	4 Strongly Disagree	3	.3	.5	100.0
	Total	625	62.4	100.0	
Missing	8 NO OPINION or DON'T KNOW	167	16.7		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	208	20.8		
Total		376	37.6		
Total		1001	100.0		

rcc6a_3 The RCC staff provides useful information to its patrons

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Strongly Agree	387	38.6	58.9	58.9
	2 Agree	246	24.6	37.5	96.4
	3 Disagree	19	1.9	2.9	99.3
	4 Strongly Disagree	5	.5	.7	100.0
	Total	657	65.6	100.0	
Missing	8 NO OPINION or DON'T KNOW	135	13.5		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	208	20.8		
	Total	344	34.4		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc6a_4 The RCC staff provides courteous service

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Strongly Agree	445	44.5	65.2	65.2
	2 Agree	223	22.3	32.7	97.9
	3 Disagree	12	1.2	1.7	99.6
	4 Strongly Disagree	2	.2	.4	100.0
	Total	683	68.2	100.0	
Missing	8 NO OPINION or DON'T KNOW	109	10.9		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	208	20.8		
	Total	318	31.8		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc6 How satisfied are you with the RCC in keeping you informed

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very Satisfied	435	43.4	56.2	56.2
	2 Somewhat Satisfied	300	30.0	38.8	95.0
	3 Somewhat Dissatisfied	30	3.0	3.9	98.9
	4 Very Dissatisfied	8	.8	1.1	100.0
	Total	774	77.3	100.0	
Missing	8 Unable to Rate Don't Know	19	1.9		
	System	208	20.8		
	Total	227	22.7		
Total	1001	100.0			

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc4 ^a	324	32.3%	677	67.7%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc4 Frequencies

	Responses	Percent of Cases	
		N	Percent
\$rcc4 How important ^a rcc4_1 Local newspapers (Reston Times, Observer, Connection	127	14.8%	39.2%
rcc4_2 Seasonal Program Guide	238	27.8%	73.6%
rcc4_3 CenterStage Touring Artist Series Mailer	97	11.3%	30.0%
rcc4_4 Program Flyers	84	9.8%	26.0%
rcc4_5 RCC Website	89	10.4%	27.6%
rcc4_6 Email	54	6.3%	16.7%
rcc4_7 Friends and family	90	10.5%	27.7%
rcc4_8 RCC staff and Instructors	78	9.1%	24.0%
Total	857	100.0%	264.7%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc5 ^a	387	38.6%	614	61.4%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc5 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc5 Prefer to receive information about RCC programs	rcc5_1 Local newspapers (Reston Times, Observer, Connection)	118	15.6%	30.6%
	rcc5_2 Seasonal Program Guide	275	36.2%	71.2%
	rcc5_3 CenterStage Touring Artist Series Mailer	84	11.0%	21.6%
	rcc5_4 Program Flyers	98	13.0%	25.5%
	rcc5_5 RCC Website	59	7.8%	15.3%
	rcc5_6 Email	78	10.2%	20.1%
	rcc5_7 Friends and family	10	1.3%	2.6%
	rcc5_8 RCC Staff and Instructors	4	.5%	1.0%
	rcc5_9 Other	15	2.0%	4.0%
	rcc5_10 DON'T WANT TO RECEIVE / DON'T OFFER	6	.8%	1.7%
	rcc5_11 DON'T KNOW	11	1.5%	2.9%
Total	759	100.0%	196.4%	

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

rcc7_1 The RCC creates positive leisure experiences

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Strongly Agree	440	44.0	60.3	60.3
	2 Somewhat Agree	264	26.4	36.2	96.5
	3 Somewhat Disagree	21	2.1	2.9	99.4
	4 Strongly Disagree	5	.5	.6	100.0
	Total	730	72.9	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	62	6.2		
	9 REFUSED	2	.2		
	System Total	208	20.8		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc7_2 The RCC enhances the quality of life for people living and working in greater Reston

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Strongly Agree	473	47.3	61.5	61.5
	2 Somewhat Agree	269	26.8	34.9	96.5
	3 Somewhat Disagree	22	2.2	2.9	99.4
	4 Strongly Disagree	5	.5	.6	100.0
	Total	769	76.8	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	22	2.2		
	9 REFUSED	3	.3		
	System	208	20.8		
	Total	232	23.2		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc7_3 The RCC provides a broad range of programs in arts

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Strongly Agree	413	41.3	58.3	58.3
	2 Somewhat Agree	261	26.0	36.7	95.1
	3 Somewhat Disagree	27	2.7	3.7	98.8
	4 Strongly Disagree	8	.8	1.2	100.0
	Total	709	70.8	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	82	8.2		
	9 REFUSED	2	.2		
	System	208	20.8		
	Total	292	29.2		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc7_4 The RCC provides a broad range of programs in aquatics

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Strongly Agree	442	44.2	64.8	64.8
	2 Somewhat Agree	213	21.3	31.2	96.0
	3 Somewhat Disagree	18	1.8	2.7	98.6
	4 Strongly Disagree	9	.9	1.4	100.0
	Total	683	68.2	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	110	11.0		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	208	20.8		
	Total	318	31.8		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc7_5 The RCC provides a broad range of programs in enrichment activities

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Strongly Agree	363	36.2	56.0	56.0
	2 Somewhat Agree	267	26.6	41.2	97.2
	3 Somewhat Disagree	16	1.6	2.4	99.6
	4 Strongly Disagree	3	.3	.4	100.0
	Total	648	64.7	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	145	14.4		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	208	20.8		
	Total	353	35.3		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc7_6 The RCC provides a broad range of programs in life-long learning

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Strongly Agree	257	25.6	42.4	42.4
	2 Somewhat Agree	314	31.4	51.9	94.3
	3 Somewhat Disagree	29	2.9	4.7	99.0
	4 Strongly Disagree	6	.6	1.0	100.0
	Total	605	60.5	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	187	18.7		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	208	20.8		
	Total	396	39.5		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc7_7 The RCC creates and sustains community traditions

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Strongly Agree	332	33.1	49.9	49.9
	2 Somewhat Agree	306	30.6	46.1	96.0
	3 Somewhat Disagree	21	2.1	3.1	99.1
	4 Strongly Disagree	6	.6	.9	100.0
	Total	664	66.4	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	127	12.7		
	9 REFUSED	2	.2		
	System	208	20.8		
	Total	337	33.6		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc9 In your opinion, does the RCC make Reston a more attractive place to live

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	855	85.4	93.4	93.4
	2 No	60	6.0	6.6	100.0
	Total	915	91.4	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	77	7.7		
	9 REFUSED	2	.2		
	System	7	.7		
	Total	86	8.6		
Total		1001	100.0		

rcc10 In your opinion, does the RCC make Reston a more attractive place for business

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	602	60.1	81.8	81.8
	2 No	134	13.4	18.2	100.0
	Total	736	73.5	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	256	25.6		
	9 REFUSED	2	.2		
	System	7	.7		
	Total	265	26.5		
Total		1001	100.0		

rcc14 In the past 12 months, you or a family member ever attended a program by or at the RCC

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	626	62.5	63.9	63.9
	2 No	354	35.3	36.1	100.0
	Total	980	97.9	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	14	1.4		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	7	.7		
	Total	21	2.1		
Total		1001	100.0		

rcc15 How often do you or your family use RCC or participate in its programs

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Weekly	105	10.5	16.8	16.8
	2 At least once a month	139	13.9	22.3	39.1
	3 A few times a year	309	30.9	49.7	88.8
	4 About once a year	67	6.7	10.7	99.5
	5 Never	3	.3	.5	100.0
	Total	623	62.2	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	2	.2		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	375	37.5		
Total	378	37.8			
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc16 Has member of your family participated in any of the RCC programs within the past 5 years

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	135	13.5	37.9	37.9
	2 No	222	22.1	62.1	100.0
	Total	357	35.7	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	10	1.0		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	633	63.2		
Total	644	64.3			
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc17a Your family used the RCC pool in a swimming or Aquatic program in the past 12 months

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	282	28.1	37.5	37.5
	2 No	469	46.9	62.5	100.0
	Total	751	75.0	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	10	1.0		
	System	240	23.9		
	Total	250	25.0		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc17b Satisfied with the value received for the fee paid for swimming or Aquatic program

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very satisfied	173	17.3	63.8	63.8
	2 Somewhat satisfied	84	8.4	31.1	94.9
	3 Somewhat dissatisfied	10	1.0	3.8	98.7
	4 Very dissatisfied	4	.4	1.3	100.0
	Total	272	27.1	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	10	1.0		
	System	719	71.9		
	Total	729	72.9		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc17c_1 Open or Lap Swim

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	170	17.0	22.5	22.5
	2 Somewhat likely	192	19.1	25.4	47.9
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	147	14.6	19.4	67.4
	4 Very Unlikely	246	24.6	32.6	100.0
	Total	755	75.4	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	7	.7		
	System	240	23.9		
	Total	246	24.6		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc17c_2 Swim Instruction

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	123	12.2	16.2	16.2
	2 Somewhat likely	131	13.1	17.3	33.5
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	135	13.5	17.8	51.3
	4 Very Unlikely	368	36.8	48.7	100.0
	Total	757	75.6	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	5	.5		
	System	240	23.9		
	Total	244	24.4		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc17c_3 Water Aerobics

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	84	8.4	11.1	11.1
	2 Somewhat likely	140	13.9	18.5	29.6
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	176	17.5	23.3	52.9
	4 Very Unlikely	356	35.5	47.1	100.0
	Total	755	75.4	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	6	.6		
	System	240	23.9		
	Total	246	24.6		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc17c_4 Adapted Aquatics for individuals with disabilities

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	40	4.0	5.4	5.4
	2 Somewhat likely	35	3.5	4.8	10.1
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	102	10.2	13.7	23.9
	4 Very Unlikely	566	56.6	76.1	100.0
	Total	744	74.3	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	17	1.7		
	System	240	23.9		
	Total	257	25.7		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc19a Your family attended a program sponsored by RCC within the past 12 months

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	233	23.3	31.0	31.0
	2 No	520	51.9	69.0	100.0
	Total	753	75.2	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	8	.8		
	System	240	23.9		
	Total	248	24.8		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc19b Satisfied with value for the fee paid for the program sponsored by RCC

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very satisfied	179	17.9	77.3	77.3
	2 Somewhat satisfied	42	4.2	18.1	95.5
	3 Somewhat dissatisfied	6	.6	2.4	97.9
	4 Very dissatisfied	5	.5	2.1	100.0
	Total	231	23.1	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	2	.2		
	System	768	76.7		
	Total	770	76.9		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc19c_1 Participate in after school program

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	92	9.2	12.3	12.3
	2 Somewhat likely	89	8.9	11.9	24.1
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	107	10.7	14.2	38.3
	4 Very Unlikely	462	46.2	61.7	100.0
	Total	750	74.9	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't Know	11	1.1		
	System	240	23.9		
	Total	251	25.1		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc19c_2 Participate in fitness & exercise program

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	137	13.7	18.0	18.0
	2 Somewhat likely	296	29.6	39.0	57.1
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	152	15.2	20.1	77.1
	4 Very Unlikely	173	17.3	22.9	100.0
	Total	759	75.8	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't Know	3	.3		
	System	240	23.9		
	Total	242	24.2		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc19c_3 Participate in youth summer camp

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	87	8.6	11.5	11.5
	2 Somewhat likely	109	10.9	14.4	25.9
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	92	9.2	12.2	38.0
	4 Very Unlikely	468	46.8	62.0	100.0
	Total	755	75.4	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't Know	5	.5		
	9 Refused	1	.1		
	System	240	23.9		
	Total	246	24.6		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc19e_1 Computer program

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	39	3.9	10.4	10.4
	2 Somewhat likely	71	7.1	18.9	29.3
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	75	7.5	19.9	49.2
	4 Very Unlikely	191	19.0	50.8	100.0
	Total	375	37.5	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't Know	2	.2		
	9 Refused	1	.1		
	System	622	62.2		
	Total	626	62.5		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc19e_2 Cooking program

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	59	5.9	15.2	15.2
	2 Somewhat likely	113	11.3	29.2	44.4
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	79	7.9	20.4	64.8
	4 Very Unlikely	136	13.6	35.2	100.0
	Total	386	38.6	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't Know	3	.3		
	System	612	61.1		
	Total	615	61.4		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc19e_3 Craft program

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	41	4.1	10.8	10.8
	2 Somewhat likely	111	11.1	29.7	40.5
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	73	7.3	19.4	59.9
	4 Very Unlikely	150	15.0	40.1	100.0
	Total	375	37.5	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't Know	2	.2		
	System	624	62.3		
	Total	626	62.5		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc19e_4 Enrichment program

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	54	5.4	15.7	15.7
	2 Somewhat likely	118	11.8	34.2	49.9
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	66	6.6	19.1	68.9
	4 Very Unlikely	107	10.7	31.1	100.0
	Total	346	34.5	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't Know	27	2.7		
	System	628	62.7		
	Total	655	65.5		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc19e_5 Health & Wellness program

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	55	5.5	14.2	14.2
	2 Somewhat likely	125	12.5	32.5	46.7
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	89	8.8	22.9	69.7
	4 Very Unlikely	117	11.7	30.3	100.0
	Total	386	38.5	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't Know	1	.1		
	System	614	61.3		
	Total	615	61.5		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc19e_6 Language Arts program

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	25	2.5	7.1	7.1
	2 Somewhat likely	85	8.5	24.0	31.1
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	96	9.6	27.0	58.0
	4 Very Unlikely	149	14.9	42.0	100.0
	Total	355	35.4	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't Know	7	.7		
	System	639	63.8		
	Total	646	64.6		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc19e_7 Music program

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	47	4.7	12.7	12.7
	2 Somewhat likely	100	10.0	27.3	40.1
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	89	8.9	24.2	64.2
	4 Very Unlikely	131	13.1	35.8	100.0
	Total	367	36.7	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't Know	5	.5		
	System	628	62.7		
	Total	634	63.3		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc19e_8 Performing Arts program

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	98	9.8	25.4	25.4
	2 Somewhat likely	143	14.3	36.9	62.2
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	56	5.5	14.3	76.5
	4 Very Unlikely	91	9.1	23.5	100.0
	Total	388	38.7	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't Know	1	.1		
	System	612	61.1		
	Total	613	61.3		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc19e_9 Photography program

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	55	5.5	13.8	13.8
	2 Somewhat likely	100	10.0	25.3	39.0
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	107	10.7	27.1	66.1
	4 Very Unlikely	135	13.4	33.9	100.0
	Total	397	39.6	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't Know	2	.2		
	System	602	60.1		
	Total	604	60.4		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc19e10 Social Dances or Similar Program

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	35	3.5	9.6	9.6
	2 Somewhat likely	74	7.4	20.1	29.6
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	95	9.5	26.0	55.7
	4 Very Unlikely	163	16.3	44.3	100.0
	Total	367	36.6	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't Know	1	.1		
	System	633	63.2		
	Total	634	63.4		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc19e11 Trips & Tours program

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	64	6.4	16.7	16.7
	2 Somewhat likely	134	13.3	34.6	51.3
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	74	7.4	19.1	70.4
	4 Very Unlikely	114	11.4	29.6	100.0
	Total	386	38.6	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't Know	1	.1		
	System	614	61.3		
	Total	615	61.4		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc20 Professional theatre performance, outdoor concert by RCC in the past 12 months

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	317	31.7	42.6	42.6
	2 No	428	42.8	57.4	100.0
	Total	745	74.5	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	15	1.5		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	240	23.9		
	Total	256	25.5		
Total		1001	100.0		

rcc20b Satisfied with value for the fee you paid for theatre performance, outdoor concert

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very satisfied	257	25.7	84.1	84.1
	2 Somewhat satisfied	43	4.3	13.9	98.0
	3 Somewhat dissatisfied	4	.4	1.2	99.2
	4 Very dissatisfied	3	.3	.8	100.0
	Total	306	30.6	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	11	1.1		
	System	684	68.3		
	Total	695	69.4		
Total		1001	100.0		

rcc20c_1 Professional touring artists theatre event

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	194	19.4	26.0	26.0
	2 Somewhat likely	284	28.4	38.1	64.0
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	114	11.4	15.2	79.2
	4 Very Unlikely	155	15.5	20.8	100.0
	Total	747	74.6	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	13	1.3		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	240	23.9		
	Total	254	25.4		
Total		1001	100.0		

rcc20c_2 Take-a-Break Concert

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	90	9.0	14.1	14.1
	2 Somewhat likely	192	19.2	30.0	44.1
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	149	14.9	23.3	67.4
	4 Very Unlikely	209	20.8	32.6	100.0
	Total	639	63.8	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	121	12.1		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	240	23.9		
	Total	362	36.2		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc21a Family attended an event at RCC past 12 months by outside group rented the RCC facilities

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	232	23.1	31.1	31.1
	2 No	513	51.2	68.9	100.0
	Total	744	74.4	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	16	1.6		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	240	23.9		
Total	257	25.6			
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc21b_1 Would family rent a room or attend a private meeting or party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	197	19.7	26.4	26.4
	2 Somewhat likely	229	22.9	30.7	57.1
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	133	13.3	17.8	74.9
	4 Very Unlikely	187	18.7	25.1	100.0
	Total	746	74.5	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	15	1.5		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	240	23.9		
Total	255	25.5			
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc21b_2 Reston Community Players or Reston Chorale performance

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	189	18.9	25.0	25.0
	2 Somewhat likely	285	28.4	37.6	62.7
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	149	14.9	19.7	82.4
	4 Very Unlikely	133	13.3	17.6	100.0
	Total	756	75.5	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	5	.5		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	240	23.9		
	Total	245	24.5		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc21b_3 Reston Masters or RSTA Swim Practice

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	63	6.3	8.4	8.4
	2 Somewhat likely	83	8.3	11.0	19.3
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	178	17.7	23.5	42.9
	4 Very Unlikely	432	43.1	57.1	100.0
	Total	755	75.5	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	5	.5		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	240	23.9		
	Total	246	24.5		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc18a Community-wide event sponsored by RCC in the past 12 months

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	266	26.6	35.8	35.8
	2 No	476	47.6	64.2	100.0
	Total	742	74.1	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	18	1.8		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	240	23.9		
	Total	259	25.9		
Total	1001	100.0			

rcc18c_1 MLK Day Celebration

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	109	10.9	14.5	14.5
	2 Somewhat likely	183	18.2	24.3	38.8
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	177	17.7	23.6	62.4
	4 Very Unlikely	283	28.3	37.6	100.0
	Total	751	75.1	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	6	.6		
	9 REFUSED	4	.4		
	System	240	23.9		
	Total	250	24.9		
Total		1001	100.0		

rcc18c_2 Multicultural Festival

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	222	22.2	29.4	29.4
	2 Somewhat likely	302	30.2	40.0	69.3
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	110	11.0	14.6	83.9
	4 Very Unlikely	122	12.2	16.1	100.0
	Total	756	75.6	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	4	.4		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	240	23.9		
	Total	245	24.4		
Total		1001	100.0		

rcc18c_3 Thanksgiving Food Drive

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	202	20.2	26.8	26.8
	2 Somewhat likely	338	33.7	44.8	71.6
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	95	9.5	12.6	84.2
	4 Very Unlikely	119	11.9	15.8	100.0
	Total	754	75.3	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	7	.7		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	240	23.9		
	Total	247	24.7		
Total		1001	100.0		

rcc18c_4 Folkswalk

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very likely	72	7.2	10.2	10.2
	2 Somewhat likely	192	19.2	27.2	37.4
	3 Somewhat Unlikely	179	17.9	25.3	62.7
	4 Very Unlikely	264	26.4	37.3	100.0
	Total	708	70.7	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	53	5.3		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	240	23.9		
	Total	293	29.3		
Total		1001	100.0		

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc17d1 ^a	362	36.1%	639	63.9%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc17d1 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc17d1 Age groups in Open or Lap Swim	rcc17d11 Preschool	56	11.4%	15.6%
	rcc17d12 Youth	73	14.8%	20.3%
	rcc17d13 Teen	58	11.8%	16.1%
	rcc17d14 Adult	240	48.6%	66.3%
	rcc17d15 Senior	65	13.2%	18.0%
	rcc17d16 DON'T KNOW	1	.2%	.2%
Total	494	100.0%	136.5%	

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc17d2 ^a	253	25.3%	748	74.7%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc17d2 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc17d2	rcc17d21 Preschool	84	25.9%	33.3%
Age groups to participate in Swim Instruction ^a	rcc17d22 Youth	82	25.3%	32.5%
	rcc17d23 Teen	43	13.2%	17.0%
	rcc17d24 Adult	76	23.4%	30.0%
	rcc17d25 Senior	36	11.1%	14.2%
	rcc17d26 DON'T KNOW	4	1.1%	1.4%
Total		325	100.0%	128.4%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc17d3 ^a	224	22.3%	777	77.7%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc17d3 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc17d3	rcc17d31 Preschool	14	5.5%	6.1%
Age groups to participate in Water Aerobics	rcc17d32 Youth	10	3.9%	4.3%
	rcc17d33 Teen	11	4.6%	5.1%
	rcc17d34 Adult	147	58.8%	65.6%
	rcc17d35 Senior	68	27.3%	30.5%
Total		250	100.0%	111.7%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc17d4 ^a	75	7.5%	926	92.5%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc17d4 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc17d4 Age groups in Adapted Aquatics for individuals ^a with disabilities	rcc17d41 Preschool	4	4.1%	4.9%
	rcc17d42 Youth	13	14.5%	17.4%
	rcc17d43 Teen	14	15.6%	18.7%
	rcc17d44 Adult	31	33.8%	40.4%
	rcc17d45 Senior	29	32.0%	38.3%
Total		90	100.0%	119.7%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc19d1 ^a	181	18.1%	820	81.9%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc19d1 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc19d1 Age groups in after school program ^a	rcc19d11 Preschool	51	22.9%	28.0%
	rcc19d12 Youth	83	37.4%	45.7%
	rcc19d13 Teen	53	23.8%	29.1%
	rcc19d14 Adult	26	11.6%	14.2%
	rcc19d15 Senior	8	3.7%	4.5%
	rcc19d16 DON'T KNOW	2	.7%	.8%
Total		221	100.0%	122.2%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc19d2 ^a	433	43.2%	568	56.8%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc19d2 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc19d2	rcc19d21 Preschool	24	4.6%	5.5%
Fitness & exercise ^a program	rcc19d22 Youth	46	8.8%	10.6%
	rcc19d23 Teen	41	7.9%	9.4%
	rcc19d24 Adult	303	58.3%	69.9%
	rcc19d25 Senior	107	20.5%	24.6%
Total		520	100.0%	120.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc19d3 ^a	195	19.5%	806	80.5%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc19d3 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc19d3	rcc19d31 Preschool	55	23.8%	28.1%
Youth ^a summer camp	rcc19d32 Youth	106	46.0%	54.3%
	rcc19d33 Teen	60	25.8%	30.5%
	rcc19d34 Adult	10	4.4%	5.2%
Total		231	100.0%	118.2%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc19f1 ^a	110	11.0%	891	89.0%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc19f1 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc19f1 Computer program	rcc19f11 Preschool	5	4.3%	4.7%
	rcc19f12 Youth	10	8.0%	8.8%
	rcc19f13 Teen	17	13.6%	15.0%
	rcc19f14 Adult	60	49.2%	54.2%
	rcc19f15 Senior	30	25.0%	27.5%
Total		121	100.0%	110.1%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc19f2 ^a	171	17.1%	830	82.9%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc19f2 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc19f2 Cooking _a program	rcc19f21 Preschool	4	2.1%	2.3%
	rcc19f22 Youth	14	7.1%	7.9%
	rcc19f23 Teen	11	5.5%	6.2%
	rcc19f24 Adult	131	68.2%	76.2%
	rcc19f25 Senior	33	17.1%	19.1%
Total		192	100.0%	111.7%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc19f3 ^a	152	15.2%	849	84.8%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc19f3 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc19f3	rcc19f31 Preschool	10	5.5%	6.8%
Craft program ^a	rcc19f32 Youth	33	17.4%	21.5%
	rcc19f33 Teen	18	9.5%	11.7%
	rcc19f34 Adult	95	50.8%	62.8%
	rcc19f35 Senior	32	16.9%	20.9%
Total		188	100.0%	123.7%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc19f4 ^a	172	17.2%	829	82.8%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc19f4 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc19f4	rcc19f41 Preschool	11	4.7%	6.1%
Enrichment program	rcc19f42 Youth	34	15.0%	19.6%
	rcc19f43 Teen	31	14.0%	18.2%
	rcc19f44 Adult	120	53.5%	69.6%
	rcc19f45 Senior	29	12.7%	16.6%
Total		224	100.0%	130.1%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc19f5 ^a	180	18.0%	821	82.0%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc19f5 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc19f5	rcc19f51 Preschool	6	2.7%	3.3%
Health & Wellness program ^a	rcc19f52 Youth	20	9.0%	10.9%
	rcc19f53 Teen	17	8.0%	9.7%
	rcc19f54 Adult	125	57.1%	69.2%
	rcc19f55 Senior	51	23.3%	28.2%
Total		219	100.0%	121.2%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc19f6 ^a	110	11.0%	891	89.0%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc19f6 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc19f6	rcc19f61 Preschool	7	5.4%	6.1%
Language Arts program ^a	rcc19f62 Youth	15	11.9%	13.5%
	rcc19f63 Teen	14	11.0%	12.5%
	rcc19f64 Adult	61	49.3%	55.7%
	rcc19f65 Senior	28	22.4%	25.3%
Total		124	100.0%	113.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc19f7 ^a	147	14.7%	854	85.3%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc19f7 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases	
		N	Percent		
\$rcc19f7 Music program ^a	rcc19f71	Preschool	18	10.5%	12.5%
	rcc19f72	Youth	30	17.2%	20.4%
	rcc19f73	Teen	22	12.5%	14.8%
	rcc19f74	Adult	62	35.3%	41.8%
	rcc19f75	Senior	43	24.4%	29.0%
Total			174	100.0%	118.5%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc19f8 ^a	241	24.1%	760	75.9%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc19f8 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases	
		N	Percent		
\$rcc19f8 Performing Arts program ^a	rcc19f81	Preschool	20	7.1%	8.4%
	rcc19f82	Youth	40	14.0%	16.6%
	rcc19f83	Teen	27	9.3%	11.0%
	rcc19f84	Adult	144	50.2%	59.5%
	rcc19f85	Senior	56	19.4%	23.1%
Total			286	100.0%	118.6%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc19f9 ^a	155	15.5%	846	84.5%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc19f9 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc19f9	rcc19f92 Youth	22	12.1%	14.1%
Photography program	rcc19f93 Teen	20	10.9%	12.7%
	rcc19f94 Adult	107	59.4%	69.3%
	rcc19f95 Senior	32	17.6%	20.6%
Total		181	100.0%	116.6%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc19f10 ^a	109	10.9%	892	89.1%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc19f10 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc19f10	rcc19101 Preschool	2	1.2%	1.4%
Social Dances or Similar ^a program	rcc19102 Youth	9	7.7%	8.7%
	rcc19103 Teen	22	17.6%	19.9%
	rcc19104 Adult	69	56.0%	63.2%
	rcc19105 Senior	21	16.8%	19.0%
	rcc19107 REFUSED	1	.6%	.7%
	Total		123	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc19f11 ^a	198	19.8%	803	80.2%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc19f11 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc19f11	rcc19111 Preschool	8	3.6%	4.2%
Trips & Tours program	rcc19112 Youth	24	10.2%	12.0%
	rcc19113 Teen	19	8.1%	9.6%
	rcc19114 Adult	117	50.1%	59.2%
	rcc19115 Senior	66	28.0%	33.1%
Total		234	100.0%	118.2%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc19f12 ^a	138	13.8%	863	86.2%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc19f12 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc19f12	rcc19121 Preschool	7	4.2%	4.9%
Visual Arts program	rcc19122 Youth	24	14.7%	17.1%
	rcc19123 Teen	18	11.4%	13.3%
	rcc19124 Adult	79	48.8%	57.0%
	rcc19125 Senior	34	20.9%	24.4%
Total		162	100.0%	116.8%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc20d1 ^a	478	47.8%	523	52.2%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc20d1 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc20d1 Age groups would participate in a professional touring artists ^a theatre event	rcc20d11 Preschool	15	2.6%	3.2%
	rcc20d12 Youth	43	7.3%	9.1%
	rcc20d13 Teen	59	9.9%	12.3%
	rcc20d14 Adult	347	58.2%	72.5%
	rcc20d15 Senior	130	21.9%	27.2%
	rcc20d16 DON'T KNOW	1	.1%	.1%
Total		595	100.0%	124.4%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc20d2 ^a	282	28.2%	719	71.8%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc20d2 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc20d2 Age groups would participate in a Take-a-Break Concert	rcc20d21 Preschool	15	4.0%	5.4%
	rcc20d22 Youth	40	10.5%	14.2%
	rcc20d23 Teen	43	11.3%	15.2%
	rcc20d24 Adult	209	55.0%	74.2%
	rcc20d25 Senior	73	19.2%	25.9%
Total		380	100.0%	134.8%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc25 ^a	233	23.3%	768	76.7%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc25 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc25 Haven't used in the past ^a	rcc25_1 Just moved to Reston	37	12.4%	16.1%
	rcc25_2 Not familiar with the organization	40	13.2%	17.1%
	rcc25_3 Not received information about current programs and activities	9	2.9%	3.8%
	rcc25_4 Programs and activities aren't offered at a convenient time	19	6.4%	8.3%
	rcc25_5 Programs and activities aren't interesting	29	9.6%	12.5%
	rcc25_6 Too expensive	9	3.1%	4.0%
	rcc25_7 Locations are too far away or not convenient	11	3.8%	4.9%
	rcc25_8 Lack of transportation	3	1.1%	1.5%
	rcc25_9 Other	121	40.1%	52.1%
	rcc25_10 DON'T KNOW	23	7.5%	9.8%
Total		303	100.0%	130.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

Case Summary^b

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
\$rcc26 ^a	135	13.5%	866	86.5%	1001	100.0%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

b. Fractional values were found. They are truncated to integers.

\$rcc26 Frequencies

		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
\$rcc26 Why stop using the RCC ^a	rcc26_1 Kids grew up/left	19	12.7%	14.2%
	rcc26_2 Have not been receiving information about current programs and activities	3	2.0%	2.2%
	rcc26_3 Programs and activities aren't offered at a convenient time	13	8.3%	9.3%
	rcc26_4 Programs and activities are not interesting	9	6.2%	7.0%
	rcc26_5 Too Expensive	3	1.7%	1.9%
	rcc26_6 Locations are too far away or not convenient	5	3.5%	4.0%
	rcc26_7 Lack of transportation	2	1.0%	1.1%
	rcc26_8 Other	84	55.3%	62.0%
	rcc26_9 DON'T KNOW	10	6.6%	7.4%
	rcc26_10 REFUSED	4	2.7%	3.1%
Total		152	100.0%	112.1%

a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

rcc22 RCC should increase, reduce its programs, or keep them at the level they are at now

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Increase	265	26.5	32.4	32.4
	2 Reduce	21	2.1	2.6	35.0
	3 Keep them as they are	532	53.1	65.0	100.0
	Total	818	81.7	100.0	
Missing	4 NOT SURE	171	17.1		
	9 REFUSED	5	.5		
	System	7	.7		
Total	Total	183	18.3		
Total		1001	100.0		

rcc23_1 Larger theatre

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 VERY IMPORTANT	74	7.4	14.5	14.5
	2 SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	163	16.3	32.0	46.4
	3 NOT IMPORTANT	273	27.3	53.6	100.0
	Total	510	50.9	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	65	6.5		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	426	42.6		
	Total	491	49.1		
Total		1001	100.0		

rcc23_2 Dedicated fitness rooms

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 VERY IMPORTANT	114	11.4	21.5	21.5
	2 SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	117	11.7	22.0	43.6
	3 NOT IMPORTANT	300	29.9	56.4	100.0
	Total	531	53.0	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	44	4.3		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	426	42.6		
	Total	470	47.0		
Total		1001	100.0		

rcc23_3 Additional art studios

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 VERY IMPORTANT	159	15.9	30.3	30.3
	2 SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	189	18.9	35.9	66.2
	3 NOT IMPORTANT	178	17.8	33.8	100.0
	Total	527	52.7	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	47	4.7		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	426	42.6		
	Total	474	47.3		
Total		1001	100.0		

rcc23_4 More classroom and meeting rooms

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 VERY IMPORTANT	58	5.8	11.3	11.3
	2 SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	185	18.5	36.1	47.4
	3 NOT IMPORTANT	269	26.9	52.6	100.0
	Total	511	51.1	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	63	6.3		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	426	42.6		
	Total	490	48.9		
Total		1001	100.0		

rcc23_5 Additional rental including a large community room

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 VERY IMPORTANT	65	6.5	12.8	12.8
	2 SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	168	16.8	33.2	46.0
	3 NOT IMPORTANT	274	27.4	54.0	100.0
	Total	507	50.7	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	66	6.6		
	9 REFUSED	2	.2		
	System	426	42.6		
	Total	494	49.3		
Total		1001	100.0		

rcc23_6 Other (please describe)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 VERY IMPORTANT	91	9.1	17.8	17.8
	2 SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	127	12.7	25.0	42.8
	3 NOT IMPORTANT	291	29.1	57.2	100.0
	Total	509	50.9	100.0	
Missing	8 DON'T KNOW	65	6.5		
	9 REFUSED	1	.1		
	System	426	42.6		
	Total	492	49.1		
Total		1001	100.0		

partl Participate in the governance of the RCC

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very Important	153	15.3	34.0	34.0
	2 Somewhat Important	197	19.6	43.7	77.7
	3 Not important	100	10.0	22.3	100.0
	Total	450	44.9	100.0	
Missing	4	2	.2		
	8 Don't Know	6	.6		
	System	543	54.2		
Total	551	55.1			
Total	1001	100.0			

partil_1 Getting appointed to the Board of Governors

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very Important	103	10.3	29.9	29.9
	2 Somewhat Important	92	9.2	26.8	56.7
	3 Not important	148	14.8	43.3	100.0
	Total	343	34.3	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't Know	13	1.3		
	System	645	64.4		
	Total	658	65.7		
Total	1001	100.0			

partil_2 Voting in the Annual Preference Poll

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very Important	177	17.7	51.7	51.7
	2 Somewhat Important	127	12.7	37.0	88.7
	3 Not important	39	3.9	11.3	100.0
	Total	343	34.2	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't Know	13	1.3		
	System	645	64.4		
	Total	658	65.8		
Total	1001	100.0			

partil_3 Participating in Board or Committee meetings

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very Important	106	10.6	30.6	30.6
	2 Somewhat Important	165	16.4	47.6	78.2
	3 Not important	75	7.5	21.8	100.0
	Total	346	34.6	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't Know	10	1.0		
	System	645	64.4		
	Total	655	65.4		
Total		1001	100.0		

partil_4 Participating in the Annual Public Hearing on the RCC Budget

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very Important	116	11.6	33.9	33.9
	2 Somewhat Important	141	14.1	41.1	75.0
	3 Not important	86	8.6	25.0	100.0
	Total	343	34.2	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't Know	11	1.1		
	9 Refused	3	.3		
	System	645	64.4		
Total		658	65.8		
Total		1001	100.0		

partil_5 Providing program suggestion to the staff

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very Important	146	14.6	41.8	41.8
	2 Somewhat Important	162	16.2	46.3	88.1
	3 Not important	41	4.1	11.9	100.0
	Total	350	34.9	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't Know	6	.6		
	System	645	64.4		
	Total	651	65.1		
Total		1001	100.0		

partil_6 Writing letters to the county

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very Important	94	9.3	27.4	27.4
	2 Somewhat Important	145	14.5	42.6	70.0
	3 Not important	102	10.2	30.0	100.0
	Total	341	34.1	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't Know	12	1.2		
	9 Refused	3	.3		
	System	645	64.4		
Total	660	65.9			
Total	1001	100.0			

partil_7 Communicating Directly with Board of Governors Members

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very Important	122	12.2	35.3	35.3
	2 Somewhat Important	159	15.9	46.1	81.4
	3 Not important	65	6.4	18.6	100.0
	Total	346	34.6	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't Know	10	1.0		
	System	645	64.4		
	Total	655	65.4		
Total	1001	100.0			

partil_8 Writing letters to the Hunter Mill District County Supervisor

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very Important	106	10.6	31.0	31.0
	2 Somewhat Important	134	13.4	39.3	70.3
	3 Not important	101	10.1	29.7	100.0
	Total	341	34.1	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't Know	15	1.5		
	System	645	64.4		
	Total	660	65.9		
Total	1001	100.0			

partil_9 Participating in a Citizen Advisory Committee

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Very Important	102	10.2	29.6	29.6
	2 Somewhat Important	162	16.2	47.0	76.7
	3 Not important	80	8.0	23.3	100.0
	Total	344	34.4	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't Know	12	1.2		
	System	645	64.4		
	Total	657	65.6		
Total	1001	100.0			

poll Are you aware that RCC conducts a Preference Poll each October

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	379	37.8	52.2	52.2
	2 No	346	34.6	47.8	100.0
	Total	725	72.4	100.0	
Missing	3 Refused	3	.3		
	System	273	27.2		
	Total	276	27.6		
Total	1001	100.0			

voted Did you vote in the most recent Preference Poll

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	162	16.2	42.9	42.9
	2 No	216	21.5	57.1	100.0
	Total	378	37.8	100.0	
Missing	9	1	.1		
	System	622	62.2		
	Total	623	62.2		
Total	1001	100.0			

method What method of voting would you most prefer

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Walk-in voting at RCC during the two weeks prior to the Community Polling Day	65	6.5	9.6	9.6
	2 Voting at one of Reston's village centers on Community Polling Day	45	4.5	6.6	16.2
	3 Mail-in voting	175	17.5	25.6	41.8
	4 Electronic voting	398	39.7	58.2	100.0
	Total	684	68.3	100.0	
Missing	8 Don't Know	40	4.0		
	9 Refused	4	.4		
	System	273	27.2		
Total	317	31.7			
Total		1001	100.0		

vote1 Support a change to the voting procedure

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Strongly support	287	28.6	41.5	41.5
	2 Somewhat support	165	16.5	23.9	65.4
	3 Neutral	64	6.4	9.3	74.6
	4 Somewhat oppose	76	7.6	11.0	85.7
	5 Strongly oppose	99	9.9	14.3	100.0
Total	691	69.0	100.0		
Missing	8 Don't know, Refused	36	3.6		
	System	274	27.3		
	Total	310	31.0		
Total		1001	100.0		

recruit1 OK to contact you again about planning for future services of the Center

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	91	9.1	67.3	67.3
	2 No	44	4.4	32.7	100.0
	Total	135	13.5	100.0	
Missing	System	866	86.5		
Total		1001	100.0		

recruit2 OK to contact you again about how the Center better serve local businesses and employees

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	147	14.6	75.6	75.6
	2 No	47	4.7	24.4	100.0
	Total	194	19.4	100.0	
Missing	System	807	80.6		
Total		1001	100.0		

Appendix E

Summary of Significant Demographic Differences

Crosstab

		reside4 How long have you lived in Reston				Total
		1.00 <=5 years	2.00 >5 and <=10	3.00 >10 and <=25	4.00 >25 years	
rcc2D How familiar are you with the facilities and programs of the Reston Community Center	0	Count 93	Count 44	Count 51	Count 19	Count 207
		% within reside4 34.8%	% within reside4 20.4%	% within reside4 16.0%	% within reside4 10.1%	% within reside4 20.9%
	1 familiar	Count 174	Count 172	Count 268	Count 170	Count 784
		% within reside4 65.2%	% within reside4 79.6%	% within reside4 84.0%	% within reside4 89.9%	% within reside4 79.1%
Total		Count 267	Count 216	Count 319	Count 189	Count 991
		% within reside4 100.0%	% within reside4 100.0%	% within reside4 100.0%	% within reside4 100.0%	% within reside4 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	49.512 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	48.467	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	46.053	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	991		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 39.48.

Crosstab

			reside4 How long have you lived in Reston				Total
			1.00 <=5 years	2.00 >5 and <=10	3.00 >10 and <=25	4.00 >25 years	
rcc6_d How satisfied are you with the RCC in keeping you informed	0 not satisfied	Count % within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	2 1.2%	6 3.6%	15 5.7%	13 7.9%	36 4.7%
	1 satisfied	Count % within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	166 98.8%	163 96.4%	250 94.3%	151 92.1%	730 95.3%
Total		Count % within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	168 100.0%	169 100.0%	265 100.0%	164 100.0%	766 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.477 ^a	3	.024
Likelihood Ratio	10.757	3	.013
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.461	1	.002
N of Valid Cases	766		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.71.

Crosstab

			reside4 How long have you lived in Reston				Total
			1.00 <=5 years	2.00 >5 and <=10	3.00 >10 and <=25	4.00 >25 years	
rcc7_3_d The RCC provides a broad range of programs in arts	0 disagree	Count % within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	1 .7%	13 8.3%	12 4.9%	9 5.8%	35 5.0%
	1 agree	Count % within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	149 99.3%	143 91.7%	232 95.1%	145 94.2%	669 95.0%
Total		Count % within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	150 100.0%	156 100.0%	244 100.0%	154 100.0%	704 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.865 ^a	3	.020
Likelihood Ratio	12.550	3	.006
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.201	1	.138
N of Valid Cases	704		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.46.

Crosstab

			reside4 How long have you lived in Reston				Total
			1.00 <=5 years	2.00 >5 and <=10	3.00 >10 and <=25	4.00 >25 years	
rcc7_6_d The RCC provides a broad range of programs in life-long learning	0 disagree	Count % within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	3 2.4%	11 7.8%	8 3.8%	12 9.9%	34 5.7%
	1 agree	Count % within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	124 97.6%	130 92.2%	204 96.2%	109 90.1%	567 94.3%
Total		Count % within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	127 100.0%	141 100.0%	212 100.0%	121 100.0%	601 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.322 ^a	3	.025
Likelihood Ratio	9.353	3	.025
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.197	1	.074
N of Valid Cases	601		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.85.

Crosstab

			reside4 How long have you lived in Reston				Total
			1.00 <=5 years	2.00 >5 and <=10	3.00 >10 and <=25	4.00 >25 years	
rcc14_d In the past 12 months, you or a family member ever attended a program by or at the RCC	0 No	Count % within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	116 44.6%	79 37.1%	112 35.8%	45 23.8%	352 36.1%
	1 Yes	Count % within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	144 55.4%	134 62.9%	201 64.2%	144 76.2%	623 63.9%
Total		Count % within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	260 100.0%	213 100.0%	313 100.0%	189 100.0%	975 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	20.653 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	21.254	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	18.427	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	975		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 68.23.

Crosstab

			reside4 How long have you lived in Reston				Total
			1.00 <=5 years	2.00 >5 and <=10	3.00 >10 and <=25	4.00 >25 years	
rcc16_d Has member of your family participated in any of the RCC programs within the past 5 years	0 No	Count % within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	95 81.2%	49 62.8%	57 49.1%	21 46.7%	222 62.4%
	1 Yes	Count % within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	22 18.8%	29 37.2%	59 50.9%	24 53.3%	134 37.6%
Total		Count % within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	117 100.0%	78 100.0%	116 100.0%	45 100.0%	356 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	31.054 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	32.531	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	28.836	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	356		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 16.94.

Crosstab

			reside4 How long have you lived in Reston				Total
			1.00 <=5 years	2.00 >5 and <=10	3.00 >10 and <=25	4.00 >25 years	
rcc17c_1_d Open or Lap Swim	0 unlikely	Count	87	68	134	99	388
		% within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	52.7%	42.5%	51.7%	60.0%	51.8%
	1 likely	Count	78	92	125	66	361
		% within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	47.3%	57.5%	48.3%	40.0%	48.2%
Total		Count	165	160	259	165	749
		% within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.043 ^a	3	.018
Likelihood Ratio	10.089	3	.018
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.047	1	.081
N of Valid Cases	749		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 77.12.

Crosstab

			reside4 How long have you lived in Reston				Total
			1.00 <=5 years	2.00 >5 and <=10	3.00 >10 and <=25	4.00 >25 years	
rcc17c_2_d Swim Instruction	0 unlikely	Count % within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	96 58.2%	86 53.4%	190 73.6%	126 75.4%	498 66.3%
	1 likely	Count % within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	69 41.8%	75 46.6%	68 26.4%	41 24.6%	253 33.7%
Total		Count % within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	165 100.0%	161 100.0%	258 100.0%	167 100.0%	751 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	29.316 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	29.198	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	20.818	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	751		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 54.24.

Crosstab

			reside4 How long have you lived in Reston				Total
			1.00 <=5 years	2.00 >5 and <=10	3.00 >10 and <=25	4.00 >25 years	
rcc17c_4_d Adapted Aquatics for individuals with disabilities	0 unlikely	Count % within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	153 95.0%	134 87.6%	233 90.3%	142 86.1%	662 89.8%
	1 likely	Count % within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	8 5.0%	19 12.4%	25 9.7%	23 13.9%	75 10.2%
Total		Count % within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	161 100.0%	153 100.0%	258 100.0%	165 100.0%	737 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.240 ^a	3	.041
Likelihood Ratio	8.955	3	.030
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.019	1	.025
N of Valid Cases	737		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 15.57.

Crosstab

			reside4 How long have you lived in Reston				Total
			1.00 <=5 years	2.00 >5 and <=10	3.00 >10 and <=25	4.00 >25 years	
rcc19c_1_d After school program	0 unlikely	Count % within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	119 74.4%	94 58.0%	208 80.6%	143 86.7%	564 75.7%
	1 likely	Count % within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	41 25.6%	68 42.0%	50 19.4%	22 13.3%	181 24.3%
Total		Count % within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	160 100.0%	162 100.0%	258 100.0%	165 100.0%	745 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	41.855 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	40.354	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	16.961	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	745		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 38.87.

Crosstab

			reside4 How long have you lived in Reston				Total
			1.00 <=5 years	2.00 >5 and <=10	3.00 >10 and <=25	4.00 >25 years	
rcc19c_3_d Youth summer camp	0 unlikely	Count	116	95	203	141	555
		% within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	71.6%	58.6%	78.7%	83.9%	74.0%
	1 likely	Count	46	67	55	27	195
		% within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	28.4%	41.4%	21.3%	16.1%	26.0%
Total		Count	162	162	258	168	750
		% within reside4 How long have you lived in Reston	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	31.890 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	31.071	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	14.974	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	750		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 42.12.

Crosstab

			home Do you own your home or rent		Total
			1 OWN	2 RENT	
rcc2D How familiar are you with the facilities and programs of the Reston Community Center	0	Count % within home Do you own your home or rent	265 13.4%	223 24.1%	488 16.9%
	1 familiar	Count % within home Do you own your home or rent	1707 86.6%	701 75.9%	2408 83.1%
Total		Count % within home Do you own your home or rent	1972 100.0%	924 100.0%	2896 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	51.375 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	50.614	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	49.076	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	51.357	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	2896				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 155.70.

Crosstab

			home Do you own your home or rent		Total
			1 OWN	2 RENT	
rcc6a_1_d The RCC Staff is responsive to requests for information	0 disagree	Count	14	13	27
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	3.3%	6.9%	4.4%
	1 agree	Count	416	175	591
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	96.7%	93.1%	95.6%
Total			Count	430	188
			% within home Do you own your home or rent	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.192 ^b	1	.041		
Continuity Correction ^a	3.362	1	.067		
Likelihood Ratio	3.888	1	.049		
Fisher's Exact Test				.053	.037
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.185	1	.041		
N of Valid Cases	618				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.21.

Crosstab

			home Do you own your home or rent		Total
			1 OWN	2 RENT	
rcc6a_3_d The RCC staff provides useful information to its patrons	0 disagree	Count	12	12	24
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	2.7%	6.2%	3.7%
	1 agree	Count	439	181	620
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	97.3%	93.8%	96.3%
Total		Count	451	193	644
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.766 ^b	1	.029		
Continuity Correction ^a	3.826	1	.050		
Likelihood Ratio	4.377	1	.036		
Fisher's Exact Test				.039	.029
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.759	1	.029		
N of Valid Cases	644				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.19.

Crosstab

			home Do you own your home or rent		Total
			1 OWN	2 RENT	
rcc6a_4_d The RCC staff provides courteous service	0 disagree	Count % within home Do you own your home or rent	14 3.0%	0 .0%	14 2.1%
	1 agree	Count % within home Do you own your home or rent	453 97.0%	204 100.0%	657 97.9%
Total		Count % within home Do you own your home or rent	467 100.0%	204 100.0%	671 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.246 ^b	1	.012		
Continuity Correction ^a	4.865	1	.027		
Likelihood Ratio	10.278	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test				.008	.006
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.237	1	.013		
N of Valid Cases	671				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.26.

Crosstab

			home Do you own your home or rent		Total
			1 OWN	2 RENT	
rcc7_1_d The RCC creates positive leisure experiences	0 disagree	Count % within home Do you own your home or rent	13 2.6%	12 5.7%	25 3.5%
	1 agree	Count % within home Do you own your home or rent	496 97.4%	198 94.3%	694 96.5%
Total		Count % within home Do you own your home or rent	509 100.0%	210 100.0%	719 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.424 ^b	1	.035		
Continuity Correction ^a	3.532	1	.060		
Likelihood Ratio	4.056	1	.044		
Fisher's Exact Test				.044	.034
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.418	1	.036		
N of Valid Cases	719				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.30.

Crosstab

			home Do you own your home or rent		Total
			1 OWN	2 RENT	
rcc7_4_d The RCC provides a broad range of programs in aquatics	0 disagree	Count	12	14	26
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	2.5%	7.5%	3.9%
	1 agree	Count	471	173	644
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	97.5%	92.5%	96.1%
Total		Count	483	187	670
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.043 ^b	1	.003		
Continuity Correction ^a	7.752	1	.005		
Likelihood Ratio	8.051	1	.005		
Fisher's Exact Test				.006	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.030	1	.003		
N of Valid Cases	670				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.26.

Crosstab

			home Do you own your home or rent		Total
			1 OWN	2 RENT	
rcc10_d In your opinion, does the RCC make Reston a more attractive place for business	0 No	Count % within home Do you own your home or rent	414 28.6%	133 19.1%	547 25.5%
	1 Yes	Count % within home Do you own your home or rent	1032 71.4%	563 80.9%	1595 74.5%
Total		Count % within home Do you own your home or rent	1446 100.0%	696 100.0%	2142 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	22.401 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	21.903	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	23.199	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	22.390	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	2142				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 177.74.

Crosstab

			home Do you own your home or rent		Total
			1 OWN	2 RENT	
rcc14_d In the past 12 months, you or a family member ever attended a program by or at the RCC	0 No	Count % within home Do you own your home or rent	731 37.4%	418 46.0%	1149 40.1%
	1 Yes	Count % within home Do you own your home or rent	1225 62.6%	490 54.0%	1715 59.9%
Total		Count % within home Do you own your home or rent	1956 100.0%	908 100.0%	2864 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.372 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	19.014	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	19.244	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	19.366	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	2864				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 364.28.

Crosstab

			home Do you own your home or rent		Total
			1 OWN	2 RENT	
rcc16_d Has member of your family participated in any of the RCC programs within the past 5 years	0 No	Count	270	239	509
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	57.7%	80.5%	66.5%
	1 Yes	Count	198	58	256
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	42.3%	19.5%	33.5%
Total		Count	468	297	765
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	42.343 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	41.326	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	44.266	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	42.287	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	765				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 99.39.

Crosstab

			home Do you own your home or rent		Total
			1 OWN	2 RENT	
rcc17a_d Your family used the Hunters Woods pool for swimming or Aquatic program in the past 12 months	0 No	Count	557	192	749
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	61.5%	55.0%	59.7%
	1 Yes	Count	349	157	506
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	38.5%	45.0%	40.3%
Total		Count	906	349	1255
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.376 ^b	1	.036		
Continuity Correction ^a	4.111	1	.043		
Likelihood Ratio	4.348	1	.037		
Fisher's Exact Test				.040	.022
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.372	1	.037		
N of Valid Cases	1255				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 140.71.

Crosstab

				home Do you own your home or rent		Total
				1 OWN	2 RENT	
rcc17c_1_d Open or Lap Swim	0 unlikely	Count	285	101	386	
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	54.6%	46.3%	52.2%	
	1 likely	Count	237	117	354	
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	45.4%	53.7%	47.8%	
Total		Count	522	218	740	
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.212 ^b	1	.040		
Continuity Correction ^a	3.887	1	.049		
Likelihood Ratio	4.211	1	.040		
Fisher's Exact Test				.044	.024
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.207	1	.040		
N of Valid Cases	740				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 104.29.

Crosstab

			home Do you own your home or rent		Total	
			1 OWN	2 RENT		
rcc17c_2_d Swim Instruction	0 unlikely	Count	369	125	494	
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	70.2%	57.9%	66.6%	
	1 likely	Count	157	91	248	
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	29.8%	42.1%	33.4%	
Total			Count	526	216	742
			% within home Do you own your home or rent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.380 ^b	1	.001		
Continuity Correction ^a	9.835	1	.002		
Likelihood Ratio	10.175	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test				.002	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.366	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	742				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 72.19.

Crosstab

			home Do you own your home or rent		Total	
			1 OWN	2 RENT		
rcc17c_4_d Adapted Aquatics for individuals with disabilities	0 unlikely	Count	481	176	657	
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	92.9%	83.4%	90.1%	
	1 likely	Count	37	35	72	
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	7.1%	16.6%	9.9%	
Total			Count	518	211	729
			% within home Do you own your home or rent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.026 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	13.983	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	13.822	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	15.005	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	729				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 20.84.

Crosstab

			home Do you own your home or rent		Total
			1 OWN	2 RENT	
rcc19a_d Your family attended a class or workshop to promote leisure-time enjoyment within the past 12 months	0 No	Count	375	135	510
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	71.2%	62.8%	68.7%
	1 Yes	Count	152	80	232
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	28.8%	37.2%	31.3%
Total		Count	527	215	742
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.974 ^b	1	.026		
Continuity Correction ^a	4.592	1	.032		
Likelihood Ratio	4.888	1	.027		
Fisher's Exact Test				.029	.017
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.967	1	.026		
N of Valid Cases	742				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 67.22.

Crosstab

			home Do you own your home or rent		Total
			1 OWN	2 RENT	
rcc19c_1_d After school program	0 unlikely	Count	836	248	1084
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	84.3%	66.8%	79.5%
	1 likely	Count	156	123	279
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	15.7%	33.2%	20.5%
Total		Count	992	371	1363
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	50.377 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	49.312	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	47.062	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	50.340	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	1363				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 75.94.

Crosstab

			home Do you own your home or rent		Total
			1 OWN	2 RENT	
rcc19c_3_d Youth summer camp	0 unlikely	Count	782	255	1037
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	78.0%	69.1%	75.6%
	1 likely	Count	221	114	335
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	22.0%	30.9%	24.4%
Total		Count	1003	369	1372
		% within home Do you own your home or rent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.475 ^b	1	.001		
Continuity Correction ^a	11.000	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	11.112	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test				.001	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.467	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	1372				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 90.10.

Crosstab

			marital3 What is your marital status			Total
			1.00 married	2.00 separated, divorced, widowed	3.00 never married	
rcc7_5_d The RCC provides a broad range of programs in enrichment activities	0 disagree	Count	8	2	7	17
		% within marital3 What is your marital status	2.3%	1.2%	6.0%	2.7%
	1 agree	Count	336	167	109	612
		% within marital3 What is your marital status	97.7%	98.8%	94.0%	97.3%
Total		Count	344	169	116	629
		% within marital3 What is your marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.566 ^a	2	.038
Likelihood Ratio	5.716	2	.057
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.681	1	.102
N of Valid Cases	629		

a. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.14.

Crosstab

			marital3 What is your marital status			Total
			1.00 married	2.00 separated, divorced, widowed	3.00 never married	
rcc7_6_d The RCC provides a broad range of programs in life-long learning	0 disagree	Count	10	13	9	32
		% within marital3 What is your marital status	3.2%	8.1%	8.1%	5.5%
	1 agree	Count	304	148	102	554
		% within marital3 What is your marital status	96.8%	91.9%	91.9%	94.5%
Total		Count	314	161	111	586
		% within marital3 What is your marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.788 ^a	2	.034
Likelihood Ratio	6.871	2	.032
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.545	1	.019
N of Valid Cases	586		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.06.

Crosstab

			marital3 What is your marital status			Total
			1.00 married	2.00 separated, divorced, widowed	3.00 never married	
rcc10_d In your opinion, does the RCC make Reston a more attractive place for business	0 No	Count	80	23	22	125
		% within marital3 What is your marital status	21.4%	11.4%	16.1%	17.6%
	1 Yes	Count	293	179	115	587
		% within marital3 What is your marital status	78.6%	88.6%	83.9%	82.4%
Total		Count	373	202	137	712
		% within marital3 What is your marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.428 ^a	2	.009
Likelihood Ratio	9.835	2	.007
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.386	1	.036
N of Valid Cases	712		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 24.05.

Crosstab

			marital3 What is your marital status			Total
			1.00 married	2.00 separated, divorced, widowed	3.00 never married	
rcc14_d In the past 12 months, you or a family member ever attended a program by or at the RCC	0 No	Count % within marital3 What is your marital status	152 29.7%	88 35.1%	103 55.4%	343 36.1%
	1 Yes	Count % within marital3 What is your marital status	360 70.3%	163 64.9%	83 44.6%	606 63.9%
Total		Count % within marital3 What is your marital status	512 100.0%	251 100.0%	186 100.0%	949 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	39.184 ^a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	38.055	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	34.988	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	949		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 67.23.

Crosstab

			marital3 What is your marital status			Total
			1.00 married	2.00 separated, divorced, widowed	3.00 never married	
rcc17a_d Your family used the Hunters Woods pool for swimming or Aquatic program in the past 12 months	0 No	Count	250	127	76	453
		% within marital3 What is your marital status	58.8%	65.5%	70.4%	62.3%
	1 Yes	Count	175	67	32	274
		% within marital3 What is your marital status	41.2%	34.5%	29.6%	37.7%
Total		Count	425	194	108	727
		% within marital3 What is your marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.009 ^a	2	.050
Likelihood Ratio	6.098	2	.047
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.961	1	.015
N of Valid Cases	727		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 40.70.

Crosstab

				marital3 What is your marital status			Total
				1.00 married	2.00 separated, divorced, widowed	3.00 never married	
rcc17c_1_d Open or Lap Swim	0 unlikely	Count	212	118	48	378	
		% within marital3 What is your marital status	50.0%	61.1%	42.9%	51.9%	
	1 likely	Count	212	75	64	351	
		% within marital3 What is your marital status	50.0%	38.9%	57.1%	48.1%	
Total		Count	424	193	112	729	
		% within marital3 What is your marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.881 ^a	2	.004
Likelihood Ratio	10.955	2	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	.049	1	.825
N of Valid Cases	729		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 53.93.

Crosstab

			marital3 What is your marital status			Total
			1.00 married	2.00 separated, divorced, widowed	3.00 never married	
rcc17c_4_d Adapted Aquatics for individuals with disabilities	0 unlikely	Count % within marital3 What is your marital status	389 92.8%	159 82.0%	100 91.7%	648 89.8%
	1 likely	Count % within marital3 What is your marital status	30 7.2%	35 18.0%	9 8.3%	74 10.2%
Total		Count % within marital3 What is your marital status	419 100.0%	194 100.0%	109 100.0%	722 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	17.622 ^a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	16.008	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.190	1	.074
N of Valid Cases	722		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 11.17.

Crosstab

			marital3 What is your marital status			Total
			1.00 married	2.00 separated, divorced, widowed	3.00 never married	
rcc19b_d Were you satisfied with the value you received in relationship to the fee that you paid	0 unlikely	Count	2	4	4	10
		% within marital3 What is your marital status	1.6%	5.9%	12.9%	4.4%
	1 likely	Count	126	64	27	217
		% within marital3 What is your marital status	98.4%	94.1%	87.1%	95.6%
Total		Count	128	68	31	227
		% within marital3 What is your marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.125 ^a	2	.017
Likelihood Ratio	7.129	2	.028
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.914	1	.005
N of Valid Cases	227		

a. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.37.

Crosstab

			marital3 What is your marital status			Total
			1.00 married	2.00 separated, divorced, widowed	3.00 never married	
rcc19c_2_d Fitness & exercise program	0 unlikely	Count	183	94	37	314
		% within marital3 What is your marital status	42.8%	48.5%	32.7%	42.7%
	1 likely	Count	245	100	76	421
		% within marital3 What is your marital status	57.2%	51.5%	67.3%	57.3%
Total		Count	428	194	113	735
		% within marital3 What is your marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.203 ^a	2	.027
Likelihood Ratio	7.307	2	.026
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.313	1	.252
N of Valid Cases	735		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 48.27.

Crosstab

			child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home		Total
			1 YES	2 NO	
rcc2D How familiar are you with the facilities and programs of the Reston Community Center	0	Count % within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	116 12.7%	374 18.7%	490 16.8%
	1 familiar	Count % within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	800 87.3%	1626 81.3%	2426 83.2%
Total		Count % within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	916 100.0%	2000 100.0%	2916 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.374 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	15.945	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	17.076	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	16.369	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	2916				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 153.92.

Crosstab

			child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home		Total
			1 YES	2 NO	
rcc9_d In your opinion, does the RCC make Reston a more attractive place to live	0 No	Count % within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	61 7.0%	187 10.2%	248 9.2%
	1 Yes	Count % within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	811 93.0%	1647 89.8%	2458 90.8%
Total		Count % within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	872 100.0%	1834 100.0%	2706 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.274 ^b	1	.007		
Continuity Correction ^a	6.894	1	.009		
Likelihood Ratio	7.597	1	.006		
Fisher's Exact Test				.007	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.271	1	.007		
N of Valid Cases	2706				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 79.92.

Crosstab

			child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home		Total
			1 YES	2 NO	
rcc14_d In the past 12 months, you or a family member ever attended a program by or at the RCC	0 No	Count % within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	244 26.8%	910 46.2%	1154 40.0%
	1 Yes	Count % within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	667 73.2%	1061 53.8%	1728 60.0%
Total		Count % within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	911 100.0%	1971 100.0%	2882 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	97.524 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	96.718	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	100.647	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	97.490	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	2882				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 364.78.

Crosstab

			child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home		Total
			1 YES	2 NO	
rcc16_d Has member of your family participated in any of the RCC programs within the past 5 years	0 No	Count % within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	93 57.1%	412 68.7%	505 66.2%
	1 Yes	Count % within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	70 42.9%	188 31.3%	258 33.8%
Total		Count % within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	163 100.0%	600 100.0%	763 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.722 ^b	1	.005		
Continuity Correction ^a	7.212	1	.007		
Likelihood Ratio	7.525	1	.006		
Fisher's Exact Test				.007	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.712	1	.005		
N of Valid Cases	763				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 55.12.

Crosstab

			child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home		Total
	0 No	Count	1 YES	2 NO	
rcc17a_d Your family used the Hunters Woods pool for swimming or Aquatic program in the past 12 months	0 No	Count % within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	213 45.8%	539 68.0%	752 59.8%
	1 Yes	Count % within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	252 54.2%	254 32.0%	506 40.2%
Total		Count % within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	465 100.0%	793 100.0%	1258 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	59.883 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	58.965	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	59.614	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	59.836	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	1258				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 187.03.

Crosstab

			child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home		Total
			1 YES	2 NO	
rcc17c_1_d Open or Lap Swim	0 unlikely	Count	113	271	384
		% within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	41.4%	57.8%	51.8%
	1 likely	Count	160	198	358
		% within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	58.6%	42.2%	48.2%
Total		Count	273	469	742
		% within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	18.566 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	17.915	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	18.630	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	18.541	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	742				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 131.72.

Crosstab

			child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home		Total
			1 YES	2 NO	
rcc17c_2_d Swim Instruction	0 unlikely	Count % within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	115 41.7%	380 80.9%	495 66.4%
	1 likely	Count % within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	161 58.3%	90 19.1%	251 33.6%
Total		Count % within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	276 100.0%	470 100.0%	746 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	119.590 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	117.841	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	118.894	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	119.429	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	746				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 92.86.

Crosstab

			child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home		Total
			1 YES	2 NO	
rcc19a_d Your family attended a class or workshop to promote leisure-time enjoyment within the past 12 months	0 No	Count % within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	171 62.9%	341 72.6%	512 69.0%
	1 Yes	Count % within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	101 37.1%	129 27.4%	230 31.0%
Total		Count % within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	272 100.0%	470 100.0%	742 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.557 ^b	1	.006		
Continuity Correction ^a	7.110	1	.008		
Likelihood Ratio	7.467	1	.006		
Fisher's Exact Test				.007	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.546	1	.006		
N of Valid Cases	742				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 84.31.

Crosstab

			child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home		Total
			1 YES	2 NO	
rcc19c_1_d After school program	0 unlikely	Count % within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	278 56.3%	810 93.0%	1088 79.7%
	1 likely	Count % within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	216 43.7%	61 7.0%	277 20.3%
Total		Count % within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	494 100.0%	871 100.0%	1365 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	262.787 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	260.522	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	258.094	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	262.595	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	1365				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 100.25.

Crosstab

			child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home		Total
			1 YES	2 NO	
rcc19c_3_d Youth summer camp	0 unlikely	Count	217	823	1040
		% within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	43.9%	93.5%	75.7%
	1 likely	Count	277	57	334
		% within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	56.1%	6.5%	24.3%
Total		Count	494	880	1374
		% within child Do you have children under 18 years of age living at home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	422.963 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	420.272	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	424.318	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	422.656	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	1374				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 120.08.

Crosstab

			educ6 Education - 6 categories				
			1.00 Less than HS	2.00 High school grad	3.00 Some college	4.00 4 year degree	5.00 Grad work
rcc2D How familiar are you with the facilities and programs of the Reston Community Center	0	Count	10	49	37	131	124
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	52.6%	29.2%	16.4%	19.2%	18.3%
	1 familiar	Count	9	119	189	552	554
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	47.4%	70.8%	83.6%	80.8%	81.7%
Total		Count	19	168	226	683	678
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

		educ6		Total
		6.00 Adv Grad/PhD		
rcc2D How familiar are you with the facilities and programs of the Reston Community Center	0	Count	22	373
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	15.7%	19.5%
	1 familiar	Count	118	1541
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	84.3%	80.5%
Total		Count	140	1914
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	26.664 ^a	5	.000
Likelihood Ratio	22.830	5	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.275	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	1914		

a. 1 cells (8.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.70.

Crosstab

			educ6 Education - 6 categories				
			1.00 Less than HS	2.00 High school grad	3.00 Some college	4.00 4 year degree	5.00 Grad work
rcc6a_1_d The RCC Staff is responsive to requests for information	0 disagree	Count	0	4	4	3	18
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	.0%	6.7%	5.6%	1.4%	8.1%
	1 agree	Count	4	56	68	214	205
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	93.3%	94.4%	98.6%	91.9%
Total		Count	4	60	72	217	223
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			educ6	
			6.00 Adv Grad/PhD	Total
rcc6a_1_d The RCC Staff is responsive to requests for information	0 disagree	Count	0	29
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	.0%	4.7%
	1 agree	Count	42	589
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	95.3%
Total		Count	42	618
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.917 ^a	5	.016
Likelihood Ratio	17.005	5	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	.042	1	.837
N of Valid Cases	618		

a. 5 cells (41.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .19.

Crosstab

			educ6 Education - 6 categories				
			1.00 Less than HS	2.00 High school grad	3.00 Some college	4.00 4 year degree	5.00 Grad work
rcc6a_2_d The information provided by RCC staff is accurate	0 disagree	Count	0	1	0	3	13
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	.0%	1.7%	.0%	1.4%	5.9%
	1 agree	Count	4	58	73	213	208
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	98.3%	100.0%	98.6%	94.1%
Total		Count	4	59	73	216	221
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			educ6	
			6.00 Adv Grad/PhD	Total
rcc6a_2_d The information provided by RCC staff is accurate	0 disagree	Count	0	17
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	.0%	2.8%
	1 agree	Count	43	599
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	97.2%
Total		Count	43	616
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.198 ^a	5	.022
Likelihood Ratio	14.948	5	.011
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.413	1	.065
N of Valid Cases	616		

a. 5 cells (41.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .11.

Crosstab

			educ6 Education - 6 categories				
			1.00 Less than HS	2.00 High school grad	3.00 Some college	4.00 4 year degree	5.00 Grad work
rcc6a_3_d The RCC staff provides useful information to its patrons	0 disagree	Count	0	4	0	4	12
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	.0%	6.6%	.0%	1.8%	5.3%
	1 agree	Count	4	57	82	220	216
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	93.4%	100.0%	98.2%	94.7%
Total		Count	4	61	82	224	228
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			educ6	
			6.00 Adv Grad/PhD	Total
rcc6a_3_d The RCC staff provides useful information to its patrons	0 disagree	Count	4	24
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	8.5%	3.7%
	1 agree	Count	43	622
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	91.5%	96.3%
Total		Count	47	646
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.576 ^a	5	.041
Likelihood Ratio	14.106	5	.015
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.385	1	.122
N of Valid Cases	646		

a. 5 cells (41.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .15.

Crosstab

			educ6 Education - 6 categories				
			1.00 Less than HS	2.00 High school grad	3.00 Some college	4.00 4 year degree	5.00 Grad work
rcc7_2_d The RCC enhances the quality of life for people living and working in greater Reston	0 disagree	Count	4	2	4	4	10
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	80.0%	3.2%	4.4%	1.5%	3.6%
	1 agree	Count	1	61	86	255	266
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	20.0%	96.8%	95.6%	98.5%	96.4%
Total		Count	5	63	90	259	276
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			educ6	
			6.00 Adv Grad/PhD	Total
rcc7_2_d The RCC enhances the quality of life for people living and working in greater Reston	0 disagree	Count	3	27
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	5.1%	3.6%
	1 agree	Count	56	725
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	94.9%	96.4%
Total		Count	59	752
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	88.068 ^a	5	.000
Likelihood Ratio	26.194	5	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.243	1	.072
N of Valid Cases	752		

a. 5 cells (41.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .18.

Crosstab

			educ6 Education - 6 categories				
			1.00 Less than HS	2.00 High school grad	3.00 Some college	4.00 4 year degree	5.00 Grad work
rcc7_3_d The RCC provides a broad range of programs in arts	0 disagree	Count	4	1	5	5	16
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	66.7%	1.7%	6.3%	2.1%	6.0%
	1 agree	Count	2	58	74	228	249
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	33.3%	98.3%	93.7%	97.9%	94.0%
Total		Count	6	59	79	233	265
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			educ6	
			6.00 Adv Grad/PhD	Total
rcc7_3_d The RCC provides a broad range of programs in arts	0 disagree	Count	5	36
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	9.1%	5.2%
	1 agree	Count	50	661
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	90.9%	94.8%
Total		Count	55	697
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	54.480 ^a	5	.000
Likelihood Ratio	25.750	5	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	.040	1	.842
N of Valid Cases	697		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .31.

Crosstab

			educ6 Education - 6 categories				
			1.00 Less than HS	2.00 High school grad	3.00 Some college	4.00 4 year degree	5.00 Grad work
rcc7_6_d The RCC provides a broad range of programs in life-long learning	0 disagree	Count	4	0	2	9	17
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	66.7%	.0%	2.9%	4.3%	7.7%
	1 agree	Count	2	54	67	200	205
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	33.3%	100.0%	97.1%	95.7%	92.3%
Total		Count	6	54	69	209	222
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			educ6	
			6.00 Adv Grad/PhD	Total
rcc7_6_d The RCC provides a broad range of programs in life-long learning	0 disagree	Count	2	34
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	5.6%	5.7%
	1 agree	Count	34	562
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	94.4%	94.3%
Total		Count	36	596
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	48.064 ^a	5	.000
Likelihood Ratio	25.330	5	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	.049	1	.824
N of Valid Cases	596		

a. 4 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .34.

Crosstab

			educ6 Education - 6 categories				
			1.00 Less than HS	2.00 High school grad	3.00 Some college	4.00 4 year degree	5.00 Grad work
rcc10_d In your opinion, does the RCC make Reston a more attractive place for business	0 No	Count	4	21	22	127	120
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	33.3%	17.6%	13.4%	25.3%	24.1%
	1 Yes	Count	8	98	142	374	377
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	66.7%	82.4%	86.6%	74.7%	75.9%
Total		Count	12	119	164	501	497
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			educ6	
			6.00 Adv Grad/PhD	Total
rcc10_d In your opinion, does the RCC make Reston a more attractive place for business	0 No	Count	27	321
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	30.0%	23.2%
	1 Yes	Count	63	1062
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	70.0%	76.8%
Total		Count	90	1383
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.443 ^a	5	.009
Likelihood Ratio	16.501	5	.006
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.485	1	.011
N of Valid Cases	1383		

a. 1 cells (8.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.79.

Crosstab

			educ6 Education - 6 categories				
			1.00 Less than HS	2.00 High school grad	3.00 Some college	4.00 4 year degree	5.00 Grad work
rcc17c_1_d Open or Lap Swim	0 unlikely	Count	3	24	51	134	154
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	42.9%	40.0%	58.6%	52.5%	56.6%
	1 likely	Count	4	36	36	121	118
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	57.1%	60.0%	41.4%	47.5%	43.4%
Total		Count	7	60	87	255	272
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			educ6	
			6.00 Adv Grad/PhD	Total
rcc17c_1_d Open or Lap Swim	0 unlikely	Count	20	386
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	33.3%	52.1%
	1 likely	Count	40	355
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	66.7%	47.9%
Total		Count	60	741
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.954 ^a	5	.007
Likelihood Ratio	16.099	5	.007
Linear-by-Linear Association	.001	1	.969
N of Valid Cases	741		

a. 2 cells (16.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.35.

Crosstab

			educ6 Education - 6 categories				
			1.00 Less than HS	2.00 High school grad	3.00 Some college	4.00 4 year degree	5.00 Grad work
rcc17c_2_d Swim Instruction	0 unlikely	Count	3	29	51	171	195
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	42.9%	47.5%	60.0%	66.5%	71.4%
	1 likely	Count	4	32	34	86	78
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	57.1%	52.5%	40.0%	33.5%	28.6%
Total		Count	7	61	85	257	273
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			educ6	
			6.00 Adv Grad/PhD	Total
rcc17c_2_d Swim Instruction	0 unlikely	Count	45	494
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	73.8%	66.4%
	1 likely	Count	16	250
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	26.2%	33.6%
Total		Count	61	744
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	17.605 ^a	5	.003
Likelihood Ratio	17.005	5	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	16.173	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	744		

a. 2 cells (16.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.35.

Crosstab

			educ6 Education - 6 categories				
			1.00 Less than HS	2.00 High school grad	3.00 Some college	4.00 4 year degree	5.00 Grad work
rcc17c_3_d Water Aerobics	0 unlikely	Count	7	31	59	179	203
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	53.4%	67.8%	70.2%	74.4%
	1 likely	Count	0	27	28	76	70
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	.0%	46.6%	32.2%	29.8%	25.6%
Total		Count	7	58	87	255	273
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			educ6	
			6.00 Adv Grad/PhD	Total
rcc17c_3_d Water Aerobics	0 unlikely	Count	45	524
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	73.8%	70.7%
	1 likely	Count	16	217
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	26.2%	29.3%
Total		Count	61	741
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.661 ^a	5	.018
Likelihood Ratio	14.980	5	.010
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.075	1	.024
N of Valid Cases	741		

a. 2 cells (16.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.05.

Crosstab

			educ6 Education - 6 categories				
			1.00 Less than HS	2.00 High school grad	3.00 Some college	4.00 4 year degree	5.00 Grad work
rcc17c_4_d Adapted Aquatics for individuals with disabilities	0 unlikely	Count	3	44	78	226	254
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	42.9%	73.3%	89.7%	91.5%	94.4%
	1 likely	Count	4	16	9	21	15
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	57.1%	26.7%	10.3%	8.5%	5.6%
Total		Count	7	60	87	247	269
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			educ6	
			6.00 Adv Grad/PhD	Total
rcc17c_4_d Adapted Aquatics for individuals with disabilities	0 unlikely	Count	54	659
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	88.5%	90.2%
	1 likely	Count	7	72
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	11.5%	9.8%
Total		Count	61	731
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	42.986 ^a	5	.000
Likelihood Ratio	30.490	5	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	20.820	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	731		

a. 1 cells (8.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .69.

Crosstab

			educ6 Education - 6 categories				
			1.00 Less than HS	2.00 High school grad	3.00 Some college	4.00 4 year degree	5.00 Grad work
rcc19a_d Your family attended a class or workshop to promote leisure-time enjoyment within the past 12 months	0 No	Count	7	27	56	183	198
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	46.6%	64.4%	71.8%	72.8%
	1 Yes	Count	0	31	31	72	74
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	.0%	53.4%	35.6%	28.2%	27.2%
Total		Count	7	58	87	255	272
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			educ6	
			6.00 Adv Grad/PhD	Total
rcc19a_d Your family attended a class or workshop to promote leisure-time enjoyment within the past 12 months	0 No	Count	40	511
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	65.6%	69.1%
	1 Yes	Count	21	229
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	34.4%	30.9%
Total		Count	61	740
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	20.777 ^a	5	.001
Likelihood Ratio	21.698	5	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.770	1	.029
N of Valid Cases	740		

a. 2 cells (16.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.17.

Crosstab

			educ6 Education - 6 categories				
			1.00 Less than HS	2.00 High school grad	3.00 Some college	4.00 4 year degree	5.00 Grad work
rcc19c_1_d After school program	0 unlikely	Count	8	77	121	374	414
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	57.1%	72.0%	76.1%	78.7%	83.3%
	1 likely	Count	6	30	38	101	83
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	42.9%	28.0%	23.9%	21.3%	16.7%
Total		Count	14	107	159	475	497
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			educ6	
			6.00 Adv Grad/PhD	Total
rcc19c_1_d After school program	0 unlikely	Count	91	1085
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	82.7%	79.7%
	1 likely	Count	19	277
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	17.3%	20.3%
Total		Count	110	1362
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.490 ^a	5	.013
Likelihood Ratio	13.605	5	.018
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.402	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	1362		

a. 1 cells (8.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.85.

Crosstab

			educ6 Education - 6 categories				
			1.00 Less than HS	2.00 High school grad	3.00 Some college	4.00 4 year degree	5.00 Grad work
rcc19c_2_d Fitness & exercise program	0 unlikely	Count	10	45	64	209	205
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	71.4%	39.8%	39.5%	43.6%	41.0%
	1 likely	Count	4	68	98	270	295
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	28.6%	60.2%	60.5%	56.4%	59.0%
Total		Count	14	113	162	479	500
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			educ6	
			6.00 Adv Grad/PhD	Total
rcc19c_2_d Fitness & exercise program	0 unlikely	Count	63	596
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	57.3%	43.3%
	1 likely	Count	47	782
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	42.7%	56.7%
Total		Count	110	1378
		% within educ6 Education - 6 categories	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.867 ^a	5	.007
Likelihood Ratio	15.791	5	.007
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.170	1	.279
N of Valid Cases	1378		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.06.

Crosstab

			gender GENDER		Total
			3 MALE	4 FEMALE	
rcc2D How familiar are you with the facilities and programs of the Reston Community Center	0	Count	193	187	380
		% within gender GENDER	25.9%	15.6%	19.5%
	1 familiar	Count	553	1011	1564
		% within gender GENDER	74.1%	84.4%	80.5%
Total		Count	746	1198	1944
		% within gender GENDER	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	30.785 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	30.135	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	30.138	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	30.769	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	1944				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 145.82.

Crosstab

			gender GENDER		Total
			3 MALE	4 FEMALE	
rcc6a_1_d The RCC Staff is responsive to requests for information	0 disagree	Count	5	23	28
		% within gender GENDER	2.2%	5.8%	4.5%
	1 agree	Count	224	372	596
		% within gender GENDER	97.8%	94.2%	95.5%
Total		Count	229	395	624
		% within gender GENDER	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.480 ^b	1	.034		
Continuity Correction ^a	3.671	1	.055		
Likelihood Ratio	4.982	1	.026		
Fisher's Exact Test				.043	.024
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.473	1	.034		
N of Valid Cases	624				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 10.28.

Crosstab

			gender GENDER		Total
			3 MALE	4 FEMALE	
rcc10_d In your opinion, does the RCC make Reston a more attractive place for business	0 No	Count % within gender GENDER	145 26.5%	185 21.6%	330 23.5%
	1 Yes	Count % within gender GENDER	403 73.5%	673 78.4%	1076 76.5%
Total		Count % within gender GENDER	548 100.0%	858 100.0%	1406 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.467 ^b	1	.035		
Continuity Correction ^a	4.198	1	.040		
Likelihood Ratio	4.426	1	.035		
Fisher's Exact Test				.039	.021
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.463	1	.035		
N of Valid Cases	1406				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 128.62.

Crosstab

			gender GENDER		Total
			3 MALE	4 FEMALE	
rcc16_d Has member of your family participated in any of the RCC programs within the past 5 years	0 No	Count % within gender GENDER	224 72.3%	288 62.1%	512 66.1%
	1 Yes	Count % within gender GENDER	86 27.7%	176 37.9%	262 33.9%
Total		Count % within gender GENDER	310 100.0%	464 100.0%	774 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.616 ^b	1	.003		
Continuity Correction ^a	8.167	1	.004		
Likelihood Ratio	8.729	1	.003		
Fisher's Exact Test				.004	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.605	1	.003		
N of Valid Cases	774				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 104.94.

Crosstab

			gender GENDER		Total
			3 MALE	4 FEMALE	
rcc17c_3_d Water Aerobics	0 unlikely	Count	236	294	530
		% within gender GENDER	80.3%	64.1%	70.4%
	1 likely	Count	58	165	223
		% within gender GENDER	19.7%	35.9%	29.6%
Total		Count	294	459	753
		% within gender GENDER	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	22.619 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	21.847	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	23.428	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	22.589	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	753				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 87.07.

Crosstab

			gender GENDER		Total
			3 MALE	4 FEMALE	
rcc19c_2_d Fitness & exercise program	0 unlikely	Count	246	357	603
		% within gender GENDER	49.0%	40.1%	43.3%
	1 likely	Count	256	534	790
		% within gender GENDER	51.0%	59.9%	56.7%
Total		Count	502	891	1393
		% within gender GENDER	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.446 ^b	1	.001		
Continuity Correction ^a	10.085	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	10.417	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test				.001	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.438	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	1393				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 217.31.

Crosstab

			income5 Income - 5 categories					Total
			1.00 Less than \$35K	2.00 \$35K - \$50K	3.00 \$50K - \$75K	4.00 \$75K - \$100K	5.00 Over \$100K	
rcc2D How familiar are you with the facilities and programs of the Reston Community Center	0	Count	43	37	53	62	95	290
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	26.2%	28.7%	19.5%	19.9%	15.3%	19.4%
	1 familiar	Count	121	92	219	250	526	1208
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	73.8%	71.3%	80.5%	80.1%	84.7%	80.6%
Total		Count	164	129	272	312	621	1498
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	18.743 ^a	4	.001
Likelihood Ratio	18.064	4	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	16.018	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	1498		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 24.97.

Crosstab

			income5 Income - 5 categories					Total
			1.00 Less than \$35K	2.00 \$35K - \$50K	3.00 \$50K - \$75K	4.00 \$75K - \$100K	5.00 Over \$100K	
rcc7_3_d The RCC provides a broad range of programs in arts	0 disagree	Count	4	3	0	3	17	27
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	8.5%	9.7%	.0%	2.7%	6.6%	5.0%
	1 agree	Count	43	28	97	108	240	516
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	91.5%	90.3%	100.0%	97.3%	93.4%	95.0%
Total		Count	47	31	97	111	257	543
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.451 ^a	4	.033
Likelihood Ratio	14.858	4	.005
Linear-by-Linear Association	.030	1	.861
N of Valid Cases	543		

a. 3 cells (30.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.54.

Crosstab

			income5 Income - 5 categories					Total
			1.00 Less than \$35K	2.00 \$35K - \$50K	3.00 \$50K - \$75K	4.00 \$75K - \$100K	5.00 Over \$100K	
rcc7_6_d The RCC provides a broad range of programs in life-long learning	0 disagree	Count	10	0	1	2	8	21
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	20.0%	.0%	1.2%	2.1%	3.8%	4.4%
	1 agree	Count	40	32	85	92	205	454
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	80.0%	100.0%	98.8%	97.9%	96.2%	95.6%
Total		Count	50	32	86	94	213	475
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	33.752 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	23.547	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.062	1	.002
N of Valid Cases	475		

a. 4 cells (40.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.41.

Crosstab

			income5 Income - 5 categories					Total
			1.00 Less than \$35K	2.00 \$35K - \$50K	3.00 \$50K - \$75K	4.00 \$75K - \$100K	5.00 Over \$100K	
rcc10_d In your opinion, does the RCC make Reston a more attractive place for business	0 No	Count	15	13	30	47	126	231
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	12.1%	13.3%	15.5%	20.9%	27.4%	21.0%
	1 Yes	Count	109	85	163	178	334	869
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	87.9%	86.7%	84.5%	79.1%	72.6%	79.0%
Total		Count	124	98	193	225	460	1100
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	24.250 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	24.992	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	22.543	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	1100		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 20.58.

Crosstab

			income5 Income - 5 categories					Total
			1.00 Less than \$35K	2.00 \$35K - \$50K	3.00 \$50K - \$75K	4.00 \$75K - \$100K	5.00 Over \$100K	
rcc14_d In the past 12 months, you or a family member ever attended a program by or at the RCC	0 No	Count	73	60	111	130	216	590
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	45.3%	47.2%	41.7%	41.9%	35.4%	40.0%
	1 Yes	Count	88	67	155	180	395	885
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	54.7%	52.8%	58.3%	58.1%	64.6%	60.0%
Total		Count	161	127	266	310	611	1475
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.007 ^a	4	.026
Likelihood Ratio	11.015	4	.026
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.091	1	.003
N of Valid Cases	1475		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 50.80.

Crosstab

			income5 Income - 5 categories					Total
			1.00 Less than \$35K	2.00 \$35K - \$50K	3.00 \$50K - \$75K	4.00 \$75K - \$100K	5.00 Over \$100K	
rcc16_d Has member of your family participated in any of the RCC programs within the past 5 years	0 No	Count	54	39	90	82	130	395
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	73.0%	68.4%	80.4%	62.6%	59.9%	66.8%
	1 Yes	Count	20	18	22	49	87	196
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	27.0%	31.6%	19.6%	37.4%	40.1%	33.2%
Total		Count	74	57	112	131	217	591
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.322 ^a	4	.003
Likelihood Ratio	17.085	4	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.271	1	.004
N of Valid Cases	591		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 18.90.

Crosstab

			income5 Income - 5 categories					Total
			1.00 Less than \$35K	2.00 \$35K - \$50K	3.00 \$50K - \$75K	4.00 \$75K - \$100K	5.00 Over \$100K	
rcc17b_d Were you satisfied with value you received for the fee paid to use Hunter Woods pool?	0 not satisfied	Count	3	1	0	2	5	11
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	21.4%	6.3%	.0%	4.5%	5.4%	5.1%
	1 satisfied	Count	11	15	47	42	88	203
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	78.6%	93.8%	100.0%	95.5%	94.6%	94.9%
Total		Count	14	16	47	44	93	214
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.247 ^a	4	.036
Likelihood Ratio	9.463	4	.051
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.301	1	.254
N of Valid Cases	214		

a. 5 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .72.

Crosstab

			income5 Income - 5 categories					Total
			1.00 Less than \$35K	2.00 \$35K - \$50K	3.00 \$50K - \$75K	4.00 \$75K - \$100K	5.00 Over \$100K	
rcc17c_2_d Swim Instruction	0 unlikely	Count	24	30	73	73	183	383
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	42.1%	66.7%	76.8%	64.6%	65.8%	65.1%
	1 likely	Count	33	15	22	40	95	205
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	57.9%	33.3%	23.2%	35.4%	34.2%	34.9%
Total		Count	57	45	95	113	278	588
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.165 ^a	4	.001
Likelihood Ratio	18.779	4	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.456	1	.063
N of Valid Cases	588		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 15.69.

Crosstab

			income5 Income - 5 categories					Total
			1.00 Less than \$35K	2.00 \$35K - \$50K	3.00 \$50K - \$75K	4.00 \$75K - \$100K	5.00 Over \$100K	
rcc17c_3_d Water Aerobics	0 unlikely	Count	26	26	66	83	212	413
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	50.0%	57.8%	67.3%	72.8%	76.3%	70.4%
	1 likely	Count	26	19	32	31	66	174
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	50.0%	42.2%	32.7%	27.2%	23.7%	29.6%
Total		Count	52	45	98	114	278	587
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.144 ^a	4	.001
Likelihood Ratio	18.222	4	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	18.356	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	587		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 13.34.

Crosstab

			income5 Income - 5 categories					Total
			1.00 Less than \$35K	2.00 \$35K - \$50K	3.00 \$50K - \$75K	4.00 \$75K - \$100K	5.00 Over \$100K	
rcc17c_4_d Adapted Aquatics for individuals with disabilities	0 unlikely	Count	38	31	85	103	264	521
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	66.7%	75.6%	88.5%	92.0%	95.7%	89.5%
	1 likely	Count	19	10	11	9	12	61
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	33.3%	24.4%	11.5%	8.0%	4.3%	10.5%
Total		Count	57	41	96	112	276	582
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	52.057 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	42.724	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	47.800	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	582		

a. 1 cells (10.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.30.

Crosstab

			income5 Income - 5 categories					Total
			1.00 Less than \$35K	2.00 \$35K - \$50K	3.00 \$50K - \$75K	4.00 \$75K - \$100K	5.00 Over \$100K	
rcc19b_d Were you satisfied with the value you received in relationship to the fee that you paid	0 unlikely	Count	6	0	0	1	2	9
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	27.3%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	2.5%	4.9%
	1 likely	Count	16	16	32	35	77	176
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	72.7%	100.0%	100.0%	97.2%	97.5%	95.1%
Total		Count	22	16	32	36	79	185
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	27.590 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	18.396	4	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.942	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	185		

a. 5 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .78.

Crosstab

			income5 Income - 5 categories					Total
			1.00 Less than \$35K	2.00 \$35K - \$50K	3.00 \$50K - \$75K	4.00 \$75K - \$100K	5.00 Over \$100K	
rcc19c_1_d After school program	0 unlikely	Count	80	57	149	165	381	832
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	75.5%	68.7%	85.6%	73.0%	79.9%	78.0%
	1 likely	Count	26	26	25	61	96	234
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	24.5%	31.3%	14.4%	27.0%	20.1%	22.0%
Total		Count	106	83	174	226	477	1066
		% within income5 Income - 5 categories	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.787 ^a	4	.005
Likelihood Ratio	14.880	4	.005
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.136	1	.287
N of Valid Cases	1066		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 18.22.

Crosstab

			language English as primary language		Total
			1 YES	2 NO	
rcc2D How familiar are you with the facilities and programs of the Reston Community Center	0	Count	316	59	375
		% within language English as primary language	17.9%	35.1%	19.4%
	1 familiar	Count	1448	109	1557
		% within language English as primary language	82.1%	64.9%	80.6%
Total		Count	1764	168	1932
		% within language English as primary language	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	29.028 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	27.938	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	25.278	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	29.013	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	1932				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 32.61.

Crosstab

			language English as primary language		Total
			1 YES	2 NO	
rcc6a_2_d The information provided by RCC staff is accurate	0 disagree	Count % within language English as primary language	12 2.1%	4 7.3%	16 2.6%
	1 agree	Count % within language English as primary language	556 97.9%	51 92.7%	607 97.4%
Total		Count % within language English as primary language	568 100.0%	55 100.0%	623 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.336 ^b	1	.021		
Continuity Correction ^a	3.473	1	.062		
Likelihood Ratio	3.780	1	.052		
Fisher's Exact Test				.044	.044
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.327	1	.021		
N of Valid Cases	623				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.41.

Crosstab

			language English as primary language		Total
			1 YES	2 NO	
rcc7_1_d The RCC creates positive leisure experiences	0 disagree	Count	19	7	26
		% within language English as primary language	2.9%	11.3%	3.6%
	1 agree	Count	645	55	700
		% within language English as primary language	97.1%	88.7%	96.4%
Total		Count	664	62	726
		% within language English as primary language	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.667 ^b	1	.001		
Continuity Correction ^a	9.354	1	.002		
Likelihood Ratio	7.977	1	.005		
Fisher's Exact Test				.004	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.651	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	726				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.22.

Crosstab

			language English as primary language		Total
			1 YES	2 NO	
rcc10_d In your opinion, does the RCC make Reston a more attractive place for business	0 No	Count % within language English as primary language	318 24.6%	7 6.7%	325 23.2%
	1 Yes	Count % within language English as primary language	975 75.4%	98 93.3%	1073 76.8%
Total		Count % within language English as primary language	1293 100.0%	105 100.0%	1398 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	17.492 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	16.502	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	22.145	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	17.480	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	1398				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 24.41.

Crosstab

			language English as primary language		Total
			1 YES	2 NO	
rcc16_d Has member of your family participated in any of the RCC programs within the past 5 years	0 No	Count % within language English as primary language	442 63.7%	65 89.0%	507 66.1%
	1 Yes	Count % within language English as primary language	252 36.3%	8 11.0%	260 33.9%
Total		Count % within language English as primary language	694 100.0%	73 100.0%	767 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	18.947 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	17.832	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	22.444	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	18.922	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	767				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 24.75.

Crosstab

			language English as primary language		Total
			1 YES	2 NO	
rcc17a_d Your family used the Hunters Woods pool for swimming or Aquatic program in the past 12 months	0 No	Count % within language English as primary language	717 61.7%	38 37.3%	755 59.7%
	1 Yes	Count % within language English as primary language	445 38.3%	64 62.7%	509 40.3%
Total		Count % within language English as primary language	1162 100.0%	102 100.0%	1264 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	23.303 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	22.298	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	22.782	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	23.285	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	1264				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 41.07.

Crosstab

			language English as primary language		Total
			1 YES	2 NO	
rcc17c_1_d Open or Lap Swim	0 unlikely	Count	363	24	387
		% within language English as primary language	52.9%	38.1%	51.7%
	1 likely	Count	323	39	362
		% within language English as primary language	47.1%	61.9%	48.3%
Total		Count	686	63	749
		% within language English as primary language	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.075 ^b	1	.024		
Continuity Correction ^a	4.499	1	.034		
Likelihood Ratio	5.105	1	.024		
Fisher's Exact Test				.026	.017
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.068	1	.024		
N of Valid Cases	749				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 30.45.

Crosstab

			language English as primary language		Total
			1 YES	2 NO	
rcc17c_2_d Swim Instruction	0 unlikely	Count	473	26	499
		% within language English as primary language	69.0%	40.0%	66.4%
	1 likely	Count	213	39	252
		% within language English as primary language	31.0%	60.0%	33.6%
Total		Count	686	65	751
		% within language English as primary language	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	22.320 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	21.040	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	20.897	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	22.290	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	751				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 21.81.

Crosstab

			language English as primary language		Total
			1 YES	2 NO	
rcc19c_1_d After school program	0 unlikely	Count % within language English as primary language	1039 81.7%	53 52.5%	1092 79.6%
	1 likely	Count % within language English as primary language	232 18.3%	48 47.5%	280 20.4%
Total		Count % within language English as primary language	1271 100.0%	101 100.0%	1372 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	49.355 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	47.569	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	40.728	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	49.319	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	1372				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 20.61.

Crosstab

			language English as primary language		Total
			1 YES	2 NO	
rcc19c_3_d Youth summer camp	0 unlikely	Count	993	55	1048
		% within language English as primary language	77.6%	54.5%	75.9%
	1 likely	Count	287	46	333
		% within language English as primary language	22.4%	45.5%	24.1%
Total		Count	1280	101	1381
		% within language English as primary language	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	27.352 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	26.103	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	24.035	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	27.333	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	1381				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 24.35.

Crosstab

			agecat5 Age (5 categories)					Total
			1.00 18-25	2.00 26-37	3.00 38-49	4.00 50-64	5.00 Over 64	
rcc2D How familiar are you with the facilities and programs of the Reston Community Center	0	Count	30	112	84	85	37	348
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	33.3%	27.7%	17.9%	16.1%	13.0%	19.6%
	1 familiar	Count	60	293	385	444	247	1429
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	66.7%	72.3%	82.1%	83.9%	87.0%	80.4%
Total		Count	90	405	469	529	284	1777
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	40.290 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	38.498	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	35.672	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	1777		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 17.63.

Crosstab

			agecat5 Age (5 categories)					Total
			1.00 18-25	2.00 26-37	3.00 38-49	4.00 50-64	5.00 Over 64	
rcc6_d How satisfied are you with the RCC in keeping you informed	0 not satisfied	Count	0	5	9	9	12	35
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	.0%	3.1%	5.2%	4.2%	10.5%	5.1%
	1 satisfied	Count	30	155	165	203	102	655
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	100.0%	96.9%	94.8%	95.8%	89.5%	94.9%
Total		Count	30	160	174	212	114	690
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.210 ^a	4	.037
Likelihood Ratio	10.347	4	.035
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.700	1	.010
N of Valid Cases	690		

a. 1 cells (10.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.52.

Crosstab

			agecat5 Age (5 categories)					Total
			1.00 18-25	2.00 26-37	3.00 38-49	4.00 50-64	5.00 Over 64	
rcc7_2_d The RCC enhances the quality of life for people living and working in greater Reston	0 disagree	Count	0	8	3	4	10	25
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	.0%	4.9%	1.8%	1.9%	8.8%	3.6%
	1 agree	Count	28	154	168	208	104	662
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	100.0%	95.1%	98.2%	98.1%	91.2%	96.4%
Total		Count	28	162	171	212	114	687
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.991 ^a	4	.007
Likelihood Ratio	13.363	4	.010
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.053	1	.152
N of Valid Cases	687		

a. 2 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.02.

Crosstab

			agecat5 Age (5 categories)					Total
			1.00 18-25	2.00 26-37	3.00 38-49	4.00 50-64	5.00 Over 64	
rcc7_4_d The RCC provides a broad range of programs in aquatics	0 disagree	Count	0	9	7	2	7	25
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	.0%	6.3%	4.6%	1.1%	7.3%	4.1%
	1 agree	Count	28	135	146	184	89	582
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	100.0%	93.8%	95.4%	98.9%	92.7%	95.9%
Total		Count	28	144	153	186	96	607
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.750 ^a	4	.045
Likelihood Ratio	12.005	4	.017
Linear-by-Linear Association	.004	1	.952
N of Valid Cases	607		

a. 2 cells (20.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.15.

Crosstab

			agecat5 Age (5 categories)					Total
			1.00 18-25	2.00 26-37	3.00 38-49	4.00 50-64	5.00 Over 64	
rcc10_d In your opinion, does the RCC make Reston a more attractive place for business	0 No	Count	8	82	81	91	36	298
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	12.5%	28.9%	23.1%	22.8%	18.4%	23.0%
	1 Yes	Count	56	202	270	308	160	996
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	87.5%	71.1%	76.9%	77.2%	81.6%	77.0%
Total		Count	64	284	351	399	196	1294
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.889 ^a	4	.018
Likelihood Ratio	12.314	4	.015
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.736	1	.188
N of Valid Cases	1294		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 14.74.

Crosstab

			agecat5 Age (5 categories)					Total
			1.00 18-25	2.00 26-37	3.00 38-49	4.00 50-64	5.00 Over 64	
rcc14_d In the past 12 months, you or a family member ever attended a program by or at the RCC	0 No	Count	55	189	167	213	95	719
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	61.1%	47.6%	36.0%	41.1%	33.5%	41.0%
	1 Yes	Count	35	208	297	305	189	1034
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	38.9%	52.4%	64.0%	58.9%	66.5%	59.0%
Total		Count	90	397	464	518	284	1753
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	33.714 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	33.528	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	20.036	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	1753		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 36.91.

Crosstab

			agecat5 Age (5 categories)					Total
			1.00 18-25	2.00 26-37	3.00 38-49	4.00 50-64	5.00 Over 64	
rcc16_d Has member of your family participated in any of the RCC programs within the past 5 years	0 No	Count	43	139	98	139	51	470
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	79.6%	73.9%	57.3%	64.1%	57.3%	65.4%
	1 Yes	Count	11	49	73	78	38	249
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	20.4%	26.1%	42.7%	35.9%	42.7%	34.6%
Total		Count	54	188	171	217	89	719
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	18.575 ^a	4	.001
Likelihood Ratio	19.083	4	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.866	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	719		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 18.70.

Crosstab

			agecat5 Age (5 categories)					Total
			1.00 18-25	2.00 26-37	3.00 38-49	4.00 50-64	5.00 Over 64	
rcc17a_d Your family used the Hunters Woods pool for swimming or Aquatic program in the past 12 months	0 No	Count	16	122	184	214	144	680
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	42.1%	52.1%	54.6%	63.7%	69.2%	59.0%
	1 Yes	Count	22	112	153	122	64	473
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	57.9%	47.9%	45.4%	36.3%	30.8%	41.0%
Total		Count	38	234	337	336	208	1153
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	23.790 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	23.961	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	22.744	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	1153		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 15.59.

Crosstab

			agecat5 Age (5 categories)					Total
			1.00 18-25	2.00 26-37	3.00 38-49	4.00 50-64	5.00 Over 64	
rcc17c_1_d Open or Lap Swim	0 unlikely	Count	4	61	106	95	87	353
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	16.7%	40.1%	54.9%	49.5%	76.3%	52.3%
	1 likely	Count	20	91	87	97	27	322
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	83.3%	59.9%	45.1%	50.5%	23.7%	47.7%
Total		Count	24	152	193	192	114	675
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	48.737 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	51.302	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	35.039	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	675		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 11.45.

Crosstab

			agecat5 Age (5 categories)					Total
			1.00 18-25	2.00 26-37	3.00 38-49	4.00 50-64	5.00 Over 64	
rcc17c_2_d Swim Instruction	0 unlikely	Count	11	69	138	143	91	452
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	52.4%	45.1%	71.5%	73.7%	79.1%	66.9%
	1 likely	Count	10	84	55	51	24	224
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	47.6%	54.9%	28.5%	26.3%	20.9%	33.1%
Total		Count	21	153	193	194	115	676
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	48.493 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	47.022	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	36.245	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	676		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.96.

Crosstab

			agecat5 Age (5 categories)					Total
			1.00 18-25	2.00 26-37	3.00 38-49	4.00 50-64	5.00 Over 64	
rcc17c_4_d Adapted Aquatics for individuals with disabilities	0 unlikely	Count	23	139	178	170	96	606
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	100.0%	93.3%	92.2%	89.5%	83.5%	90.4%
	1 likely	Count	0	10	15	20	19	64
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	.0%	6.7%	7.8%	10.5%	16.5%	9.6%
Total		Count	23	149	193	190	115	670
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.203 ^a	4	.024
Likelihood Ratio	12.535	4	.014
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.958	1	.002
N of Valid Cases	670		

a. 1 cells (10.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.20.

Crosstab

			agecat5 Age (5 categories)					Total
			1.00 18-25	2.00 26-37	3.00 38-49	4.00 50-64	5.00 Over 64	
rcc19a_d Your family attended a class or workshop to promote leisure-time enjoyment within the past 12 months	0 No	Count	15	101	126	142	90	474
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	65.2%	65.6%	65.3%	75.1%	78.3%	70.3%
	1 Yes	Count	8	53	67	47	25	200
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	34.8%	34.4%	34.7%	24.9%	21.7%	29.7%
Total		Count	23	154	193	189	115	674
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.859 ^a	4	.043
Likelihood Ratio	10.023	4	.040
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.945	1	.005
N of Valid Cases	674		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.82.

Crosstab

			agecat5 Age (5 categories)					Total
			1.00 18-25	2.00 26-37	3.00 38-49	4.00 50-64	5.00 Over 64	
rcc19c_1_d After school program	0 unlikely	Count	32	148	279	338	208	1005
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	71.1%	58.5%	77.3%	89.2%	93.7%	79.8%
	1 likely	Count	13	105	82	41	14	255
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	28.9%	41.5%	22.7%	10.8%	6.3%	20.2%
Total		Count	45	253	361	379	222	1260
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	121.852 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	120.703	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	103.333	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	1260		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.11.

Crosstab

			agecat5 Age (5 categories)					Total
			1.00 18-25	2.00 26-37	3.00 38-49	4.00 50-64	5.00 Over 64	
rcc19c_2_d Fitness & exercise program	0 unlikely	Count	12	102	179	154	115	562
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	26.1%	39.8%	48.8%	40.4%	50.9%	44.0%
	1 likely	Count	34	154	188	227	111	714
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	73.9%	60.2%	51.2%	59.6%	49.1%	56.0%
Total		Count	46	256	367	381	226	1276
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	17.504 ^a	4	.002
Likelihood Ratio	17.817	4	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.185	1	.023
N of Valid Cases	1276		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 20.26.

Crosstab

			agecat5 Age (5 categories)					Total
			1.00 18-25	2.00 26-37	3.00 38-49	4.00 50-64	5.00 Over 64	
rcc19c_3_d Youth summer camp	0 unlikely	Count	33	147	243	331	213	967
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	71.7%	58.6%	66.6%	86.6%	94.2%	76.1%
	1 likely	Count	13	104	122	51	13	303
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	28.3%	41.4%	33.4%	13.4%	5.8%	23.9%
Total		Count	46	251	365	382	226	1270
		% within agecat5 Age (5 categories)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	125.562 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	135.394	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	103.993	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	1270		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 10.97.

Crosstab

			jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere			Total
			1 RESTON	2 ELSEWHERE	3	
rcc2D How familiar are you with the facilities and programs of the Reston Community Center	0	Count	104	281	0	385
		% within jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere	15.4%	20.0%	.0%	18.5%
	1 familiar	Count	572	1127	2	1701
		% within jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere	84.6%	80.0%	100.0%	81.5%
Total		Count	676	1408	2	2086
		% within jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.799 ^a	2	.033
Likelihood Ratio	7.320	2	.026
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.003	1	.014
N of Valid Cases	2086		

a. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .37.

Crosstab

			jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere		Total
			1 RESTON	2 ELSEWHERE	
rcc7_1_d The RCC creates positive leisure experiences	0 disagree	Count	9	7	16
		% within jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere	5.6%	2.1%	3.2%
	1 agree	Count	151	332	483
		% within jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere	94.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Total		Count	160	339	499
		% within jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.439 ^b	1	.035		
Continuity Correction ^a	3.366	1	.067		
Likelihood Ratio	4.099	1	.043		
Fisher's Exact Test				.053	.037
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.430	1	.035		
N of Valid Cases	499				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.13.

Crosstab

			jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere		Total
			1 RESTON	2 ELSEWHERE	
rcc7_2_d The RCC enhances the quality of life for people living and working in greater Reston	0 disagree	Count	12	6	18
		% within jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere	7.3%	1.6%	3.4%
	1 agree	Count	152	358	510
		% within jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere	92.7%	98.4%	96.6%
Total		Count	164	364	528
		% within jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.033 ^b	1	.001		
Continuity Correction ^a	9.379	1	.002		
Likelihood Ratio	9.989	1	.002		
Fisher's Exact Test				.003	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.012	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	528				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.59.

Crosstab

			jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere		Total
			1 RESTON	2 ELSEWHERE	
rcc7_6_d The RCC provides a broad range of programs in life-long learning	0 disagree	Count % within jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere	12 8.6%	6 2.2%	18 4.4%
	1 agree	Count % within jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere	128 91.4%	267 97.8%	395 95.6%
Total		Count % within jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere	140 100.0%	273 100.0%	413 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.019 ^b	1	.003		
Continuity Correction ^a	7.554	1	.006		
Likelihood Ratio	8.413	1	.004		
Fisher's Exact Test				.004	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.997	1	.003		
N of Valid Cases	413				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.10.

Crosstab

			jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere			Total
			1 RESTON	2 ELSEWHERE	3	
rcc14_d In the past 12 months, you or a family member ever attended a program by or at the RCC	0 No	Count % within jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere	238 35.8%	637 45.8%	0 .0%	875 42.5%
	1 Yes	Count % within jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere	427 64.2%	754 54.2%	2 100.0%	1183 57.5%
Total		Count % within jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere	665 100.0%	1391 100.0%	2 100.0%	2058 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.908 ^a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	20.820	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	17.373	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	2058		

a. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .85.

Crosstab

			jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere		Total
			1 RESTON	2 ELSEWHERE	
rcc17a_d Your family used the Hunters Woods pool for swimming or Aquatic program in the past 12 months	0 No	Count % within jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere	157 53.6%	342 61.3%	499 58.6%
	1 Yes	Count % within jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere	136 46.4%	216 38.7%	352 41.4%
Total		Count % within jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere	293 100.0%	558 100.0%	851 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.705 ^b	1	.030		
Continuity Correction ^a	4.392	1	.036		
Likelihood Ratio	4.685	1	.030		
Fisher's Exact Test				.034	.018
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.699	1	.030		
N of Valid Cases	851				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 121.19.

Crosstab

			jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere		Total
			1 RESTON	2 ELSEWHERE	
rcc19c_1_d After school program	0 unlikely	Count % within jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere	227 71.6%	483 77.9%	710 75.8%
	1 likely	Count % within jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere	90 28.4%	137 22.1%	227 24.2%
Total		Count % within jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere	317 100.0%	620 100.0%	937 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.527 ^b	1	.033		
Continuity Correction ^a	4.191	1	.041		
Likelihood Ratio	4.453	1	.035		
Fisher's Exact Test				.036	.021
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.522	1	.033		
N of Valid Cases	937				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 76.80.

Crosstab

			jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere		Total
			1 RESTON	2 ELSEWHERE	
rcc19c_3_d Youth summer camp	0 unlikely	Count	205	468	673
		% within jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere	64.3%	75.4%	71.6%
	1 likely	Count	114	153	267
		% within jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere	35.7%	24.6%	28.4%
Total		Count	319	621	940
		% within jobloc Job located in Reston or elsewhere	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.766 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	12.226	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	12.517	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.752	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	940				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 90.61.

Crosstab

			ownprop Do you or anyone in your household own commercial or rental property in Reston		Total
			1 Yes	2 No	
rcc2D How familiar are you with the facilities and programs of the Reston Community Center	0	Count	12	475	487
		% within ownprop Do you or anyone in your household own commercial or rental property in Reston	9.5%	17.1%	16.8%
	1 familiar	Count	114	2295	2409
		% within ownprop Do you or anyone in your household own commercial or rental property in Reston	90.5%	82.9%	83.2%
Total		Count	126	2770	2896
		% within ownprop Do you or anyone in your household own commercial or rental property in Reston	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.008 ^b	1	.025		
Continuity Correction ^a	4.478	1	.034		
Likelihood Ratio	5.732	1	.017		
Fisher's Exact Test				.027	.013
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.006	1	.025		
N of Valid Cases	2896				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 21.19.

Crosstab

			ownprop Do you or anyone in your household own commercial or rental property in Reston		Total
			1 Yes	2 No	
rcc14_d In the past 12 months, you or a family member ever attended a program by or at the RCC	0 No	Count % within ownprop Do you or anyone in your household own commercial or rental property in Reston	37 29.6%	1114 40.7%	1151 40.2%
	1 Yes	Count % within ownprop Do you or anyone in your household own commercial or rental property in Reston	88 70.4%	1624 59.3%	1712 59.8%
Total		Count % within ownprop Do you or anyone in your household own commercial or rental property in Reston	125 100.0%	2738 100.0%	2863 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.112 ^b	1	.013		
Continuity Correction ^a	5.660	1	.017		
Likelihood Ratio	6.340	1	.012		
Fisher's Exact Test				.015	.008
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.110	1	.013		
N of Valid Cases	2863				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 50.25.

Crosstab

			ownprop Do you or anyone in your household own commercial or rental property in Reston		Total
			1 Yes	2 No	
rcc16_d Has member of your family participated in any of the RCC programs within the past 5 years	0 No	Count	11	494	505
		% within ownprop Do you or anyone in your household own commercial or rental property in Reston	45.8%	66.8%	66.2%
	1 Yes	Count	13	245	258
		% within ownprop Do you or anyone in your household own commercial or rental property in Reston	54.2%	33.2%	33.8%
Total		Count	24	739	763
		% within ownprop Do you or anyone in your household own commercial or rental property in Reston	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.586 ^b	1	.032		
Continuity Correction ^a	3.696	1	.055		
Likelihood Ratio	4.312	1	.038		
Fisher's Exact Test				.046	.030
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.580	1	.032		
N of Valid Cases	763				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.12.

Crosstab

			ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston		Total
			1 Yes	2 No	
rcc2D How familiar are you with the facilities and programs of the Reston Community Center	0	Count % within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	17 9.7%	358 20.5%	375 19.5%
	1 familiar	Count % within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	159 90.3%	1386 79.5%	1545 80.5%
Total		Count % within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	176 100.0%	1744 100.0%	1920 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.015 ^b	1	.001		
Continuity Correction ^a	11.334	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	13.947	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.009	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	1920				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 34.38.

Crosstab

			ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston		Total
			1 Yes	2 No	
rcc6a_1_d The RCC Staff is responsive to requests for information	0 disagree	Count % within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	8 13.3%	21 3.7%	29 4.7%
	1 agree	Count % within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	52 86.7%	540 96.3%	592 95.3%
Total		Count % within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	60 100.0%	561 100.0%	621 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.198 ^b	1	.001		
Continuity Correction ^a	9.147	1	.002		
Likelihood Ratio	8.035	1	.005		
Fisher's Exact Test				.004	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.180	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	621				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.80.

Crosstab

			ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston		Total
			1 Yes	2 No	
rcc6a_3_d The RCC staff provides useful information to its patrons	0 disagree	Count	7	17	24
		% within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	11.5%	2.9%	3.7%
	1 agree	Count	54	568	622
		% within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	88.5%	97.1%	96.3%
Total		Count	61	585	646
		% within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.340 ^b	1	.001		
Continuity Correction ^a	9.071	1	.003		
Likelihood Ratio	7.869	1	.005		
Fisher's Exact Test				.005	.005
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.323	1	.001		
N of Valid Cases	646				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.27.

Crosstab

			ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston		Total
			1 Yes	2 No	
rcc6_d How satisfied are you with the RCC in keeping you informed	0 not satisfied	Count % within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	10 13.7%	28 4.1%	38 5.0%
	1 satisfied	Count % within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	63 86.3%	660 95.9%	723 95.0%
Total		Count % within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	73 100.0%	688 100.0%	761 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.898 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	10.948	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	9.392	1	.002		
Fisher's Exact Test				.002	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.881	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	761				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.65.

Crosstab

			ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston		Total
			1 Yes	2 No	
rcc7_4_d The RCC provides a broad range of programs in aquatics	0 disagree	Count	7	21	28
		% within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	10.6%	3.5%	4.2%
	1 agree	Count	59	587	646
		% within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	89.4%	96.5%	95.8%
Total		Count	66	608	674
		% within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.649 ^b	1	.006		
Continuity Correction ^a	5.958	1	.015		
Likelihood Ratio	5.692	1	.017		
Fisher's Exact Test				.014	.014
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.637	1	.006		
N of Valid Cases	674				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.74.

Crosstab

			ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston		Total
			1 Yes	2 No	
rcc7_5_d The RCC provides a broad range of programs in enrichment activities	0 disagree	Count	5	12	17
		% within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	8.3%	2.1%	2.7%
	1 agree	Count	55	567	622
		% within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	91.7%	97.9%	97.3%
Total		Count	60	579	639
		% within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.229 ^b	1	.004		
Continuity Correction ^a	5.989	1	.014		
Likelihood Ratio	5.648	1	.017		
Fisher's Exact Test				.016	.016
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.216	1	.004		
N of Valid Cases	639				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.60.

Crosstab

			ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston		Total
			1 Yes	2 No	
rcc7_6_d The RCC provides a broad range of programs in life-long learning	0 disagree	Count % within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	7 13.7%	27 4.9%	34 5.7%
	1 agree	Count % within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	44 86.3%	520 95.1%	564 94.3%
Total		Count % within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	51 100.0%	547 100.0%	598 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.721 ^b	1	.010		
Continuity Correction ^a	5.182	1	.023		
Likelihood Ratio	5.096	1	.024		
Fisher's Exact Test				.019	.019
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.710	1	.010		
N of Valid Cases	598				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.90.

Crosstab

			ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston		Total
			1 Yes	2 No	
rcc7_7_d The RCC creates and sustains community traditions	0 disagree	Count	6	20	26
		% within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	10.0%	3.4%	4.0%
	1 agree	Count	54	574	628
		% within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	90.0%	96.6%	96.0%
Total		Count	60	594	654
		% within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.281 ^b	1	.012		
Continuity Correction ^a	4.663	1	.031		
Likelihood Ratio	4.678	1	.031		
Fisher's Exact Test				.025	.025
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.271	1	.012		
N of Valid Cases	654				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.39.

Crosstab

			ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston		Total
			1 Yes	2 No	
rcc14_d In the past 12 months, you or a family member ever attended a program by or at the RCC	0 No	Count	56	707	763
		% within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	32.0%	41.2%	40.3%
	1 Yes	Count	119	1009	1128
		% within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	68.0%	58.8%	59.7%
Total		Count	175	1716	1891
		% within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.585 ^b	1	.018		
Continuity Correction ^a	5.209	1	.022		
Likelihood Ratio	5.728	1	.017		
Fisher's Exact Test				.019	.011
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.582	1	.018		
N of Valid Cases	1891				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 70.61.

Crosstab

			ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston		Total
			1 Yes	2 No	
rcc16_d Has member of your family participated in any of the RCC programs within the past 5 years	0 No	Count	27	478	505
		% within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	50.0%	67.5%	66.3%
	1 Yes	Count	27	230	257
		% within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	50.0%	32.5%	33.7%
Total		Count	54	708	762
		% within ownbus Do you or anyone in your household own or operate a business located in Reston	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	6.885 ^b	1	.009		
Continuity Correction ^a	6.124	1	.013		
Likelihood Ratio	6.537	1	.011		
Fisher's Exact Test				.011	.008
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.876	1	.009		
N of Valid Cases	762				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 18.21.

Crosstab

			hispanic Hispanic origin		Total
			1 Yes	2 No	
rcc7_1_d The RCC creates positive leisure experiences	0 disagree	Count	3	23	26
		% within hispanic Hispanic origin	11.5%	3.3%	3.6%
	1 agree	Count	23	670	693
		% within hispanic Hispanic origin	88.5%	96.7%	96.4%
Total		Count	26	693	719
		% within hispanic Hispanic origin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.858 ^b	1	.028		
Continuity Correction ^a	2.786	1	.095		
Likelihood Ratio	3.197	1	.074		
Fisher's Exact Test				.063	.063
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.851	1	.028		
N of Valid Cases	719				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .94.

Crosstab

			hispanic Hispanic origin		Total
			1 Yes	2 No	
rcc7_4_d The RCC provides a broad range of programs in aquatics	0 disagree	Count	6	21	27
		% within hispanic Hispanic origin	25.0%	3.2%	4.0%
	1 agree	Count	18	626	644
		% within hispanic Hispanic origin	75.0%	96.8%	96.0%
Total		Count	24	647	671
		% within hispanic Hispanic origin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	28.358 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	23.005	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	14.125	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	28.316	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	671				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .97.

Crosstab

			hispanic Hispanic origin		Total
			1 Yes	2 No	
rcc10_d In your opinion, does the RCC make Reston a more attractive place for business	0 No	Count	15	531	546
		% within hispanic Hispanic origin	16.3%	25.9%	25.5%
	1 Yes	Count	77	1522	1599
		% within hispanic Hispanic origin	83.7%	74.1%	74.5%
Total		Count	92	2053	2145
		% within hispanic Hispanic origin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	4.241 ^b	1	.039		
Continuity Correction ^a	3.752	1	.053		
Likelihood Ratio	4.647	1	.031		
Fisher's Exact Test				.038	.023
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.239	1	.039		
N of Valid Cases	2145				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 23.42.

Crosstab

			hispanic Hispanic origin		Total
			1 Yes	2 No	
rcc17a_d Your family used the Hunters Woods pool for swimming or Aquatic program in the past 12 months	0 No	Count	19	729	748
		% within hispanic Hispanic origin	43.2%	60.3%	59.7%
	1 Yes	Count	25	479	504
		% within hispanic Hispanic origin	56.8%	39.7%	40.3%
Total		Count	44	1208	1252
		% within hispanic Hispanic origin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.201 ^b	1	.023		
Continuity Correction ^a	4.512	1	.034		
Likelihood Ratio	5.077	1	.024		
Fisher's Exact Test				.028	.018
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.197	1	.023		
N of Valid Cases	1252				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 17.71.

Crosstab

			hispanic Hispanic origin		Total
			1 Yes	2 No	
rcc19c_1_d After school program	0 unlikely	Count	26	1054	1080
		% within hispanic Hispanic origin	50.0%	80.7%	79.5%
	1 likely	Count	26	252	278
		% within hispanic Hispanic origin	50.0%	19.3%	20.5%
Total		Count	52	1306	1358
		% within hispanic Hispanic origin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	28.959 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	27.104	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	23.428	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	28.937	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	1358				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 10.65.

Crosstab

			hispanic Hispanic origin		Total
			1 Yes	2 No	
rcc19c_3_d Youth summer camp	0 unlikely	Count	28	1009	1037
		% within hispanic Hispanic origin	54.9%	76.7%	75.9%
	1 likely	Count	23	307	330
		% within hispanic Hispanic origin	45.1%	23.3%	24.1%
Total		Count	51	1316	1367
		% within hispanic Hispanic origin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	12.706 ^b	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^a	11.545	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	11.119	1	.001		
Fisher's Exact Test				.001	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.697	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	1367				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 12.31.

Crosstab

			ethnicity Race					
			1 WHITE,	2 AFRICAN AMERICAN OR BLACK	3 ASIAN	4 AMERICAN INDIAN [ALSO CALLED NATIVE AMERICAN OR NATIVE ALASKAN]	5 NATIVE HAWAIIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER	6 OTHER
rcc2D How familiar are you with the facilities and programs of the Reston Community Center	0	Count	262	20	46	6	3	22
		% within ethnicity Race	17.7%	13.4%	32.4%	66.7%	60.0%	35.5%
	1 familiar	Count	1217	129	96	3	2	40
		% within ethnicity Race	82.3%	86.6%	67.6%	33.3%	40.0%	64.5%
Total		Count	1479	149	142	9	5	62
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			ethnicity	
			7 TWO OR MORE RACES	Total
rcc2D How familiar are you with the facilities and programs of the Reston Community Center	0	Count	2	361
		% within ethnicity Race	25.0%	19.5%
	1 familiar	Count	6	1493
		% within ethnicity Race	75.0%	80.5%
Total		Count	8	1854
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	49.828 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	42.280	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	27.726	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	1854		

a. 4 cells (28.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .97.

Crosstab

			ethnicity Race					
			1 WHITE,	2 AFRICAN AMERICAN OR BLACK	3 ASIAN	4 AMERICAN INDIAN [ALSO CALLED NATIVE AMERICAN OR NATIVE ALASKAN]	5 NATIVE HAWAIIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER	6 OTHER
rcc6a_2_d The information provided by RCC staff is accurate	0 disagree	Count	10	1	2	0	0	3
		% within ethnicity Race	2.1%	1.8%	4.3%	.0%	.0%	15.0%
	1 agree	Count	463	55	45	1	1	17
		% within ethnicity Race	97.9%	98.2%	95.7%	100.0%	100.0%	85.0%
Total		Count	473	56	47	1	1	20
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			ethnicity	
			7 TWO OR MORE RACES	Total
rcc6a_2_d The information provided by RCC staff is accurate	0 disagree	Count	0	16
		% within ethnicity Race	.0%	2.7%
	1 agree	Count	2	584
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	97.3%
Total		Count	2	600
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.011 ^a	6	.043
Likelihood Ratio	7.148	6	.307
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.784	1	.003
N of Valid Cases	600		

a. 9 cells (64.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .03.

Crosstab

			ethnicty Race					
			1 WHITE,	2 AFRICAN AMERICAN OR BLACK	3 ASIAN	4 AMERICAN INDIAN [ALSO CALLED NATIVE AMERICAN OR NATIVE ALASKAN]	5 NATIVE HAWAIIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER	6 OTHER
rcc6_d How satisfied are you with the RCC in keeping you informed	0 not satisfied	Count	33	0	1	1	0	0
		% within ethnicty Race	5.5%	.0%	2.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	1 satisfied	Count	562	64	50	1	1	24
		% within ethnicty Race	94.5%	100.0%	98.0%	50.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	595	64	51	2	1	24
		% within ethnicty Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			ethnicity	
			7 TWO OR MORE RACES	Total
rcc6_d How satisfied are you with the RCC in keeping you informed	0 not satisfied	Count	0	35
		% within ethnicity Race	.0%	4.7%
	1 satisfied	Count	3	705
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	95.3%
Total		Count	3	740
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.412 ^a	6	.017
Likelihood Ratio	14.282	6	.027
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.453	1	.117
N of Valid Cases	740		

a. 9 cells (64.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .05.

Crosstab

			ethnicity Race					
			1 WHITE,	2 AFRICAN AMERICAN OR BLACK	3 ASIAN	4 AMERICAN INDIAN [ALSO CALLED NATIVE AMERICAN OR NATIVE ALASKAN]	5 NATIVE HAWAIIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER	6 OTHER
rcc7_2_d The RCC enhances the quality of life for people living and working in greater Reston	0 disagree	Count	15	10	1	1	0	0
		% within ethnicity Race	2.5%	15.4%	2.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	1 agree	Count	576	55	49	1	1	24
		% within ethnicity Race	97.5%	84.6%	98.0%	50.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	591	65	50	2	1	24
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			ethnicity	
			7 TWO OR MORE RACES	Total
rcc7_2_d The RCC enhances the quality of life for people living and working in greater Reston	0 disagree	Count	0	27
		% within ethnicity Race	.0%	3.7%
	1 agree	Count	3	709
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	96.3%
Total		Count	3	736
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	40.994 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	23.271	6	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	.400	1	.527
N of Valid Cases	736		

a. 9 cells (64.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

Crosstab

			ethnicity Race					
			1 WHITE,	2 AFRICAN AMERICAN OR BLACK	3 ASIAN	4 AMERICAN INDIAN [ALSO CALLED NATIVE AMERICAN OR NATIVE ALASKAN]	5 NATIVE HAWAIIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER	6 OTHER
rcc7_4_d The RCC provides a broad range of programs in aquatics	0 disagree	Count	16	4	1	0	0	5
		% within ethnicity Race	3.1%	6.7%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	21.7%
	1 agree	Count	499	56	49	1	1	18
		% within ethnicity Race	96.9%	93.3%	98.0%	100.0%	100.0%	78.3%
Total		Count	515	60	50	1	1	23
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			ethnicity	
			7 TWO OR MORE RACES	Total
rcc7_4_d The RCC provides a broad range of programs in aquatics	0 disagree	Count	0	26
		% within ethnicity Race	.0%	4.0%
	1 agree	Count	3	627
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	96.0%
Total		Count	3	653
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	21.854 ^a	6	.001
Likelihood Ratio	12.703	6	.048
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.423	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	653		

a. 9 cells (64.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

Crosstab

			ethnicty Race					
			1 WHITE,	2 AFRICAN AMERICAN OR BLACK	3 ASIAN	4 AMERICAN INDIAN [ALSO CALLED NATIVE AMERICAN OR NATIVE ALASKAN]	5 NATIVE HAWAIIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER	6 OTHER
rcc7_7_d The RCC creates and sustains community traditions	0 disagree	Count	24	0	1	1	0	0
		% within ethnicty Race	4.7%	.0%	2.3%	50.0%	.0%	.0%
	1 agree	Count	483	60	42	1	1	24
		% within ethnicty Race	95.3%	100.0%	97.7%	50.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	507	60	43	2	1	24
		% within ethnicty Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			ethnicty	
			7 TWO OR MORE RACES	Total
rcc7_7_d The RCC creates and sustains community traditions	0 disagree	Count	0	26
		% within ethnicty Race	.0%	4.1%
	1 agree	Count	2	613
		% within ethnicty Race	100.0%	95.9%
Total		Count	2	639
		% within ethnicty Race	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.409 ^a	6	.017
Likelihood Ratio	11.882	6	.065
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.429	1	.232
N of Valid Cases	639		

a. 9 cells (64.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .04.

Crosstab

			ethnicity Race					
			1 WHITE,	2 AFRICAN AMERICAN OR BLACK	3 ASIAN	4 AMERICAN INDIAN [ALSO CALLED NATIVE AMERICAN OR NATIVE ALASKAN]	5 NATIVE HAWAIIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER	6 OTHER
rcc10_d In your opinion, does the RCC make Reston a more attractive place for business	0 No	Count	276	16	12	1	0	4
		% within ethnicity Race	25.7%	13.8%	11.9%	50.0%	.0%	8.7%
	1 Yes	Count	798	100	89	1	4	42
		% within ethnicity Race	74.3%	86.2%	88.1%	50.0%	100.0%	91.3%
Total		Count	1074	116	101	2	4	46
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			ethnicity	
			7 TWO OR MORE RACES	Total
rcc10_d In your opinion, does the RCC make Reston a more attractive place for business	0 No	Count	1	310
		% within ethnicity Race	25.0%	23.0%
	1 Yes	Count	3	1037
		% within ethnicity Race	75.0%	77.0%
Total		Count	4	1347
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	24.349 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	28.005	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	15.821	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	1347		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .46.

Crosstab

			ethnicty Race					
			1 WHITE,	2 AFRICAN AMERICAN OR BLACK	3 ASIAN	4 AMERICAN INDIAN [ALSO CALLED NATIVE AMERICAN OR NATIVE ALASKAN]	5 NATIVE HAWAIIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER	6 OTHER
rcc16_d Has member of your family participated in any of the RCC programs within the past 5 years	0 No	Count	378	37	51	2	3	17
		% within ethnicty Race	64.2%	77.1%	82.3%	50.0%	100.0%	60.7%
	1 Yes	Count	211	11	11	2	0	11
		% within ethnicty Race	35.8%	22.9%	17.7%	50.0%	.0%	39.3%
Total		Count	589	48	62	4	3	28
		% within ethnicty Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

		ethnicty		
		7 TWO OR MORE RACES		Total
rcc16_d Has member of your family participated in any of the RCC programs within the past 5 years	0 No	Count	2	490
		% within ethnicty Race	66.7%	66.5%
	1 Yes	Count	1	247
		% within ethnicty Race	33.3%	33.5%
Total		Count	3	737
		% within ethnicty Race	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.170 ^a	6	.040
Likelihood Ratio	15.014	6	.020
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.530	1	.216
N of Valid Cases	737		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.01.

Crosstab

			ethnicity Race					
			1 WHITE,	2 AFRICAN AMERICAN OR BLACK	3 ASIAN	4 AMERICAN INDIAN [ALSO CALLED NATIVE AMERICAN OR NATIVE ALASKAN]	5 NATIVE HAWAIIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER	6 OTHER
rcc17a_d Your family used the Hunters Woods pool for swimming or Aquatic program in the past 12 months	0 No	Count	593	73	34	2	1	17
		% within ethnicity Race	61.1%	68.2%	40.0%	40.0%	50.0%	44.7%
	1 Yes	Count	377	34	51	3	1	21
		% within ethnicity Race	38.9%	31.8%	60.0%	60.0%	50.0%	55.3%
Total		Count	970	107	85	5	2	38
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

		ethnicty		
		7 TWO OR MORE RACES		Total
rcc17a_d Your family used the Hunters Woods pool for swimming or Aquatic program in the past 12 months	0 No	Count	2	722
		% within ethnicty Race	33.3%	59.5%
	1 Yes	Count	4	491
		% within ethnicty Race	66.7%	40.5%
Total		Count	6	1213
		% within ethnicty Race	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	23.877 ^a	6	.001
Likelihood Ratio	23.517	6	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.017	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	1213		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .81.

Crosstab

			ethnicity Race				
			1 WHITE,	2 AFRICAN AMERICAN OR BLACK	3 ASIAN	4 AMERICAN INDIAN [ALSO CALLED NATIVE AMERICAN OR NATIVE ALASKAN]	6 OTHER
rcc17b_d Were you satisfied with value you received for the fee paid to use Hunter Woods pool?	0 not satisfied	Count	9	0	2	1	0
		% within ethnicity Race	4.6%	.0%	5.4%	100.0%	.0%
	1 satisfied	Count	187	12	35	0	13
		% within ethnicity Race	95.4%	100.0%	94.6%	.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	196	12	37	1	13
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			ethnicty	
			7 TWO OR MORE RACES	Total
rcc17b_d Were you satisfied with value you received for the fee paid to use Hunter Woods pool?	0 not satisfied	Count	0	12
		% within ethnicty Race	.0%	4.6%
	1 satisfied	Count	1	248
		% within ethnicty Race	100.0%	95.4%
Total		Count	1	260
		% within ethnicty Race	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	21.977 ^a	5	.001
Likelihood Ratio	8.659	5	.123
Linear-by-Linear Association	.008	1	.930
N of Valid Cases	260		

a. 7 cells (58.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .05.

Crosstab

			ethnicty Race					
			1 WHITE,	2 AFRICAN AMERICAN OR BLACK	3 ASIAN	4 AMERICAN INDIAN [ALSO CALLED NATIVE AMERICAN OR NATIVE ALASKAN]	5 NATIVE HAWAIIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER	6 OTHER
rcc17c_1_d Open or Lap Swim	0 unlikely	Count	311	33	15	2	1	15
		% within ethnicty Race	54.9%	52.4%	25.0%	66.7%	100.0%	55.6%
	1 likely	Count	256	30	45	1	0	12
		% within ethnicty Race	45.1%	47.6%	75.0%	33.3%	.0%	44.4%
Total		Count	567	63	60	3	1	27
		% within ethnicty Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			ethnicity	
			7 TWO OR MORE RACES	Total
rcc17c_1_d Open or Lap Swim	0 unlikely	Count	1	378
		% within ethnicity Race	33.3%	52.2%
	1 likely	Count	2	346
		% within ethnicity Race	66.7%	47.8%
Total		Count	3	724
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	21.105 ^a	6	.002
Likelihood Ratio	22.169	6	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.316	1	.069
N of Valid Cases	724		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .48.

Crosstab

			ethnicity Race					
			1 WHITE,	2 AFRICAN AMERICAN OR BLACK	3 ASIAN	4 AMERICAN INDIAN [ALSO CALLED NATIVE AMERICAN OR NATIVE ALASKAN]	5 NATIVE HAWAIIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER	6 OTHER
rcc17c_2_d Swim Instruction	0 unlikely	Count	417	22	21	2	1	17
		% within ethnicity Race	73.4%	35.5%	34.4%	66.7%	100.0%	65.4%
	1 likely	Count	151	40	40	1	0	9
		% within ethnicity Race	26.6%	64.5%	65.6%	33.3%	.0%	34.6%
Total		Count	568	62	61	3	1	26
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			ethnicity	
			7 TWO OR MORE RACES	Total
rcc17c_2_d Swim Instruction	0 unlikely	Count	2	482
		% within ethnicity Race	66.7%	66.6%
	1 likely	Count	1	242
		% within ethnicity Race	33.3%	33.4%
Total		Count	3	724
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	67.727 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	64.382	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	16.395	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	724		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .33.

Crosstab

			ethnicity Race					
			1 WHITE,	2 AFRICAN AMERICAN OR BLACK	3 ASIAN	4 AMERICAN INDIAN [ALSO CALLED NATIVE AMERICAN OR NATIVE ALASKAN]	5 NATIVE HAWAIIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER	6 OTHER
rcc17c_3_d Water Aerobics	0 unlikely	Count	419	38	38	1	0	12
		% within ethnicity Race	73.5%	64.4%	62.3%	50.0%	.0%	46.2%
	1 likely	Count	151	21	23	1	1	14
		% within ethnicity Race	26.5%	35.6%	37.7%	50.0%	100.0%	53.8%
Total		Count	570	59	61	2	1	26
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			ethnicity	
			7 TWO OR MORE RACES	Total
rcc17c_3_d Water Aerobics	0 unlikely	Count	1	509
		% within ethnicity Race	33.3%	70.5%
	1 likely	Count	2	213
		% within ethnicity Race	66.7%	29.5%
Total		Count	3	722
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	17.705 ^a	6	.007
Likelihood Ratio	16.689	6	.010
Linear-by-Linear Association	16.084	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	722		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .30.

Crosstab

			ethnicity Race					
			1 WHITE,	2 AFRICAN AMERICAN OR BLACK	3 ASIAN	4 AMERICAN INDIAN [ALSO CALLED NATIVE AMERICAN OR NATIVE ALASKAN]	5 NATIVE HAWAIIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER	6 OTHER
rcc17c_4_d Adapted Aquatics for individuals with disabilities	0 unlikely	Count	526	38	48	2	1	22
		% within ethnicity Race	93.3%	66.7%	84.2%	100.0%	100.0%	84.6%
	1 likely	Count	38	19	9	0	0	4
		% within ethnicity Race	6.7%	33.3%	15.8%	.0%	.0%	15.4%
Total		Count	564	57	57	2	1	26
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			ethnicity	
			7 TWO OR MORE RACES	Total
rcc17c_4_d Adapted Aquatics for individuals with disabilities	0 unlikely	Count	2	639
		% within ethnicity Race	66.7%	90.0%
	1 likely	Count	1	71
		% within ethnicity Race	33.3%	10.0%
Total		Count	3	710
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	46.260 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	34.801	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.873	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	710		

a. 7 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .10.

Crosstab

			ethnicity Race					Total
			1 WHITE,	2 AFRICAN AMERICAN OR BLACK	3 ASIAN	6 OTHER	7 TWO OR MORE RACES	
rcc19b_d Were you satisfied with the value you received in relationship to the fee that you paid	0 unlikely	Count	6	4	0	1	0	11
		% within ethnicity Race	3.6%	22.2%	.0%	12.5%	.0%	5.0%
	1 likely	Count	162	14	23	7	2	208
		% within ethnicity Race	96.4%	77.8%	100.0%	87.5%	100.0%	95.0%
Total		Count	168	18	23	8	2	219
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	14.163 ^a	4	.007
Likelihood Ratio	10.377	4	.035
Linear-by-Linear Association	.656	1	.418
N of Valid Cases	219		

a. 5 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .10.

Crosstab

			ethnicity Race					
			1 WHITE,	2 AFRICAN AMERICAN OR BLACK	3 ASIAN	4 AMERICAN INDIAN [ALSO CALLED NATIVE AMERICAN OR NATIVE ALASKAN]	5 NATIVE HAWAIIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER	6 OTHER
rcc19c_1_d After school program	0 unlikely	Count	903	67	43	5	2	23
		% within ethnicity Race	85.8%	59.3%	48.3%	71.4%	100.0%	50.0%
	1 likely	Count	150	46	46	2	0	23
		% within ethnicity Race	14.2%	40.7%	51.7%	28.6%	.0%	50.0%
Total		Count	1053	113	89	7	2	46
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			ethnicity	
			7 TWO OR MORE RACES	Total
rcc19c_1_d After school program	0 unlikely	Count	3	1046
		% within ethnicity Race	60.0%	79.5%
	1 likely	Count	2	269
		% within ethnicity Race	40.0%	20.5%
Total		Count	5	1315
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	133.437 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	115.476	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	87.012	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	1315		

a. 5 cells (35.7%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .41.

Crosstab

			ethnicty Race					
			1 WHITE,	2 AFRICAN AMERICAN OR BLACK	3 ASIAN	4 AMERICAN INDIAN [ALSO CALLED NATIVE AMERICAN OR NATIVE ALASKAN]	5 NATIVE HAWAIIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER	6 OTHER
rcc19c_2_d Fitness & exercise program	0 unlikely	Count	484	33	31	5	1	16
		% within ethnicty Race	45.3%	29.7%	34.4%	71.4%	50.0%	34.8%
	1 likely	Count	584	78	59	2	1	30
		% within ethnicty Race	54.7%	70.3%	65.6%	28.6%	50.0%	65.2%
Total		Count	1068	111	90	7	2	46
		% within ethnicty Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			ethnicity	
			7 TWO OR MORE RACES	Total
rcc19c_2_d Fitness & exercise program	0 unlikely	Count	3	573
		% within ethnicity Race	50.0%	43.1%
	1 likely	Count	3	757
		% within ethnicity Race	50.0%	56.9%
Total		Count	6	1330
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.729 ^a	6	.010
Likelihood Ratio	17.133	6	.009
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.986	1	.046
N of Valid Cases	1330		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .86.

Crosstab

			ethnicty Race					
			1 WHITE,	2 AFRICAN AMERICAN OR BLACK	3 ASIAN	4 AMERICAN INDIAN [ALSO CALLED NATIVE AMERICAN OR NATIVE ALASKAN]	5 NATIVE HAWAIIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER	6 OTHER
rcc19c_3_d Youth summer camp	0 unlikely	Count	857	61	45	4	2	25
		% within ethnicty Race	80.6%	54.5%	49.5%	66.7%	100.0%	58.1%
	1 likely	Count	206	51	46	2	0	18
		% within ethnicty Race	19.4%	45.5%	50.5%	33.3%	.0%	41.9%
Total		Count	1063	112	91	6	2	43
		% within ethnicty Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstab

			ethnicity	
			7 TWO OR MORE RACES	Total
rcc19c_3_d Youth summer camp	0 unlikely	Count	3	997
		% within ethnicity Race	60.0%	75.4%
	1 likely	Count	2	325
		% within ethnicity Race	40.0%	24.6%
Total		Count	5	1322
		% within ethnicity Race	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	83.605 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	75.962	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	42.988	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	1322		

a. 6 cells (42.9%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .49.

Crosstab

			Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student				Total
			1.00 Full-time	2.00 Part-time	3.00 Looking for work	4.00 Others (homemaker, retired, student, other)	
rcc2D How familiar are you with the facilities and programs of the Reston Community Center	0	Count % within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	139 21.7%	24 28.6%	4 33.3%	38 15.3%	205 20.8%
	1 familiar	Count % within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	501 78.3%	60 71.4%	8 66.7%	210 84.7%	779 79.2%
Total		Count % within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	640 100.0%	84 100.0%	12 100.0%	248 100.0%	984 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.057 ^a	3	.029
Likelihood Ratio	9.044	3	.029
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.696	1	.055
N of Valid Cases	984		

a. 1 cells (12.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.50.

Crosstab

			Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student				Total
			1.00 Full-time	2.00 Part-time	3.00 Looking for work	4.00 Others (homemaker, retired, student, other)	
rcc7_5_d The RCC provides a broad range of programs in enrichment activities	0 disagree	Count % within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	10 2.5%	2 3.8%	2 25.0%	3 1.7%	17 2.7%
	1 agree	Count % within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	390 97.5%	51 96.2%	6 75.0%	175 98.3%	622 97.3%
Total		Count % within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	400 100.0%	53 100.0%	8 100.0%	178 100.0%	639 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.364 ^a	3	.001
Likelihood Ratio	6.848	3	.077
Linear-by-Linear Association	.037	1	.847
N of Valid Cases	639		

a. 3 cells (37.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .21.

Crosstab

			Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student				Total
			1.00 Full-time	2.00 Part-time	3.00 Looking for work	4.00 Others (homemaker, retired, student, other)	
rcc7_7_d The RCC creates and sustains community traditions	0 disagree	Count % within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	15 3.6%	2 3.8%	2 28.6%	7 3.8%	26 4.0%
	1 agree	Count % within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	397 96.4%	50 96.2%	5 71.4%	179 96.2%	631 96.0%
Total		Count % within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	412 100.0%	52 100.0%	7 100.0%	186 100.0%	657 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.287 ^a	3	.010
Likelihood Ratio	5.078	3	.166
Linear-by-Linear Association	.120	1	.729
N of Valid Cases	657		

a. 2 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .28.

Crosstab

			Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student				Total
			1.00 Full-time	2.00 Part-time	3.00 Looking for work	4.00 Others (homemaker, retired, student, other)	
rcc17c_1_d Open or Lap Swim	0 unlikely	Count % within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	223 46.4%	39 65.0%	5 62.5%	118 60.5%	385 51.7%
	1 likely	Count % within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	258 53.6%	21 35.0%	3 37.5%	77 39.5%	359 48.3%
Total		Count % within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	481 100.0%	60 100.0%	8 100.0%	195 100.0%	744 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.178 ^a	3	.001
Likelihood Ratio	16.315	3	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.794	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	744		

a. 2 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.86.

Crosstab

			Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student				Total
			1.00 Full-time	2.00 Part-time	3.00 Looking for work	4.00 Others (homemaker, retired, student, other)	
rcc17c_2_d Swim Instruction	0 unlikely	Count % within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	298 62.2%	45 72.6%	6 75.0%	146 74.5%	495 66.4%
	1 likely	Count % within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	181 37.8%	17 27.4%	2 25.0%	50 25.5%	250 33.6%
Total		Count % within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	479 100.0%	62 100.0%	8 100.0%	196 100.0%	745 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.846 ^a	3	.013
Likelihood Ratio	11.096	3	.011
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.774	1	.002
N of Valid Cases	745		

a. 1 cells (12.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.68.

Crosstab

				Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student				Total
				1.00 Full-time	2.00 Part-time	3.00 Looking for work	4.00 Others (homemaker, retired, student, other)	
rcc17c_3_d Water Aerobics	0 unlikely	Count	356	41	5	122	524	
		% within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	73.9%	66.1%	62.5%	63.5%	70.4%	
	1 likely	Count	126	21	3	70	220	
		% within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	26.1%	33.9%	37.5%	36.5%	29.6%	
Total		Count	482	62	8	192	744	
		% within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.888 ^a	3	.048
Likelihood Ratio	7.759	3	.051
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.314	1	.007
N of Valid Cases	744		

a. 1 cells (12.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.37.

Crosstab

			Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student				Total
			1.00 Full-time	2.00 Part-time	3.00 Looking for work	4.00 Others (homemaker, retired, student, other)	
rcc17c_4_d Adapted Aquatics for individuals with disabilities	0 unlikely	Count % within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	434 91.6%	59 96.7%	8 100.0%	161 83.9%	662 90.1%
	1 likely	Count % within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	40 8.4%	2 3.3%	0 .0%	31 16.1%	73 9.9%
Total		Count % within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	474 100.0%	61 100.0%	8 100.0%	192 100.0%	735 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.369 ^a	3	.004
Likelihood Ratio	13.996	3	.003
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.175	1	.004
N of Valid Cases	735		

a. 1 cells (12.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .79.

Crosstab

			Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student				Total
			1.00 Full-time	2.00 Part-time	3.00 Looking for work	4.00 Others (homemaker, retired, student, other)	
rcc19c_1_d After school program	0 unlikely	Count % within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	348 72.5%	45 75.0%	7 87.5%	161 83.9%	561 75.8%
	1 likely	Count % within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	132 27.5%	15 25.0%	1 12.5%	31 16.1%	179 24.2%
Total		Count % within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	480 100.0%	60 100.0%	8 100.0%	192 100.0%	740 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.260 ^a	3	.016
Likelihood Ratio	10.902	3	.012
Linear-by-Linear Association	9.954	1	.002
N of Valid Cases	740		

a. 1 cells (12.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.94.

Crosstab

			Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student				Total
			1.00 Full-time	2.00 Part-time	3.00 Looking for work	4.00 Others (homemaker, retired, student, other)	
rcc19c_3_d Youth summer camp	0 unlikely	Count % within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	332 69.0%	47 79.7%	7 87.5%	163 83.2%	549 73.8%
	1 likely	Count % within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	149 31.0%	12 20.3%	1 12.5%	33 16.8%	195 26.2%
Total		Count % within Work4 Are you working full-time, part-time, looking for work, a homemaker, retired, or a student	481 100.0%	59 100.0%	8 100.0%	196 100.0%	744 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.385 ^a	3	.001
Likelihood Ratio	17.241	3	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	15.084	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	744		

a. 1 cells (12.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.10.

Appendix F
Survey and Sampling
Methodology

SURVEY AND SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

The 2007 Reston Community Center Citizen Survey was conducted by the Center for Survey Research (CSR) using a Computer-Aided Telephone Interviewing (CATI) system. A discussion of the general methodology appears in the Introduction of this report. This appendix provides additional details on how the questionnaire was developed, how the sample was selected, how the survey was administered, how the sample was weighted, and how statistical testing was used to evaluate the results.

Questionnaire

The questionnaire was developed by CSR in consultation with the Reston Community Center's (RCC) Board of Governors. Some questions are identical to those asked in 2001 and in 2004, but many new questions were included to address areas of current concern.

The questionnaire was pre-tested before it was put into "production." A pretest of the questionnaire was conducted on November 2-6, 2007, including a total of 43 telephone interviews with Reston residents. The questionnaire was significantly longer than the fifteen minute average interview that was specified on the scope of work. The increased length was primarily due to the new questions. It was decided to introduce question rationing rather than to cut out questions. This meant that some questions were addressed to only a subset of respondents. Correctly done, this method of pruning time from the interview allows for more questions to be asked while it reduces the burden of time to complete the interview asked of the respondent. Care was taken to ensure that there would be sufficient responses to each question to allow for valid analysis.

Adjustments were also made to the wording of the questionnaire before "production" interviewing began on November 8, 2007. Even with these adjustments, at an average of 19.15 minutes, it was significantly longer than the 11 minutes of 2004. The final version of the questionnaire took an average of 11 minutes to complete and is included in this report as Appendix A.

Sample

This survey was conducted by telephone in order to ensure the broadest possible representation for results. We used a sample of listed telephone numbers because it was necessary to contact only those households located in a specific geographic area, Small District #5 in Fairfax County. This area coincides roughly three ZIP code boundaries in the region, 20190, 20191 and 20194. CSR obtained a sample of from Genesys Sampling Systems in Fort Washington, PA. CSR Staff then manually edited this list to delete addresses that lay outside the District boundaries. This provided the most cost effective method of randomly selecting households that were known to be predominately in the town of Reston.

Advance Letter

In order to assure the highest possible response rate, a letter was mailed several days in advance of the initial telephone calling on November 8th to those households that were part of the sample. The letter explained the purpose of the study and asked for the cooperation of residents. Of the 3,516 households mailed, 167 or less than 5 percent were returned as undeliverable. See Appendix B for the Advance Letter.

Interviewing Procedures

CSR conducted the telephone interviews from its Computer-Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) Laboratory at the University of Virginia in Charlottesville, VA. CATI is a system in which computers are employed to increase the efficiency, accuracy, and flexibility of telephone surveys conducted by trained interviewers. Questions appear on the computer screen in programmed sequence as the interviewer presses the keys on the keyboard to record the respondent's answers. Accurate, instantaneous data entry is assured by the system. The computer system stores the data base of telephone numbers and is used to control the sampling process, dial each sampled number, schedule call-backs, and record the disposition of each attempted call. CSR uses the Sawtooth WinCATI system, and the CATI lab also allows for audio monitoring of calls by lab supervisors.

Production calling for the survey was carried out from November 8 – December 20, 2007. All telephone calls for the study were made from the CATI laboratory under the direct supervision of CSR staff. Numbers were dialed automatically by the WinCATI computer system. Calling was done on Sunday through Friday evenings and on Sunday afternoons. The interviewers received at least six hours of training prior to production interviewing. Many had prior interviewing experience on similar studies. Each phone number was given a maximum of 10 call attempts before it was treated as a “no answer” or “busy” number. Residential phones answered by automatic answering machines were treated the same as “no answer” calls (although counted separately); CSR interviewers did not leave messages on the answering machines of potential respondents but simply returned the phone number to the sample pool for another calling attempt at a later time. Answering machine announcements that identified the phone number as a place of business, however, were recorded as such and not re-attempted.

In order to reduce non-response bias, we conducted “conversion calling.” Non-response bias in surveys results when qualified respondents do not complete a survey, usually because they refuse to cooperate. In conversion calling, our most highly trained interviewers call back households in which we previously had someone refuse to take the survey. First, we kept track of the “tone” of initial refusals. “Hard” refusals, those in which people explicitly asked not to be called again or were noticeably agitated or upset about our phone call, were not called back at all. “Soft” refusals, those for which it seemed that we only caught someone at a bad time, were called back and contacted once more after an interval of at least three days. It was believed that these respondents should be attempted again through conversion calling efforts in order to better represent the opinions of those who have chosen not to use the RCC.

A total of 3,516 phone numbers were attempted in the course of the survey. The final disposition of each of the attempted phone numbers is shown in Appendix Table F-1, the Sample

Disposition Report. The disposition report is presented in a format that has been recommended as an industry standard by the American Association for Public Opinion Research¹. The AAPOR rate was calculated with the assistance of the Sawtooth WinCATI 4.1 CATI software, based on the full call history of each attempted number. This tool increases the accuracy of the calculation. A total of 28,510 dialing attempts were made, resulting in a total of 1003 complete interviews with residents of Small District #5 in the production phase of calling for an overall response rate of 37.3 percent².

The final version of the interview took an average of 19.15 minutes to complete, with an overall interview production rate of 1.26 interviews per hour. The increased length of the survey had a significant influence on the rate of production, which was 2.10 in 2004. The longer the interviewer is busy with an interview, the fewer interviews they will be able to complete in an hour.

Geographic Representation and Sample Weighting

The Center for Survey Research used listed sample for this survey with known addresses. The advance letter revealed that up to 95 percent of these addresses were valid. As expected when using telephone survey method, the sample composition did not exactly match the composition of the entire population of households. This is because of random sampling error, differences in rates of refusal between different groups, and differences among households in the amount of time that someone is home to answer the phone. The net result is a sample that somewhat overrepresented homeowners and underrepresented renters. Caucasians were also very slightly overrepresented, whereas African Americans and Asians were very slightly underrepresented. To correct these imbalances, CSR weighted the sample data by homeownership and race. In order to calculate the correct weights, CSR drew upon information from the 2000 US Census in order to get the correct proportions of the adult population. The proportion of homeowners/renters from the population, crossed by race, was then a basis for our weight.

Statistical weighting is larger for those respondents who are in underrepresented groups, and smaller for those who are in overrepresented groups, so that the aggregate result is what we would have obtained from a fully uniform, random sample of the whole population.

Sampling Error and Statistical Testing

Based on a sample of 1003 Reston respondents, the survey has a sampling error of plus or minus 3.07 percent. This means that in 95 out of 100 samples of this size drawn from small Tax

¹ The American Association for Public Opinion Research. 1998. *Standard Definitions: Final Dispositions of Case Codes and Outcome Rates for RDD Telephone Surveys and In-Person Household Surveys*. Ann Arbor, Michigan: AAPOR. See also the AAPOR website, www.aapor.org.

² Calculated according to AAPOR suggested formula RR3 adjusted by comparison of listed and RDD telephone no-answer rates used to estimate the proportion of RDD no-answer numbers that are actually non-working or unassigned numbers, with $e1=.78$ and $e2=.98$. We estimated $e2$ by dividing households determined to be geographically and language eligible by the N of households overall (including those not in the Reston tax district). The estimated $e2$ was also applied to housing units where eligibility could not be determined. We derived $e1$ by taking the product of $e2$ and the estimated residential-household rates calculated by the CASRO method. Partial interviews are not counted in the numerator of the RR3 formula.

District #5, the results obtained in the sample would fall in a range of ± 3.07 percentage points of what would have been obtained had every household in the area with a working telephone been interviewed. Larger sampling errors are present when analyzing subgroups of the sample or questions that were not asked of all respondents; smaller sampling errors are present when a lopsided majority gives the same answer (e.g., 80 percent of the sample are satisfied with a given item).

Statistical significance tests were used to verify the existence of satisfaction differences among various subgroups. We used independent-sample t-tests for differences in means. In these tests of satisfaction and likelihood items, the four response categories were collapsed into two, “satisfied” and “dissatisfied” and “likely” and “unlikely” respectively. We report differences that yield a “p-value” of .05 or less. A level of .05 indicates that there is only a 5 percent chance that the difference we find is due to sampling error, rather than reflecting a real relationship within the study population. The statistics for evaluating statistical significance do not measure error from sources other than random sampling error. Such error can occur in any poll or survey.

Table F-1 *Final Disposition of Sample*

Code	Disposition	Total	Group	Group Total	
1100	Complete	1003	Complete Interview	1003	
1200	Partial	52	Partial Interview	52	
2110	Eligible: Refusal	551	Refusal and break-off	601	
2120	Eligible: Break-off	50			
2210	Eligible: Resp Never Available	146			
2221	Eligible: Ans Mach, No Message	473	Non-contact	619	
2222	Eligible: Ans Machine, Message	0			
2310	Eligible: Dead	0			
2320	Eligible: Phys/Mentally Unable	10			
2330	Eligible: Language Unable	81	Other	96	
2340	Eligible: Misc Unable	5			
3120	Busy	14			
3130	No Answer	53	Unknown if household	138	
3140	Ans Mach (Don't Know if HU)	30			
3150	Technical Phone Problems	41			
3210	HU, Unknown Eligible: No Scnmr	292			
3220	HU, Unknown Eligible: Other	0	Unknown if other	292	
4100	Out of Sample	6	Results:		
4200	Fax/Data Line	56			
4310	Non-working Number	202		<i>(Estimated 1 = .78)</i>	
4320	Disconnected Number	301		<i>(Estimated 2 = .98)</i>	
4410	Number Changed	20		Response Rate 1:	0.358
4420	Cell Phone	4		Response Rate 2:	0.378
4430	Call Forwarding	0		Response Rate 3:	0.363
4510	Business/Government/Other Org	90		Response Rate 4:	0.386
4520	Institution	0		Response Rate 5:	0.423
4530	Group Quarter	1		Response Rate 6:	0.445
4700	No Eligible Respondent	36	Cooperation Rate 1:	0.572	
4800	Quota Filled	0	Cooperation Rate 2:	0.602	
			Cooperation Rate 3:	0.606	
			Cooperation Rate 4:	0.637	
			Refusal Rate 1:	0.215	
			Refusal Rate 2:	0.220	
			Refusal Rate 3:	0.253	
			Contact Rate 1:	0.626	
			Contact Rate 2:	0.634	
			Contact Rate 3:	0.739	